

MINUTES
Faculty Sub-Council
California State Polytechnic College
Thursday, March 21, 1968

Chet
Place
Pres.
on agenda
3/29/68

1. A quorum being present, the Faculty Sub-Council was called to order at 10:40 a.m. by Chairman Corwin Johnson.
2. The following members were present: R. Anderson, R. Andreini, D. Andrews, G. Chizek, F. Crane, W. Curtis, H. Finch, R. Frost, G. Furimsky, M. Gold, D. Grant, R. L. Graves, D. Hensel, A. Higdon, E. Hyer, C. Johnson, R. Keif, I. Kogan, W. Loper, B. Loughran, T. Meyer, L. Osteyee, H. Rhoads, H. Rickard, W. Schroeder, G. Sewber, E. Smith, E. Strasser, H. Walker, R. Wheeler, A. Wirshup, V. Wolcott, G. Hasslein. Guests: J. Stone and D. Cook.
3. Dean Hensel presented the Curriculum Committee report of March 12, 1968. Vice President Andrews pointed out the second five years of the Academic Master Plan--Phase III - 1974-1978 degree target dates should be omitted. The B.S. degree in Maricultural Engineering proposal was postponed to the second five years of Phase III.
4. Dean Higdon moved that Sections G3, G4 and the fifth paragraph of page 2 of the report be deleted. Vice President Andrews seconded the motion which was carried on a 17 to 6 vote. The omitted sections comprise the following:

"G. Summary of recommendations which differ from the action of the Academic Council taken on January 15, 1968.

"3. Delete B.S. in Statistics.

"4. Endorse M.S. in Statistics, but change date from 1976 to 1972."

and page 2, fifth paragraph:

"We endorse an M.S. in statistics, to be implemented in 1972. This date was recommended by the Mathematics Department, but the date was changed to 1976 as finally approved by the Academic Council. We recommend that the proposed B.S. in statistics be deferred until the Chancellor's office responds to the proposal for an M.S. in statistics. If the latter is rejected on the grounds that a B.S. should be offered first, the present option of probability and statistics in the mathematics major could be converted to major status quite readily."

Dean Higdon moved and Bill Curtis seconded an amendment to delete section H of the report. The motion was defeated on a voice vote. Roy Anderson moved and Marc Gold seconded another motion regarding section H which passed on a voice vote. Section H is quoted below with the approved last sentence of the Anderson amendment:

"H. Additional recommendations concerning procedure:

- "1. We recommend, commencing in the fall of 1968, that the Faculty-Staff Council, or its faculty counterpart, defer consideration of curricular proposals which do not meet established deadlines and that such deferment be construed as failure to be consulted.
- "2. We recommend, commencing in the fall of 1968, that approval from the Chancellor's office for new degree programs precede consideration of catalog copy for the same degree programs.

"This policy provides at least one year of lag time between new degree proposals and curricular implementation of such programs. The problem is particularly severe this year. Seven new degree programs are being recommended for 1969. But the catalog deadline for all departments and schools for curricular implementation has passed. As a consequence, the involved departments and the various curricular review committees have a double workload even before the Chancellor has approved any of the new programs.

"Adoption of this policy at this time would hurt no department. As we begin Phase IV next year, all departments could be informed that 1971 would be the earliest year for implementation. We would no longer have new degree proposals and catalog copy for the same coming in simultaneously.

"The Faculty-Staff Council or its counterpart should have the opportunity to recommend exceptions to this general procedure for programs of merit which require such action."

5. Dean Hensel moved, Rod Keif seconded, the amended report that the Faculty Sub-Council recommends to the President that he approve the summary tabulation of degree programs of the College's Academic Master Plan for the academic years 1968-1969 to 1972-1973 as amended by the attached report of March 12, 1968. The motion carried on voice vote without opposition.

The Summary Tabulation of Phase III as recommended by the Academic Council, January 15, 1968 and modified today is shown below:

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC MASTER PLAN - PHASE III . . . SUMMARY TABULATION OF DEGREE PROGRAMS
(as recommended by the Academic Council, January 15, 1968)

Degree Terminology	Existing	Phase II	First Half		Phase III			
			1968- 1969	1969- 1970	1970- 1971	1971- 1972	1972- 1973	
Agriculture		MS-1969						
Agricultural Business Management	BS							
Agricultural Engineering	BS							
Agricultural Sciences		BS-1968**						
Animal Husbandry	BS						BS	
Animal Technology								
Crops Production	BS							
Dairy	BS							
Farm Management	BS							
Food Processing	BS					MS		
Fruit Production	BS							
International Agriculture				MS				
Maricultural Engineering								
Mechanized Agriculture	BS							
Natural Resources Management		BS-1968*						
Ornamental Horticulture	BS							
Poultry Industry	BS							
Soil Sciences	BS							
Business Administration	BS	MBA-1970						
Child Development		BS-1968*						
Education	MA							
English	BS	MA-1968*	BA					
Home Economics	BS	MS-1968*						
Industrial Technology ¹				BS		MS		
Journalism	BS							
Physical Education	BS	MS-1968*						

