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Summary
A central event in sexual reproduction is the reduction in chromosome number that occurs at the meiosis I division. Most eukaryotes rely on crossing over between homologs, and the resulting chiasmata, to direct meiosis I chromosome segregation, yet make very few crossovers per chromosome pair [1, 2]. This indicates that meiotic recombination must be tightly regulated to ensure that each chromosome pair enjoys the crossover necessary to ensure correct segregation. Here, we investigate control of meiotic crossing over in Caenorhabditis elegans, which averages only one crossover per chromosome pair per meiosis [3, 4], by constructing genetic maps of end-to-end fusions of whole chromosomes. Fusion of chromosomes removes the requirement for a crossover in each component chromosome segment and thereby reveals a propensity to restrict the number of crossovers such that pairs of fusion chromosomes composed of two or even three whole chromosomes enjoy but a single crossover in the majority of meioses. This regulation can operate over physical distances encompassing half the genome. The meiotic behavior of heterozygous fusion chromosomes further suggests that continuous meiotic chromosome axes, or structures that depend on properly assembled axes, may be important for crossover regulation.

Results
Eukaryotes that rely on crossovers to direct meiotic chromosome segregation have evolved alternative strategies to ensure that crossovers are formed between each chromosome pair during every meiosis. Some organisms (e.g., Schizosaccharomyces pombe) simply make large numbers of crossovers per chromosome pair, with means high enough to ensure that few chromosome pairs will fail to receive at least one crossover [5]. In such organisms, the number of crossovers per chromosome pair appears to be randomly distributed. Interestingly, however, most eukaryotes actually form very few crossovers per chromosome pair per meiosis — typically on the order of 1–3 per chromosome arm. This is true for diverse organisms with chromosome sizes that differ by 2–3 orders of magnitude (from 230 kb to 100 Mb). The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans represents an extreme example of this general case: despite a nearly 2-fold range in physical size between the smallest (13.7 Mb) and largest (20.9 Mb) chromosomes, each of the six C. elegans chromosomes has a genetic length of roughly 50 cM, corresponding to a mean of one crossover per chromosome pair per meiosis. This is not simply an average of one, since chromosome pairs lacking chiasmata (the nonexchange, or E, class), which would be expected to occur at high frequency if crossovers were distributed randomly, are very rare (<1% of oocyte meioses) [6, 7]. Lack of E, meioses, together with 50 cM maps, implies a very narrow, nonrandom distribution of chiasma number/chromosome pair (very few E, few E > 1). This nonrandom distribution indicates that crossing over must be tightly regulated to ensure that each pair enjoys the “obligate chiasma” required to direct orderly segregation [8].

To investigate the control of meiotic crossing over in C. elegans, we assessed the meiotic recombination behavior of end-to-end chromosome fusions (Figure 1). These complete chromosome fusions are stable in mitosis and meiosis ([9], see below). We first constructed genetic maps of two-chromosome fusions in the homozygous state by determining the frequencies of recombination between pairwise combinations of visible genetic markers distributed along their lengths (Figures 2A and 2B). The fusion chromosomes analyzed were mnT12 and eT6, two IV;X fusions differing in the orientation of X [9, 10]. This analysis generated genetic maps of 48.8 cM for mnT12 and 50.1 cM for eT6 for the assayed portions of the fusion chromosomes. Since the markers used spanned 85%–90% of the total physical length of the chromosomes assayed, we estimate a total genetic length of 54 cM for mnT12 and 59 cM for eT6; this estimate assumes that the frequency of recombination in the unassayed terminal portions of the chromosomes is similar to that of the chromosome as a whole. Remarkably, the map length of each of these two-chromosome fusions is nearly the same as those of each of the single constituent chromosomes in their unfused states. Further, segregation behavior and cytological analysis of fusion chromosomes indicated that E, meioses are very rare in these fusion chromosome homozygotes: mnT12 and eT6 homozygotes produced >99% viable progeny (n = 797, 805), indicating that segregation errors leading to aneuploidy are extremely infrequent. Moreover, no fusion chromosome pairs lacking chiasmata were observed in 170 and 145 late prophase nuclei scored in mnT12 and eT6 homozygotes, respectively; this finding indicates that the incidence of E, pairs is <1%. Together, these results indicate that the two fused chromosomes are now being perceived as a single chromosome “unit” by the organism: a segment of the genome that previously would have received two crossovers now typically receives one crossover. These results imply that meiotic crossovers in C. elegans are limited by a chromosome-wide interference mechanism that operates to discourage additional exchanges once a single initiated recombination event has been designated for the crossover pathway.

