

MINUTES

Faculty-Staff Council
California State Polytechnic College
Tuesday - November 14, 1967

1. A quorum being present, the Faculty-Staff Council was called to order at 3:20 p.m. by Chairman Corwin Johnson.

The following members were present: W. Alexander, R. Anderson, W. Anderson, R. Andreini, E. Chandler, O. Casey, G. Chizek, F. Crane, C. Cummins, W. Curtis, B. Dickson, E. Dorrough, H. Finch, C. Fisher, B. Fitzgerald, J. Fredericks, R. Frost, G. Furimsky, V. Gates, J. C. Gibson, M. Gold, D. Grant, R. L. Graves, D. Hensel, A. Higdon, E. Hyer, C. Johnson, R. Keif, I. Kogan, D. Lawson, L. Lewellyn, W. Loper, B. Loughran, T. Meyer, A. Miller, R. Morton, B. Mounts, D. Nelson, L. Osteyee, W. Phillips, E. Reagan, H. Rhoads, H. Rickard, W. Schroeder, G. Seeber, E. Smith, E. Strasser, H. Walker, A. Wirshup, V. Wolcott.

2. The minutes of the October 10, 1967, meeting were approved as submitted.
3. The minutes of the October 24, 1967, meeting of the Staff Sub-Council meeting were approved as submitted.
4. Moved by Furimsky and seconded by Graves:

The Faculty-Staff Council recommends to the President that favorable action be taken with respect to the creation of a School of Architecture.

Recommendation approved without objection on voice vote.

5. Moved by Rhoads and seconded by Gold:

The Faculty-Staff Council recommends to the President that:

- (a) He thank the students originating the pass-fail proposal both for their interest and their effort towards improvement of the college program.
- (b) He report to the students that the faculty is not convinced that further study of a pass-fail grading system would be profitable at this time since work in other areas appears to offer a better prospect for improving the effectiveness of college instruction.
- (c) If the students feel that further study is indicated, the Faculty-Staff Council would be happy to receive the results of a documented student study sponsored by SAC of the pros and cons for the system and reconsider its position. Such a study would include at least the following:
 - (1) evidence of the effectiveness of the pass-fail system, (2) evidence of superiority of the system, (3) specific suggestions for meeting the problems associated with implementing the system.

Recommendation approved without objection on voice vote.

6. Moved by Hyer and seconded by Higdon:

Whereas, most of the authority to be delegated in the Resolution on Delegation of Authority now pending before the Academic Senate of the California State Colleges does historically and logically belong to the faculties of the several colleges.

The Faculty-Staff Council recommends to the President that the Resolution on Delegation of Authority should be opposed.

Recommendation approved without objection on voice vote.

November 14, 1967

7. The chairman of the Instruction Committee, Howard Rhoads, reported on the work of his committee. The committee meets each Tuesday, except when the Council is in session, from 2 - 4 p.m., in English 213. Guests are welcome. In its attempt "to discover ways and means to help teachers become better teachers," the committee has noted some specific examples which it will consider. These examples have been divided into a first category, those which may be easily accepted by teachers, and a second category, those which may be accepted with more caution by teachers.

First category examples:

- (1) Travel allowance increases for attendance of professional meetings.
- (2) Re-evaluation of the test-week concept.
- (3) IBM registration to extend the days of teaching time available.
- (4) Examination of room formulas.
- (5) Team teaching of large sections instead of numerous small ones.
- (6) Additional professional help for preparing A-V materials.
- (7) Additional state vehicles.
- (8) More filing cabinets to eliminate the piling systems now used.

Second category examples:

- (1) A seminar series presented by recipients of the Outstanding Teacher Award, A-V specialists, education specialists in methodology and testing. Attendance possibly mandatory for new or inexperienced teachers.
- (2) Open door classroom policy college-wide with visitations and critique from colleagues.
- (3) Utilization of a TV unit for self appraisal on an instant playback basis.
- (4) Appointment of a master teacher to visit classes on request with personal critique provided in private conference.
- (5) Development of a reader's digest type quiz with yes or no answers that would indicate whether one is doing a good job compared to others.

8. Rodney Keif reported that the Academic Council has recommended a small increase in the target enrollment projections of the College for 1968-69. The figure projected last January for Fall, 1968, was 8875. The new figure, based on our enrollment experience for Fall, 1967, and the recommendations of the departments and schools, is 9099 for Fall, 1968. Acceptance of this new figure by the Chancellor's Office would result in an increase in our budget request for 1968-69. General satisfaction was expressed in the discussion of this new enrollment projection. It was noted that the Faculty-Staff Council was not in a position at this date to make any detailed review of the Academic Council's recommendation and that the recommendation of the Academic Council was likely to be approved by the President if we took no contrary action. No motion for action was offered.

Respectfully submitted,

William M. Alexander, Secretary