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ABSTRACT
Text has a non-hierarchical structure. Not surprisingly, searching for information in the content of a document often yields results that overlap the structure within the document. It is often of great interest to relate such results to the embedded document structure.

In this work we present an extension of the XQuery language over multihierarchical document-centric XML documents. We illustrate the benefits of using multihierarchical XQuery for text-and-structure searches in document-centric XML documents. More specifically, multihierarchical XQuery allows representing relationships between text/search results and document structure even for cases where such search results overlap markup boundaries and even in cases when only one markup hierarchy is considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Originally designed as a language for document markup, XML has seen the number and variety of its uses go far beyond the original intent. Recent development of XML-related standards, such as XML Schema and XQuery [3] is driven more by the use of XML as an underlying representation for data management applications. With incorporation of XML into major database management systems, and with continued adoption of XML as the medium for business applications, the continued use of XML for document annotation often flies under the radar.

At the same time, document-processing and document-annotation applications that rely on XML are gaining popularity. The readability of XML and the availability of free processing tools are just two of the reasons for this popularity.

Use of XML for document markup poses a number of unique challenges. Complex document annotations give rise of multihierarchical markup = use of markup from multiple schemas to annotate the same text [15, 9]. This, in turn, leads to problems with markup representation and storage.

Another set of problems is associated with querying document-centric XML. Unlike data-centric XML data, the content of document-centric XML documents has meaning and value even when all markup is removed (typically, XML is used to annotate existing texts). User information needs range from requests that need to be represented as markup queries over multiple domains, to text searches that completely ignore markup boundaries.

In this paper, we discuss the means of expressing and implementing some of the typical information needs related to document-centric (and sometimes, multihierarchical) XML. Our contribution is threefold: (i) we extend XQuery with search capabilities over multihierarchical documents; (ii) we present an XQuery enhancement for text search using regular expressions; (iii) we show that the extension of XQuery for multihierarchical documents has applications beyond multihierarchical markup: complex text searches over single-hierarchy XML documents may greatly benefit from XQuery extensions to reveal important relationships between the text results and the document structure. Although our implementation of the XQuery extension relies on an appropriate data structure representation of multihierarchical markup, we emphasize that both the data structure and the extended XQuery are generalizations of the DOM data structure and XQuery language respectively. The XQuery extension we present can be straightforwardly implemented on top of DOM representation of XML documents while preserving the advantages of the extended XQuery.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly present a few case studies that motivate this work. The data structure model for the multihierarchical XML and the appropriate path language to navigate this data structure are described in Section 3. In Section 4 we describe the extended XQuery for querying multihierarchical XML and we present the related work and conclusions in Section 5.

2. XML QUERIES IN ELECTRONIC EDITIONS

The problem of multiple (concurren) markup hierarchies often occurs in document encodings when one wants to encode a wide variety of features in a single XML document. A typical example is building image-based electronic editions of manuscripts [13]. Such electronic editions of historic documents and document collections are beginning to emerge as important new digital resources for humanities scholars and the general public. These editions can provide numerous scholars with anytime first-hand access to digital images and the content of unique and fragile material that is not otherwise widely available for study.

We illustrate the problem of overlapping hierarchies in document-centric XML in the following example. Figure 1 shows an image of a manuscript (Cotton Otto A vi, King Alfred’s translation of Boethius "Consolation of Philosophy", a 10th century Old English manuscript.), the content of the manuscript fragment, and encodings of four groups of manuscript features: physical manuscript organization (<line>), document structure (<vline> = verse lines, <w> = words), editorial restorations of the manuscript content (<res>), and manuscript condition (<dmg> = damages). There are two main reasons for representing the encodings as different XML documents:

(i) each encoding corresponds to a semantically independent markup hierarchy, dictated by the nature of the application and (ii) there is overlap in the scope of some markup (see, for instance, <line> and <w> markup) so all markup cannot be naturally represented as well-formed XML (In [6] we show that representing such markup using "hacks" in XML comes with a steep price at query processing time).

The problem of representing documents with multiple markup hierarchies has been addressed by us in [10, 9]. Section 3 summarizes our results. For the rest of this section we discuss a few querying scenarios that are likely to occur for such documents. Then, in Section 4, we present our solutions for the problems presented here.

