

# Necessary and Sufficient Condition that the Limit of Stieltjes Transforms is a Stieltjes Transform

Jeffrey S. Geronimo<sup>1</sup> and Theodore P. Hill<sup>2</sup>  
School of Mathematics  
Georgia Institute of Technology  
Atlanta, GA 30332-0160

## Abstract

The pointwise limit  $S$  of a sequence of Stieltjes transforms  $(S_n)$  of real Borel probability measures  $(P_n)$  is itself the Stieltjes transform of a Borel p.m.  $P$  if and only if  $iyS(iy) \rightarrow -1$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , in which case  $P_n$  converges to  $P$  in distribution. Applications are given to several problems in mathematical physics.

*Key words and phrases:* real Borel probability measure, convergence in distribution, Stieltjes transform, Lévy continuity theorem, Akhiezer-Krein theorem, weak convergence of probability measures.

Lévy's classical continuity theorem says that if the pointwise limit of the characteristic functions of a sequence of real Borel probability measures  $(P_n)$  exists, then the limit function  $\varphi$  is itself the characteristic function for a probability measure  $P$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is continuous at zero, in which case  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in distribution. The purpose of this note is to prove a direct analog of Lévy's theorem for Stieltjes transforms, complementing those for other representing functions in [HS] and [HK], and to give several examples of applications.

Throughout this note,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the real and complex numbers, respectively; p.m. and s.p.m. denote Borel probability measures, and sub-probability (mass  $\leq 1$ ) measures, respectively, on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; and s.p.m.'s  $(\mu_n)$  *converge vaguely* to a s.p.m.  $\mu$  [C, p. 80], if there exists a dense subset  $D$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for all  $a, b \in D$ ,  $a < b$ ,  $\mu_n((a, b]) \rightarrow \mu((a, b])$ . (Thus if  $(\mu_n), \mu$  are p.m.'s, vague convergence is equivalent to convergence in distribution.)

---

<sup>1</sup> Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9970613.

<sup>2</sup> Research partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9971146 and Göttingen Academy of Sciences Gauss Professorship (Fall 2000).

**Definition 1.** The *Stieltjes transform*  $S_P$  of a p.m.  $P$  is the function  $S_P : \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$S_P(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{w - z} dP(w).$$

A basic property of Stieltjes transforms, which has important applications in the theory of moments (cf. [A], [S1], [ST]) and in mathematical physics ( ), is that they are a representing class for finite measures.

**Lemma 1.** For s.p.m.'s  $P$  and  $Q$ ,  $P = Q$  iff  $S_P = S_Q$ .

**Proof.** Follows immediately from the Stieltjes transform inversion formula [A, p. 125].  $\square$

Just as limits of characteristic functions of p.m.'s are in general not characteristic functions, and limits of Hardy-Littlewood functions or expected-extrema functions are not in general Hardy-Littlewood or expected-extrema functions [HK], limits of Stieltjes transforms are not always Stieltjes transforms, as the next easy example shows.

**Example 1.** For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $P_n = \delta_{(n)}$ , the Dirac point mass at  $n$ . Then  $S_{P_n}(z) = (n - z)^{-1}$  for all  $n$  and all  $z$  with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{P_n}(z) \equiv 0$ , which is clearly not the Stieltjes transform for any p.m.  $P$  (see Lemma 2 below).

On the other hand, just as with Lévy's theorem, the limit of Stieltjes transforms is itself a Stieltjes transform if and only if it satisfies one single universal limit condition. The next theorem is the main result of this note.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $(P_n)$  are real Borel probability measures with Stieltjes transforms  $(S_n)$ , respectively. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(z) = S(z)$  for all  $z$  with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ , then there exists a Borel probability measure  $P$  with Stieltjes transform  $S_P = S$  if and only if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} iyS(iy) = -1, \tag{1}$$

in which case  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in distribution.

**Corollary 1.** If  $P$ ,  $(P_n)$  are real Borel p.m.'s with Stieltjes transforms  $S$ ,  $(S_n)$ , respectively, then  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in distribution if and only if  $S_n \rightarrow S$  pointwise.