Beyond the overall 40%–50% reduction in the fre-
frequency of crossing over per unit of DNA, several additional features of the two-chromosome fusion maps were notable. Each of the C. elegans autosomes has a centrally located, gene-dense cluster in which recombination is greatly depressed compared with the chromosome average [11, 12]; during wild-type meiosis, the vast majority of autosomal crossovers occur in the regions flanking these clusters, within 25%–35% of chromosome length from a chromosome end. We found that the low frequency of recombination per unit of DNA characteristic of the chromosome IV cluster was retained in the fusion chromosomes, despite the region now being located proportionally closer to a chromosome end. This suggests that repression of recombination in the cluster may be conferred largely by local sequence intrinsic features. We also found evidence for lethal interactions at the same position influencing crossover frequency. Since the X chromosome portion of the fusion is inverted in mnT12 relative to eT6, we could compare recombination in the dpy-6 unc-3 interval (Figures 2A and 2B) in two different locations. The genetic length of this interval is significantly smaller in mnT12, where it is centrally located, than in eT6, where it is terminally located (6.1 cM versus 13.8 cM; p < 0.01). This suggests that the position along the chromosome can influence the likelihood of crossing over and is consistent with an organismal preference for off-center exchanges.

We also determined the genetic map length of a three-chromosome fusion in the homozygous state (meT7: III; X; IV). meT7 is 48.9 Mb long and comprises almost half of the genome on a single chromosome (Figure 1). We used a modified mapping procedure that permitted simultaneous scoring of four markers to assess recombination in three intervals in a single cross (Figure 2C). Whereas the mapping procedure used to generate the two-chromosome fusion maps was designed to sample the large numbers of meioses required for precision in measuring small intervals, the modified method used for meT7 was designed to enable detection of possible multiply exchanged chromosomes.

Despite consisting of three whole chromosomes and comprising almost half of the genome, the measured genetic length of meT7 was only 54 cM. As the markers used span 88% of the chromosome, some chromosomes likely had crossovers in the unassayed region. By assuming that the frequency of crossing over in the terminal regions was similar to that of the chromosome as a whole, we estimate a total map length of 61 cM for meT7. This map length, coupled with a low incidence of Ee meioses (assayed cytologically) in meT7 homozygotes (1/189), implies that in the majority of meioses, the three-chromosome fusion receives only a single crossover over a physical distance that would normally accommodate three crossovers. Moreover, the distribution of exchanges along the chromosomes in the 22 meiotic products with two crossovers differed significantly from that expected if crossovers in the different intervals were occurring independently. Double-crossover products involving exchanges in the two terminal intervals (interval 1 and interval 3, Figure 2C) were overrepresented (p = 0.0020), whereas those involving exchanges in the adjacent intervals 1 (the smallest interval) and 2 were underrepresented (p = 0.0021). The nonindependent behavior of the different intervals implies that when two exchanges do occur, they are still governed by an interference mechanism that acts along the length of meT7 to discourage nearby double exchanges, resulting in a wide spacing between coincident crossovers. Taken together, these results indicate that the chromosome-wise interference mechanism that limits meiotic crossovers is capable of acting over half the genome.

Having demonstrated the existence of a robust, chromosome-wise interference mechanism that limits the number of crossovers along a chromosome pair in C. elegans, we sought to further investigate the nature of the functional unit upon which this mechanism operates. Specifically, we examined meiosis in animals heterozygous for fused and unfused chromosomes.