XQuery is an attractive language for the document encoding community because it combines in itself both the querying and the XML transformation capabilities. The latter feature is extremely important as document encoding community is actively using XSL transformations for document presentation. The three querying scenarios presented below appear in practice and involve both the need to search the documents and the need to present the search results appropriately.

1. Searching for nodes in a hierarchy and their relationships with nodes in other hierarchies. Searching for words is a common task in processing document encodings. However, just retrieving individual words without surrounding context is insufficient under many circumstances. It is often the case that the words in the search result need to be shown within their "surroundings", such as the manuscript lines where they appear. Alternatively, the search for words can be conducted based not only on their spelling but also on certain structural properties, such as, words which are damaged in the manuscript. Such information needs require not only querying the markup, but also presenting the results in a way that is immediately understandable to the scholars studying the manuscript. For example, consider the following two search questions:

1. Find and display lines containing the word singallice.
2. Find and display lines containing words that are totally or partially damaged and highlight such words.

The first query seems to be straightforward. However, there is a subtle problem about it, as shown in Figure 1. In this figure, the word singallice is split between two manuscript lines. The second query raises some problems also: <w> and <dmg> markup are in different hierarchies, and therefore a relationship between them needs to be determined.
II. Searching for (sub)strings of the text content of element nodes. Another common task in document encoding/processing is searching for substrings. Here, the queries can be formulated in a variety of ways: search for words starting/ending with a given substring, search for words containing a certain substring, search for specified consecutive words in a phrase. In general, such queries for strings or substrings can be captured by a regular expression. However, the substring text can be split by XML markup in the encoding. As is the case with the previous types of queries, presentation of the search results may play an important role here. Consider the following query:

1. Find all words that contain the substring unawe, display such words and highlight the substring matching(s).

As opposed to the first set of queries in L, this query appears to target a single document hierarchy: the one that contains &lt;w&gt; markup. However, while XQuery has capabilities of identifying such words, highlighting matchings is not a straightforward task (XSLT 2.0 [12] has the ability of text matching and iterating over matching/non-matching substrings).

III. Searching for (sub)strings of the text content of element nodes in a certain relationship with other element nodes. Finally, the last situation we consider is more general than the previous situation: in addition to highlighting the matched (sub)strings, we have to highlight parts of the (sub)string based on how they are marked up in the encoding.

For instance, we consider the following query:

1. Find all words that contain the substring unawe, display such words and highlight (display in bold) the substring and italicize (parts of) matched substrings that were restored (that is, covered by &lt;res&gt; markup).

It is clear that the query requires searching over multiple hierarchies as &lt;w&gt; and &lt;res&gt; are in different hierarchies.

Before we proceed to answer these queries (Section 4), we describe the data model we use for multihierarchical documents and the extension of XQuery we define on top of this data structure.

3. MULTIHIERARCHICAL XML

Multihierarchical markup has been subject of attention ever since the inception of SGML [14]. Up until recently, most of the work on dealing with it had been done by document processing experts [15] (See [5] for a survey of early research on multihierarchical markup). However, almost all work on multihierarchical markup was limited to finding proper serialization syntax for representing multiple hierarchies in a single XML document. In [9] we have shown that this approach tends to lead to inefficient solutions.

Our work on multihierarchical markup addresses the problem of its management at the level of representation. In this section we briefly outline our work to date [5, 10, 9] on representation and querying multihierarchical XML documents. Multihierarchical document-centric XML. A Concurrent Markup Hierarchy (CMH) is a collection (Di, ... ,Dn) of DTDs (Or other XML schema descriptions), and an XML element r, such that r, called the root of the hierarchy, is present in each DTD, no other XML elements are shared by different DTDs, and in each D all elements x ~ r are reachable from it. Concurrent markup hierarchies specify the markup that will be used to create multihierarchical documents.

A multihierarchical XML document d over a CMH H, is a collection of XML documents di, ... ,dn, and a string S, such that for all 0 G i G n, di is an encoding of S using markup from the DTD Di, with root r [5].