**Proof of Corollary.** If  $S_n \rightarrow S$ , then  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in distribution by Theorem 1. Conversely, suppose that  $P_n \rightarrow P$  in distribution. Since  $f_z(w) := (w - z)^{-1}$  is continuous and bounded in  $w$  for fixed  $z$  in  $\{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ , then  $\text{Im}(f_z)$  and  $\text{Re}(f_z)$  are also continuous and bounded, so by the basic equivalence of convergence in distribution of p.m.'s and convergence of integrals of bounded continuous functions [C, Theorem 4.4.2],  $\int \text{Im}(f_z) dP_n \rightarrow \int \text{Im}(f_z) dP$  and  $\int \text{Re}(f_z) dP_n \rightarrow \int \text{Re}(f_z) dP$ , so  $S_n(z) \rightarrow S(z)$ .  $\square$

To facilitate the proof of Theorem 1, two additional lemmas are useful, which are stated here for ease of reference.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S : \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic. Then there exists a p.m.  $P$  with  $S_P(z) = S(z)$  for all  $z$  with  $\text{Im}(z) > 0$  if and only if (1) holds and*

$$\text{Im}(S(z)) > 0 \quad \text{for all } z \text{ with } \text{Im}(z) > 0. \quad (2)$$

**Proof.** By the classical Akhiezer-Krein theorem [A, p. 93],  $S = S_P$  for some finite Borel measure  $P$  if and only if:  $S$  is analytic in  $\{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ ;  $S$  satisfies (2); and

$$\sup_{y \geq 1} |yS(iy)| < \infty. \quad (3)$$

Suppose  $P$  is a p.m. with  $S = S_P$ . The Akhiezer-Krein theorem implies that (2) holds, and (1) follows immediately from the definition of  $S_P$ . Conversely, suppose that  $S$  is analytic and satisfies (1) and (2). Since  $yS(iy)$  is continuous in  $y$ , (1) easily implies (3), so by the Akhiezer-Krein theorem again, there is a finite Borel measure  $P$  with  $S_P = S$ . Since clearly  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} [-iyS_P(iy)] = \text{mass}(P)$ , (1) implies that  $P$  is a p.m.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of functions analytic in a connected open domain  $D$ . If for each compact  $K \subset D$  there exists a constant  $M(K) < \infty$  such that*

$$(4) \quad |f(z)| \leq M(K) \quad \text{for all } z \in K \text{ and all } f \in \mathcal{F},$$

*then all pointwise limits of functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  are also analytic in  $D$ .*

**Proof.** ([H, Theorem 15.2.3]).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** If  $\lim S_n = S = S_P$  for some p.m.  $P$ , then (1) follows by Lemma 2.

Conversely, suppose that  $S = \lim_n S_n$  satisfies (1). Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\bigcup S_n\}$ , and for  $K \subset D := \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ , let  $d(K) = \inf\{\|y - z\| : y \in \mathbb{R}, z \in K\}$ , the smallest distance from  $K$  to the real line. Clearly  $0 < d(K) < \infty$  for all compact  $K \subset D$ , and  $M(K) = 1/d(K)$  satisfies (4), so Lemma 3 implies that  $S = \lim S_n$  is analytic in  $D$ . By Lemma 2,  $\text{Im}(S_n(z)) > 0$  for all  $z \in D$ , so  $\text{Im}(S(z)) \geq 0$  for all  $z \in D$ . Suppose, by way of contradiction to (2), that  $\text{Im}(S(z_0)) = 0$  for some  $z_0 \in D$ . Since  $S$  is analytic,  $\text{Im}(S)$  and  $\text{Re}(S)$  are harmonic on  $D$  [K, p. 590]. By the maximum principle [K, p. 760], a non-constant function which is harmonic in a simply connected bounded open set  $G$  has neither a maximum nor a minimum in  $G$ , so since  $\text{Im}(S(z)) \geq 0$  on  $G$  for every simply connected open bounded set  $G$  with  $z_0 \in G \subset D$ , it follows (taking  $G_t = \{z \in D : \|z\| < t\}$ , and letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ) that  $\text{Im}(S(z)) \equiv 0$  for all  $z \in D$ , which contradicts (1). Thus (2) holds, and since  $S$  is analytic and (1) holds by assumption, Lemma 2 implies there exists a real Borel p.m.  $P$  with  $S_P = S$ .