* approved by Chancellor's Office to offer
** deferred to 1970 by School of Agriculture
1 change of name for "Technical Arts"

Degree Terminology	Existing	Phase II	1968-1969	First Half 1969-1970	1970-1971	Phase III 1971-1972	1972-1973
Printing Technology & Management Speech ¹ Technical Arts Vocational Education	BS BS BV Ed			BA			
Applied Mathematics Behavioral Sciences Biochemistry Biological Sciences Chemistry Computer Sciences Government History Mathematics Physics Social Sciences Statistics	BS BS,MS BS BS BS BS	MS-1968* BS-1969 MA-1968* MA-1969***	BA			MS BA	BS
Architecture Architectural Engineering City and Regional Planning Construction Engineering Landscape Architecture	B Arch BS	MS-1970 BS-1968* MCP-1970 BS-1969* BS-1970					
Aeronautical Engineering Civil Engineering Electrical Engineering	BS BS			BS		MS	

* approved by Chancellor's Office to offer

*** proposed deletion by Social Sciences Department

1 name changed to "Industrial Technology"

Degree Terminology	Existing	Phase II	First Half			Phase III		
			1968- 1969	1969- 1970	1970- 1971	1971- 1972	1972- 1973	
Electronic Engineering	BS	M Engr-1969						
Engineering								
Engineering Sciences				BS				
Engineering Technology ²				BS				
Environmental Engineering	BS							
Industrial Engineering	BS							
Mechanical Engineering	BS							
Metallurgical Engineering	BS							

* approved by Chancellor's Office to offer

2 curriculum to be administered to avoid duplication with "Industrial Technology"

The following degree programs proposed by departments were not recommended by the Academic Council for inclusion in Phase III:

Applied Arts (B.A., 1969)

Audio-Visual Communications (B.A., 1970)

Sociology (B.A., 1971)

6. Howard Rhoads deferred the Instruction Committee recommendation of March 11, 1968, to the next council meeting.
7. Chairman Johnson also deferred the Constitution Committee inquiry on the Membership Formula--Academic Senate of March 19, 1968, to the next meeting.
8. The next meeting of the entire council will be April 9, at 3:00 p.m. in the Staff Dining Room.
9. Chairman Johnson adjourned the meeting at 12:15 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard Walker, Acting Secretary

MEMORANDUM

TO: Corwin M. Johnson, Chairman
Faculty-Staff Council

FROM: Instruction Committee (H. Rhoads, J. Stone
R. Frost, Allen Miller, John Heinz)

SUBJECT: Recommendation for Next Council Meeting.

DATE: March 11, 1968

CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC COLLEGE

San Luis Obispo, California

I. PROJECT CROSS-FERTILIZATION

The Instruction Committee believes that the occasional teaching by a faculty member of courses outside his own department could increase his awareness of the requirements of areas for which he is teaching service courses (or his awareness of the content of service courses serving his own area), could further his professional development, and could improve the personal relationships between departments. It is proposed that such interdepartmental teaching assignments be encouraged in the following way:

1. A catalog should be made of the competence and willingness of individual faculty members to teach in areas outside their own departments.
2. Where possible, such assignments should be arranged as exchanges between departments.
3. Where direct exchanges are not possible, part-time positions, or positions not assigned to single departments should be used to fill the usual teaching assignment of the man given an extra-departmental assignment.
4. An active attempt should be made to provide interdepartmental assignments on a regular basis at a time of year that will facilitate scheduling. For example, one such assignment might be provided in a department each year, in the spring quarter.

II. PROJECT INNOVATION

Many projects for the innovative improvement of instruction would be possible if a faculty member could concentrate all or a large part of his efforts to such a project for a period of time, without his normal load of teaching duties. Such projects include the development of new courses, the development of new teaching materials, the development and use of the proposed library "learning resources center," etc. The provision of a few teaching positions in the College for the specific purpose of encouraging such projects for the improvement of instruction would permit such special faculty assignments without penalizing the department involved. Such positions might also facilitate desirable inter-departmental teaching assignments as suggested above.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- I. The Faculty-Staff Council recommend to the President of the College that he consider the administrative possibility of actively encouraging occasional inter-departmental and inter-school teaching assignments for the purpose of improving instruction and communication within the College.
- II. The Faculty-Staff Council recommend to the President of the College that he study the feasibility of establishing a few positions in the College to be used for programs for the innovative improvement of instruction.