KyGODDAG. XML documents are parsed into a data structure called DOM tree, using DOM parsers. Applications use DOM API to access the parsed XML[4]. In [10, 9] we proposed a data structure called KyGODDAG (Sperberg-McQueen and Huittfeldt proposed a data structure called GODDAG, Generalized Ordered Descendant DAG, to be used for storage of multihierarchical document-centric markup)[16]. Due to lack of a formal definition in [16], we under impression that the structure proposed by us in [10, 9] was an instance of GODDAG. Personal communication with C.M. Sperberg-McQueen made us realize that it was, in fact, a different data structure, which we now call KyGODDAG to be used in place of a DOM tree for storage and access to multi-hierarchical documents. Consider a multihierarchical XML document d = (S, (di, ... ,dn)). Let S = l1 • l2 • ... • ln be a partition of S (Here, • is the operation of string concatenation), into leaves, longest substrings such that no markup in any of the di,...,dn breaks any substring l; (that is, markup appears only at the substring boundaries). Then, a KyGODDAG G= is a directed acyclic graph G= = (N, E), where N = u nodes(d) u{l1, ... ,ln}, and the set of edges consists of the following. First, if n, n2 E nodes(d), then(n, n2) E E if (n, n2) is in the DOM tree of d. Second, if n is a text node in some di and l is a leaf node, then(n, l) E E if l c content(n).

Informally, a KyGODDAG for a multihierarchical XML document is a data structure that unites the DOM trees for all hierarchies at the root element, and creates a layer of leaf nodes that are connected to the text nodes from each hierarchy, which contain their structure. The KyGODDAG data structure for the encodings in Figure 1 is represented in Figure 2 (for the sake of simplicity, two KyGODDAG roots were represented in the figure; they actually represent the same KyGODDAG node). In the figure, element nodes are labeled by their names followed by a number representing the order of the respective element node among nodes with the same name (for instance, dm1, dm2, etc.). The text nodes are labeled with ti, t2, etc. following the document order and the leaf nodes are represented by boxes labeled with numbers.

Path Expressions. In [9] we proposed a path expression language for multihierarchical XML documents and described its semantics over KyGODDAG. The language is an extension of XPath with a new expression for traversal of the KyGODDAG between different hierarchies, and a number of new node test that allow for simple access to content in individual hierarchies. All XPath axes are preserved in the extended language. XPath axes applied to non-root nodes return nodes within the same DOM tree component of the KyGODDAG. When applied to the root, they return nodes in all documents. New axes can be broken into two categories: multihierarchical versions of traditional XPath axes: xdescendant, xancestor, xfollowing, xpredicate, and new axes for representing markup overlap: preceding-overlapping, following-overlapping, overlapping.

To provide formal definitions of the new axes we need the following notation. Let d. G= = (N, E) be a multihierarchical XML document and its KyGODDAG. Let x be a node in some hierarchy di of d. We let ancestor(x) and descendant(x) respectively the ancestor and descendant nodes of x in its hierarchy. Then we let leaves(x) denote the set of leaf nodes in the node set descendant(x). Leafnodes l1, ... ,ln of G= come with a linear order: I < k iff j < k. Given a set L of leaf nodes, max(L) and min(L) denote the maximum and the minimum leaf node in set L w.r.t. the above mentioned linear order.

DEFINITION 1 (EXTENDED PATH AXES). We define the following extended path axes over KyGODDAG:

- xancestor(n) = {m E NJ m E descendant(n) U {n} and leaves(m) C leaves(n)};
- xdescendant(n) = {m E NJ m E ancestor(n) U {n} and leaves(n) D leaves(m)};
- xfollowing(n) = {m E NJ max(leaves(n)) < min (leaves (m))};
• preceding(n) = \{ m E NJ min(leaves(n)) > max(leaves(m)) \};
• preceding — overlapping(n) = \{ m E NJ \leaves(n) n \leaves(m) \leq O \land 
min(\leaves(n)) E (\min(\leaves(m)), \max(\leaves(m))) \land max(\leaves(n)) > max(\leaves(m)) \};
• following — overlapping(n) = \{ m E NJ \leaves(n) n \leaves(m) \leq O \land 
max(\leaves(n)) E (\min(\leaves(m)), \max(\leaves(m))) \land min(\leaves(n)) < min(\leaves(m)) \};
• overlapping(n) = following — overlapping(n) U preceding — overlapping(n).