For the convergence in distribution conclusion, suppose that  $S_n = S_{P_n} \rightarrow S_P$  pointwise in  $D$  for p.m.'s  $(P_n)$ ,  $P$ . By the Helly selection theorem [C, Theorem 4.3.3], there exists a s.p.m.  $Q$  and a subsequence  $(P_{n_k})$  of  $(P_n)$  such that  $P_{n_k} \rightarrow Q$  vaguely. Fix  $z$  in  $D$ , and let  $f_z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $f_z(w) = (w - z)^{-1}$ . Since  $f_z$  is continuous in  $w$  and vanishes at infinity,  $\text{Re}(f_z)$  and  $\text{Im}(f_z)$  are continuous and vanish at infinity, so it follows by the equivalence of vague convergence of s.p.m.'s and convergence of integrals of continuous functions which vanish at infinity [C, Theorem 4.4.1] that  $S_{P_{n_k}}(z) \rightarrow S_Q(z)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $z \in D$ . By hypothesis,  $S_{P_n} \rightarrow S_P$ , so  $S_P = S_Q$ , which by Lemma 1 implies that  $P = Q$ . Since every vaguely convergent subsequence of  $(P_n)$  thus converges to  $P$ , this implies [C, Theorem 4.3.4] that  $P_n$  converges vaguely to  $P$ , that is, since  $(P_n)$  and  $P$  are p.m.'s,  $P_n$  converges to  $P$  in distribution.  $\square$

**Sketch of Alternative Proof.** (B. Simon [S2]). The functions  $\{S_{P_n}\}$  are Herglotz functions, so the limit  $S$  is Herglotz, and pointwise convergence  $S_n \rightarrow S$  implies weak convergence for the measures  $(1+x^2)^{-1}dP_n$  to a measure  $P$  on  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , where  $(1+x^2)^{-1}dP$  is finite. Given that  $S(iy) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $P(\{-\infty, \infty\}) = 0$ , so it follows using the fact

that  $S_{P_n} \rightarrow S_P$ , the Herglotz representation theorem, and the monotone convergence theorem, that  $P$  is a p.m. Then weak convergence of  $P_n$  to  $P$  can be shown given the weak convergence of the measures when multiplied by  $(1 + x^2)^{-1}$ . (For related ideas, see pp. 129–130 in [S1]).  $\square$

## References

- [A] Akhiezer, N. (1965). *The Classical Moment Problem*, Hafner, New York.
- [AK] Akhiezer, N. and Krein, M. (1962). *Some Questions in the Theory of Moments, Translation of Mathematical Monographs*, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Society, Providence.
- [C] Chung, K. (1968). *A Course in Probability*, 2nd Ed., Academic Press, San Diego.
- [HK] Hill, T. and Krenzel, U. (2001). “Levy-like continuity theorems for convergence in distribution,” accepted for publication in *Proceedings of the Göttingen Academy of Sciences*.
- [HS] Hill, T. and Spruill, M. (1994). “On the relationship between convergence in distribution and convergence of expected extremes,” *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121**, 1235–1243.
- [H] Hille, E. (1959). *Analytic Function Theory*, vol. II, Chelsea, NY.
- [K] Kreyszig, E. (1962). *Advanced Engineering Mathematics*, Wiley, New York.
- [S1] Simon, B. (1998). “The classical moment problem as a self-adjoint finite difference operator,” *Adv. in Math.* **137**, 82–203.
- [S2] Simon, B. (2001). Private communication.
- [ST] Shohat, J. and Tamarkin, J. (1943). “The problem of moments,” *Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys* **1**.