We assessed chiasma formation, a cytological readout of meiotic crossing over, in hermaphrodites heterozygous for mnT12 and one copy each of unfused IV and X (Figure 3A). During early and mid-prophase, both the IV and X segments pair as efficiently in mnT12 heterozygotes as in wild-type worms (data not shown). By late prophase, chiasmata are found on both unpaired chromosomes, and the number of chiasmata per bivalent is not significantly different between the wild-type and heterozygous animals. This result is consistent with the idea that meiotic pairing is not significantly inhibited by the presence of a homologous chromosome in the same region, allowing for the possibility of interchromosomal exchanges despite the presence of the second chromosome.
mata [13]. Thus, six DAPI-stained bodies, corresponding to six pairs of attached homologs (bivalents), are detected at diakinesis in wild-type oocytes (Figure 1B). Further, five bivalents are seen in mnT12 and eT6 homozygotes, and four are seen in meT7 homozygotes. In mnT12 heterozygotes, diakinesis nuclei with five DAPI-stained bodies (indicating that crossing over had occurred between mnT12 and both X and IV) comprised 55% of the total, while nuclei with six DAPI-stained bodies (presumably reflecting meioses with crossovers between either X and mnT12 or IV and mnT12, but not both) comprised 45% of the total. These data suggest that, when heterozygous, mnT12 and its cognate unfused partners enjoy two (or more) crossovers in roughly half of meioses and may undergo only a single crossover in the remaining half of meioses. These data can be used to estimate a minimum genetic map length of about 78 cM for heterozygous mnT12, indicating that heterozygosity for mnT12 results in an increased frequency of crossovers relative to mnT12 homozygotes.

In addition, we measured crossing over between SNP markers located near opposite ends of chromosome IV in males heterozygous for mnT12 and one copy of unfused IV (Figure 3B). The frequency of recombination in these heterozygotes (40%, 35/87) was not significantly different from that in control males (49%, 34/69); these data suggest that the partnerless X portion of mnT12 does not reduce the overall frequency of crossing over on the IV portion.

We also measured crossing over between SNP markers located near opposite ends of both chromosomes III and IV in males heterozygous for meT7 and one copy each of unfused III and IV (Figure 3C). In these animals, unfused III and IV can pair and recombine with meT7, while the X portion of meT7 remains partnerless. Unfused III and IV segregate efficiently from meT7 in these animals (see Experimental Procedures), suggesting that chiasmata may form on both the III and IV segments in each meiosis. Recombination data support this conclusion: recombination frequencies for the chromosome III interval (48%) and the chromosome IV interval (41%) in the meT7 heterozygote males were statistically indistinguishable from those in control males (46% and 49%, respectively), consistent with each of the autosomal portions of the fusion enjoying a crossover in each meiosis. In addition, the frequency of crossovers with crossovers on both the III and IV portions (20%) was not different from that expected if crossovers on III and IV occurred independently, suggesting a lack of interference across the partnerless X portion of the fusion.

Discussion

Meiotic crossovers and chiasmata are nonrandomly distributed in many organisms, a phenomenon that has been appreciated for decades. One manifestation of nonrandomness is the relative rarity of E, chromosome pairs, reflecting the formation of an “obligate chiasma” [8, 14]. A second manifestation of nonrandomness is crossover or chiasma interference, the tendency of a (nascent) crossover event in one region of a chromosome to discourage the formation of other crossovers nearby. Crossover interference was first observed in Drosophila nearly a century ago by Muller [15], and subsequent observations have revealed similar effects in most eukaryotes, including C. elegans [e.g., [16–20]]. This study demonstrates that during C. elegans meiosis, fusion of two or three whole chromosomes apparently removes the requirement for placing a chiasma in each of the constituent chromosome segments. We found that most fusion chromosome pairs in homozygous worms undergo only a single crossover event over a length of chromosome that would normally enjoy two or three crossovers, indicating that the cell perceives the fusions as single chromosomes and is no longer obliged to form two or three crossovers. Moreover, multiple crossovers are actively discouraged: although it is clear that these chromosomes can readily and reproducibly accommodate two or three crossovers in the unfused state, such multiple events are infrequent on the fusion chromosomes. The fact that removing the obligation for multiple crossovers is accompanied by such a marked reduction in the incidence of multiple crossovers indicates that the fusion chromosomes are being treated as single chromosome units with regard to both obligate chiasma and crossover interference and may reflect an underlying mechanistic linkage between these two facets of crossover control.