The new node tests are described below (the String parameter is a comma-separated list of hierarchy names):

DEFINITION 2 (EXTENDED NODE TESTS). We define the following node test extensions for path expressions over KyGODDAG:

• text(String): the node test is evaluated to true if and only if the context node is a text node in the specified hierarchy (hierarchies).
• node(String): the node test is evaluated to true if and only if the context node is any node type in the specified hierarchy (hierarchies).
• *(String): the node test is evaluated to true if and only if the context node is an element node in the specified hierarchy (hierarchies).
• leaf(): the node test is evaluated to true if and only if the context node is of type leaf.

KyGODDAG node order. Before we proceed to extending XQuery we need to extend the underlying data model. In particular, we need to define iterations over the extended axes evaluation (node sets from multiple hierarchies) and comparisons between nodes, possibly from different hierarchies. We define the order of nodes in a KyGODDAG in a way similar to XQuery's document order [3] as follows.

DEFINITION 3 (NODE ORDER IN KYGODDAG). The relative order of KyGODDAG nodes is stable and subject to the following constrains:

1. the root node is the first
2. if two nodes are in the same hierarchy, then they follow the order in the respective hierarchy's DOM
3. if two nodes are in different hierarchies then their order corresponds to their hierarchies order (stable but implementation dependent).

Implementation. The framework for management of multihierarchical document-centric XML document had been implemented as part of the Edition Production and Presentation Technology (EPPT) (Available at: http://beowulf.engl.uky.edu/—eft/) for image-based electronic editions of text documents. It was presented in [7, 8].

4. XQUERY FOR MULTIHIERARCHICAL XML

In this section we show how to use the extended XQuery to answer the questions posed in Section 2. Due to space constraints and to the fact that some queries require HTML presentation of the search results, we skip the HTML wrapping around the XQuery query (in order to produce a valid HTML document). This would be a straightforward exercise, however.

For each of the following queries we assume that the default document is the KyGODDAG in Figure 2. That is, any path that starts with "/" is an absolute path starting at the KyGODDAG root. Moreover, for the rest of this section we consider that all functions are internal and, for simplicity, we drop the namespace "fn".

Before we get started with the queries, we give the definition of a new extended XQuery internal function, analyze-string(), which we use for text searching with regular expressions in a similar way to XSLT 2.0 [12].

DEFINITION 4. We define
fr:analyze-string ($node as xs:node(), $pattern as xs:string) as xs:node()
such that each of the following happens:

1. a new KyGODDAG hierarchy is created and a hierarchy name is assigned to this hierarchy (say, rest);
2. the content of the input node $node is wrapped by a tag <res> in the hierarchy rest;
3. the regular expression $pattern is matched against the content of the input node $node and each matching string is tagged with <m>, also a node in hierarchy rest and a descendant of <res>;
4. the regular expression $pattern may be given as a well-formed XML fragment (such as "<a>x </a>"), in which case: (i) each start tag is replace by ", each end tag is replaced by "); (ii) the resulting regular expression is matched against the content of $node, then each regular expression's group matching is tagged with the markup the group was originated from; (iii) all new markup is in rest hierarchy and descendant of <res>node;
5. all temporary hierarchies generated by an execution of this function are deleted after the entire query is evaluated.

EXAMPLE 1. For instance, let's consider that we apply
the function analyze-stringO on input node "<w>unawendendne</w>" and input pattern "un\(<a/>a\)\(</a>\)we, ".

The evaluation will produce the following markup (which will be, temporary, part of the KyGODDAG):<res><m>un\(<a/>a\)\(</a>\)we</m>ndendne</res>
The function defined above presents the advantage of matching text while matching groups can be clearly marked. Moreover, by creating a new "virtual" hierarchy, the search results can be exploited in the context of the existing hierarchy/hierarchies. Since the search results are likely to overlap existing markup boundaries, the use of the function even in the context of a single hierarchy makes sense if the extended XQuery axes are used (the virtual results hierarchy is likely to overlap the existent hierarchy).

In the following we present the extended XQuery queries for the searches formulated in Section 2.

