ACADEMIC SENATE
OF
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California

AS-410-93/EX
RESOLUTION ON
FACULTY COMMITTEE FOR CHARTER EVALUATION AND REJECTION OR IMPLEMENTATION

WHEREAS, The charter planning process is new and untested in its operation; and
WHEREAS, There are many different issues that will be raised by the various committees involved in the charter planning process; and
WHEREAS, Many of these issues have either direct or indirect bearing on curriculum and programs; and
WHEREAS, Curriculum and programs are the responsibility of the university's faculty; and
WHEREAS, It is important for the Academic Senate to be kept abreast of these issues raised by the various committees during the charter planning process so that there are no surprises at the end of the process; therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That a Faculty Committee for Charter Evaluation and Rejection or Implementation be established to monitor the proceedings of the various charter planning committees; and, be it further

RESOLVED: That among its duties, the Faculty Committee for Charter Evaluation and Rejection or Implementation shall:
1. pay particular attention to issues affecting curriculum, programs, and governance;
2. consider what should go into a charter draft and who should write it;
3. study the issues involved with seeking exemption from various parts of Title 5;
4. consider how a faculty vote on a charter draft might best be effected;
5. report to the Academic Senate on a regular basis;

and, be it further

RESOLVED: That the Faculty Committee for Charter Evaluation and Rejection or Implementation have one member each from the six colleges and the University Center for Teacher Education.
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To: Jack Wilson, Chair
Date: November 29, 1993

From: Warren J. Baker
President

File No.

Copies: R. Koob

Subject: Academic Senate Resolutions AS-409-93/EX and AS-410-93/EX

This will acknowledge and respond to your November 9 memo with which you forwarded the two subject resolutions approved at the October 26 meeting of the Academic Senate.

With regard to AS-409-93/EX, I am comfortable with its content and it has my approval with the understanding that the Senate accepts responsibility for those actions for which it has responsibility. Specifically, it is my assumption that the Senate would initiate and maintain whatever level of communication it wishes with the CSU Academic Senate and that it would be responsible for planning and execution of a vote of the general faculty.

I am uncertain of the intent of your forwarding of resolution AS-410-93/EX. My assumption is that the faculty committee is the one that would be appointed by and report to the Academic Senate and as such is internal to the operations of the Senate. If my assumption is in error and the Senate has something else in mind with this resolution, please let me know.