Is there an evolutionary advantage imparted by crossover interference per se? It is possible that widely spaced crossovers might confer a favorable orientation of bivalents on the meiosis I spindle that imparts a selective advantage. Alternatively, the tendency for wide spacing between coincident crossovers may instead be a secondary consequence of the chromosome properties that ensure obligate exchange.

What is the functional unit upon which crossover control mechanisms operate — that is, what qualifies to be recognized as a “chromosome”? Since analogous phenomena operate in diverse organisms over physical distances that differ by several orders of magnitude, it is unlikely that the unit corresponds to the DNA molecule per se. Instead, our observations provide support for the idea that the unit upon which chromosome-wide crossover control mechanisms operate may correspond to a region capable of continuous homologous synapsis — that is, a region capable of assembling the meiosis-specific synaptonemal complex (SC) structure connecting the axes of aligned homologous chromosome segments [8, 21]. The fact that hermaphrodites heterozygous for mnT12 enjoy chiasmata in both segments in some meioses and in only one segment in others suggests that the presence of an axial discontinuity on only one partner “chromosome” can partially, but not completely, disrupt the ability to communicate the presence of a (nascent) crossover and/or to discourage others in response. Further, in meT7 heterozygous males, where homology between the fusion chromosome and its unfused counterparts is interrupted by a partnerless X chromosome segment, the two chromosome segments with homologous partners apparently each enjoy a chiasma in every meiosis; this suggests that each of these segments may be treated separately as a “chromosome” unit by the crossover control system. Absence of interference between crossovers on the III and IV
Figure 3. Meiotic Behavior of Fusion Chromosome Heterozygotes
(A) Chiasma formation in mnT12 heterozygous hermaphrodites (X; mnT12; IV). The upper portion of the diagram represents oocyte nuclei containing a trivalent in which both unfused X and IV were connected to mnT12 by chiasmata. The lower portion of the diagram represents nuclei containing an asymmetric bivalent (with either X or IV connected to mnT12 by a chiasma) and a univalent.

(B and C) Crossing over in mnT12 and meT7 heterozygous males. The diagrams depict the relevant portions of karyotypes of fusion chromosome heterozygote males and the positions of SNP markers typed. Fractions of total meiotic products assayed that were recombinant in a given interval are indicated below the diagrams for both fusion heterozygotes and controls.

Segments in meT7 heterozygous males might be interpreted as evidence that mature SC structure (which does not form on the X in males; K.J.H., unpublished data) is required to communicate the status of recombination events. However, this result is also consistent with models wherein crossover regulation is conferred by properties inherent to the meiotic chromosome axis per se and does not require SC polymerization [22], since the X chromosome is known to be heterochromatic during male meiosis [23, 24] and its axis appears to differ in organization from those of the euchromatic autosomes (K.J.H., unpublished data). Indeed, preliminary results from our lab indicate a role for properly constructed axes (and/or SC structures that depend on proper axis morphogenesis) in conferring crossover interference in C. elegans (Nabeshima and K.J.H., unpublished data).

The idea that meiotic chromosome axes or SC might represent relevant functional units for crossover regulation is consistent with several independent correliative observations. For example, organisms such as S. pombe that lack continuous axial structures and SCs also lack crossover interference [5, 25, 26]. Further, mean chiasma frequencies are highly correlated with mean SC lengths in males of Locusta migratoria [27], and recent studies in humans and mice have shown that the number of MLH1 foci (a cytological marker for meiotic crossovers) in individual meiocytes covaries with SC length [28–30].