I. Querying for nodes in a hierarchy and their relationships with nodes in other hierarchies

1. Find and display lines containing the word singallice.

   ```xquery
   for $l in /descendant::line
   [xdescendant::w[string(.) = 'singallice'] or
   overlapping::w[string(.) = 'singallice']]
   return string($l)
   ```

   Output:
   gesceaftum unawendendne singallice sibbe gecyn
de

2. Find and display lines containing words that are totally or partially damaged and highlight such words.

   ```xquery
   for $l in /descendant::line[xdescendant::w[xancestor::dmg or xdescendant::dmg or overlapping::dmg]]
   return ( for $leaf in $l/descendant::leaf()
   return if ($leaf[ancestor::w and ancestor::dmg]) then<b>{$leaf}</b>
   else $leaf
   , <br/>
   )
   ```

   Output:
   gesceaftum <b>una</b><b>w</b><b>endendne</b>sin<br/>
gallice sibbe <b>gecyn</b><b>de</b><b>D</b><br/>

d

II. Querying for (sub)strings of the text content of element nodes

1. Find all words that contains the substring unawe, display such words and highlight the substring matching(s).

   ```xquery
   or $w in /descendant::w
   [matches(string(.)),"*unawe.*"]
   return ( let $res := analyze-string(
   $w, "*unawe.*")
   for $n in $res/child::*
   return if ($n/parent::m) then<b>{$n}</b>
   else $n
   , <br/>
   )
   ```

   Output:<b>unawe</b>ndendne<br/>

III. Querying for (sub)strings of the text content of element nodes in a certain relationship with other element nodes

1. Find all words that contains the substring unawe, display such words and highlight (bold) the substring matching(s) and italicize (parts of) matchings that were restored (that is, covered by <res> markup).

   ```xquery
   or $w in /descendant::w
   [matches(string(.)),"*unawe.*"]
   return ( let $res := analyze-string(
   $w, "*unawe.*")
   for $leaf in $res/descendant::leaf()
   return if ($leaf/xancestor::m and $leaf/xancestor::res) then<i><b>{$leaf}</b></i>
   else if ($leaf/xancestor::m) then<b>{$leaf}</b>
   else $leaf
   , <br/>
   )
   ```

   Output:<i><b>unawe</b></i><b>ndendne</b><br/>

Implementation. We have implemented the extended XQuery with support for multihierarchical XML in Java. We used the grammar from [3], enhanced with support for extended XPath axes and extended node tests, and the Java Compiler Compiler (JavaCC version 3.2, verb, https://javacc.dev.java.net/) parser generator to generate a Java parser.
5. RELATED WORK AND CONCLUSIONS

The importance of full-text querying for XML is clearly emphasized in [2] as well as in the W3C’s working drafts for XQuery 1.0 and XPath 2.0 Full-Text [1]. Our work attempts to deal with situations where such full-text search results overlap document markup boundaries. Such situations are likely to appear when searching for text in document-centric XML documents. We propose a complete solution to determine relationships between such search results and document structure.

The work of Jagadish et al. [11] is a complete treatment of the multi-hierarchical data-centric XML problem: representation, processing, and querying. The authors highlight the importance of a proper query language for multiple XML hierarchies and leverage path expressions used in XQuery for searching XML. The XQuery language extension that Jagadish et al. propose increases the power of XQuery to take advantage of their Multi-Colored Trees (MCT) data structure for multiple data-centric XML hierarchies while preserving the original XQuery semantics when querying a single XML hierarchy. Unfortunately, MCTs do not support overlapping markup.

In our work of extending XQuery over concurrent markup hierarchies (with possible overlapping structures) represented as KyGODDAG, the following steps were taken: (i) we defined new axes and new semantics for accessing parts of XML with concurrent markup represented as a KyGODDAG [9]; (ii) we extended the XPath node test model to take into account multiple hierarchies and new node types of KyGODDAG; (iii) we defined a stable order over the nodes in KyGODDAG. Also, we presented an enhancement of XQuery for searching text using regular expressions and we argued that XQuery for overlapping hierarchies has potential applicability for searching text in XML documents and transforming XML data in other representations (XML, HTML, etc.). We have implemented the extended XQuery in Java and it serves as a main search and results presentation engine for the Edition Production and Presentation Technology (EPPT), a platform for preparing image-based electronic editions of manuscripts.

Our future work plans involve efficient implementation of extended XQuery over multihierarchical document structures and continuing our study of its applicability for full-text searches [1].
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Figure 1: An Old English manuscript and four encodings of different manuscript features
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