Several previous studies have provided evidence that the frequency of crossovers enjoyed by a given chromosome segment can vary depending on chromosomal context [31–36]. For example, studies by Kaback et al. of chromosome bisections and translocations in Saccharomyces cerevisiae demonstrated an inverse correlation between crossover frequency in a particular DNA segment and the size of the chromosome on which that segment resides. Further, Rose and coworkers showed that when crossovers are restricted to a limited region of a chromosome pair in C. elegans (by heterozygosity for chromosome rearrangements), the organism compensates by increasing the frequency of recombination in the crossover-competent region. Our analysis of whole-chromosome fusions complements and extends these previous findings in several ways. By removing the necessity for a crossover within segments as large as whole chromosomes, we have revealed a strong proclivity of C. elegans to limit the number of crossovers to one per chromosome pair. Moreover, our results demonstrate that crossover control mechanisms can operate across fusion chromosomes much larger than any chromosome normally present in the wild-type organism. Together, these findings indicate a remarkable ca-

Figure 2. Physical and Genetic Maps of Fusion Chromosomes
Positions of markers on the physical maps are from Wormbase [4]; physical positions of uncloned loci (dpy-6 and dpy-4) are estimates based on known correlations between physical and genetic maps.
(A and B) mnT12 and eT6. Genetic maps of mnT12 and eT6 constructed by measuring recombination frequencies between pairs of visible markers. The ticks on the maps correspond to the map positions of markers indicated in the tables at the bottom of the panel; the tables present genetic map distances in cM between each adjacent pair of markers. The distances between the markers are to scale; yellow boxes delineate the approximate extent of the chromosome IV gene cluster. 1, data from [6]; 2, estimated distance from published map; see the Experimental Procedures. 3, calculated from unc-3–dpy-13 (1.8 cM) and unc-17–dpy-13 (1.8 cM) genetic distances.
(C) meT7. Genetic map distances were determined by using a procedure that assessed recombination simultaneously in the three indicated intervals; pkP3056 and pkP3074 are SNP markers. For each interval, the genetic map length in cM is indicated; [number of crossovers in the interval]/[total meiotic products assayed] is given in parentheses. The types and numbers of double crossover products are also indicated; no triple crossover products were found.
pacity of eukaryotic organisms to recognize new combinations as a "chromosome" and to modulate meiotic recombination accordingly. This has important implications for chromosome evolution, as it suggests that a means to rapidly stabilize a new karyotype may be an inherent feature of the meiotic program. Given an opportunity for inbreeding, such a capacity could promote rapid reproductive success of individuals homozygous for new karyotypes while at the same time imposing reduced reproductive fitness on heterozygotes, providing a powerful driving force for reproductive isolation.

Experimental Procedures

Except when noted, the strains and markers used were derived from the standard C. elegans strain Bristol N2.

Fusion Chromosomes

C. elegans chromosomes are holokinetic [37, 38], so end-to-end chromosome fusions are stable in mitosis and meiosis. Since meiotic nondisjunction leads to the production of inviable aneuploid embryos, success of meiotic segregation in fusion homozygotes was assessed by determining the frequency of inviable embryos produced. Wild-type hermaphrodites produce >99% viable progeny, indicating accurate chromosome segregation during meiosis. meT7 and eT6 homozygotes also produce >99% viable progeny (meT7: 790/797 embryos hatched; eT6: 803/805 embryos hatched). meT7 homozygotes produce 92% viable progeny (526/355 embryos hatched), indicating successful chromosome segregation in most meioses. As E mutants are rare in meT7 homozygotes (<1%), the inviability of 8% of embryos is not caused by failure to form chiasmata. Rather, we speculate that the large size of the condensed fusion bivalent may sometimes exceed the capacity of the very short oocyte meiotic spindle [38].

The success of meiotic segregation in meT7 heterozygous males was assessed by crossing them with dpy-4 spe-8 hermaphrodites (impaired for self-sperm activation). 98.5% of embryos laid were viable (1024/1040), and 51.0% of viable outcross progeny were male (522/1024), indicating that aneuploid gametores were very infrequent.

Construction of meT7 Genetic Maps

Pairs of recessive visible markers were crossed onto the fusion chromosome, and doubly marked fusion hermaphrodites were crossed with males bearing the unmarked fusion to generate marker heterozygotes. Progeny of heterozygous hermaphrodites were scored for parental and recombinant phenotypes; for each marker pair, all self-progeny from at least 5 heterozygotes were scored (a minimum of 1100 progeny, except for the unc-5 dpy-20 interval on eT6 where 346 progeny were scored). Map distances (cM) were calculated from the fraction of recombinant progeny (R) by using the standard equation p = 1 - (1 - 2R)2 [11]. Statistical analyses were performed by using Fisher's exact test. For unused chromosomes, the unc-3-unc-17 and dpy-3-unc-17 genetic distances were estimated by summing estimated genetic distances from unc-3 to X0 (or dpy-3 to X0) and IV, to unc-17. For meT7, the genetic distance from unc-3 to unc-17 was estimated by subtracting the unc-17-dpy-13 genetic distance from the unc-3-dpy-13 genetic distance.

Construction of the meT7 Genetic Map

meT7 was mapped by using a combination of recessive visible markers (unc-3 X and dpy-4 IV) and SNP markers. A version of meT7 was constructed wherein the majority of chromosome IV sequences (originally derived from N2) were replaced by sequences from strain CB4856 (which has many mapped DNA sequence differences from N2). In contrast to the standard mapping procedure (used for meT7 and eT6) that assesses recombination in both spermatocyte and oocyte nuclei, the procedure used for meT7 assessed recombination only in oocyte meioses. Hermaphrodites homozygous for meT7 and heterozygous for all four markers were crossed with dpy-4 IV males of normal karyotype, and male progeny were scored for all four markers. As males carry a single copy of the X chromosome, the allele present at unc-3 X could be scored directly. As all male progeny receive dpy-4 IV from their father, those that also receive dpy-4 from the hermaphrodite will be Dpy. Since the male parent contributes only N2-derived alleles for the SNP markers, the presence or absence of the CB4856-derived alleles indicates which alleles were contributed by the oocyte. Since each progeny male contains a single product of an oocyte meiosis, and since all four markers can be scored for each product, this method allows detection of multiply exchanged chromosomes. For each pair of intervals, Fisher's exact test was used to calculate the probability that crosses in the two intervals occurred independently of each other; in addition, the signs of departures from independence were inferred by comparing the observed incidence of doubles to the expected incidence determined by multiplying the individual frequencies. The fit of the overall distribution of crossovers in our data set to a model assuming independent behavior of all intervals was assessed by using a Pearson's chi-square test to evaluate all classes simultaneously; this yielded a χ2 value of 0.0002 (for 4 degrees of freedom).

Recombination Frequencies in Males

mtT6 or meT7 homozygous hermaphrodites were mated to CB4856 males to derive male progeny with one copy of the fusion chromosome and one copy of each of the CB4856-derived autosomes. These males were mated to unc-3 (N2) hermaphrodites, and non-unC hermaphrodite progeny (which will have inherited the fusion chromosome from their fathers) were collected and scored for the indicated SNP markers. pkp3049 and pkp3024 are 14.7 Mb apart (91% of the physical length of IV), pkp3049 and pkp3075 are 11.4 Mb apart (84% of the physical length of IV).

Cytological Assessment of Diakinesis Chromosomes

For Figure 1, oocyte nuclei were prepared and imaged as in [39]. For quantitation, adult hermaphrodites (24 hr post-L4 stage) were fixed and stained with DAPI as in [5], and late diakinesis oocyte nuclei were scored for number and appearance of DAPI-stained bodies. This analysis assumes that attachment at diakinesis reflects the presence of a chiasma and underlying crossover and that crossovers reliably result in detectable chiasmata.

Supplemental Data

Supplemental Data including additional details regarding markers and strain constructions are available at http://www.current-biology.com/cgi/content/full/13/16/1641/D1/1.
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