

ACADEMIC SENATE - MINUTES
Continued Meeting
May 18, 1971

I. Session re-opened by Chairman Will Alexander in Faculty Dining Room at 3:15 p.m.

II. Members present were:

W. Alexander (5/11, 5/18)	D. Grant (5/11, 5/18)	M. O'Leary (5/11, 5/18)
R. Anderson (5/11, 5/18)	C. Hanks (5/11, 5/18)	B. Olsen (5/11, 5/18)
A. Andreoli (5/11, 5/18)	S. Harden (5/11, 5/18)	R. Pautz (5/11, 5/18)
W. Boyce (5/11, 5/18)	D. Head (5/11, 5/18)	J. Peterson (5/11)
M. Brady (5/11, 5/18)	H. Honegger (5/11, 5/18)	J. Price (5/11, 5/18)
S. Burroughs (5/11, 5/18)	A. James (5/11)	C. Quinlan (5/11, 5/18)
R. Burton (5/11, 5/18)	C. Johnson (5/11, 5/18)	R. Ratcliffe (5/11, 5/18)
T. Carpenter (5/11, 5/18)	T. Johnston (5/11, 5/18)	H. Rhoads (5/11, 5/18)
R. Carruthers (5/11)	A. Landyshev (5/11, 5/18)	W. Rice (5/11, 5/18)
R. Cleath (5/11, 5/18)	J. Lowry (5/11, 5/18)	H. Rickard (5/11, 5/18)
F. Clogston (5/11, 5/18)	D. Morgan (5/11)	R. Ritschard (5/11, 5/18)
F. Coyes (5/11, 5/18)	J. Mott (5/11, 5/18)	J. Rogalla (5/11, 5/18)
R. Frost (5/11, 5/18)	D. Nickell (5/11)	A. Rosen (5/11, 5/18)
M. Gold (5/11, 5/18)		

H. Scales (5/11, 5/18)	L. Voss (5/11, 5/18)
H. Smith (5/11, 5/18)	J. Weatherby (5/11, 5/18)
M. Smith (5/11, 5/18)	R. Wheeler (5/11)
N. Smith III (5/11, 5/18)	M. Whitson (5/11, 5/18)
R. Sorenson (5/11, 5/18)	M. Wilks (5/11, 5/18)
J. Stuart (5/11, 5/18)	M. Wills (5/11, 5/18)
D. Stubbs (5/11, 5/18)	

Ex-Officio (Voting):

G. Clucas (5/11)	J. Ericson (5/11, 5/18)	C. Gibson (5/11)
C. Cummins (5/11, 5/18)	C. Fisher (5/11, 5/18)	G. Hasslein (5/11, 5/18)
A. Higdon (5/11)	C. Russell (for Higdon 5/18)	

Ex-Officio (Non Voting):

D. Andrews (5/11, 5/18)

Guests:

C. Gerald (5/11, 5/18)	M. McRobbie (5/18)
W. Bruckart (5/18)	E. Owen (5/18)

III. Business Items

E. Curriculum Committee - Dwayne Head

MSC (D. Head, sec. M. Whitson) that the Academic Senate endorse the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee regarding Catalog changes requested by the School of Science and Mathematics for 1972-73. (See Attachment C, Agenda, May 11, 1971) Motion passed by a unanimous voice vote.

ACADEMIC SENATE - MINUTES

May 18, 1971

Page 2

- F. Special Committee on the Chancellor's Proposals for Reform in Higher Education - David Grant

MSC (D. Grant, sec. N. Smith) that the Chairman of the Academic Senate, Cal Poly, augment the membership of the Special Committee on the Chancellor's Proposals with the addition of two students selected by SAC. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

- G. Personnel Policies Committee - Howard Rhoads

MSC (H. Rhoads, sec. W. Rice) that the Academic Senate adopt the resolution, as corrected (1. "...~~April~~ February 1 ...; 2...than ~~May~~ March 1 ..."), regarding the selection of a representative of Cal Poly to the CSC Academic Council on International Programs. (See Attachment D, Agenda, May 18, 1971) Motion passed by a unanimous voice vote.

- H. Curriculum Committee - Dwayne Head

MSC (D. Head, sec. J. Stuart) that the Academic Senate endorse the recommendations of the Curriculum Committee regarding the Catalog changes requested by the School of Engineering and Technology. (See Attachment E, as amended, Agenda, May 18, 1971.) A motion (G. Hasslein, sec. T. Johnston) to change the title of EnvE 310 from Environmental Systems for Buildings to Mechanical Systems for Buildings failed to pass by a vote of 8 for and 40 against. Math 304 Advanced Analysis for Science (4) was deleted. A motion (N. Smith, sec. H. Honegger) to change "reject" to "endorse" IT 344 Technical Drawing and IT 441 Metal Production Processes passed by a vote of 30 for and 11 against.

- I. Personnel Policies Committee - Howard Rhoads

MSC (H. Rhoads, sec. B. Olsen) that the Academic Senate adopt and approve the following statement in response to the Trustees' F&SA Committee's proposed Title V Policy Changes dealing with the procedures for dismissal of academic employees with tenure and send copies of the adopted statement to the President, the Cal Poly Statewide Academic Senators, and the Faculty Affairs Committee of the Statewide Academic Senate. (See statement as Attachment F, Agenda, May 18, 1971.) Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

- J. Personnel Policies Committee - Howard Rhoads

MSC (H. Rhoads, sec. C. Johnson) that the Academic Senate adopt and approve the attached statement in response to the Trustees' Committee on Finance's proposed Title V Policy Changes dealing with certification of attendance by employees as a condition for payment during a particular pay period and send copies of the adopted statement to the President, the Cal Poly Statewide Academic Senators, and the Faculty Affairs Committee of the Statewide Academic Senate. Motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

(For statement see Attachment G, Agenda, May 18, 1971.)

K. Student Evaluations Proposal - David Grant

MSC (D. Grant, sec. A. Landyshev) that the Academic Senate SIO reaffirm its support of student evaluation of academic instruction, and further that the Academic Senate SIO recommend full cooperation of all faculty, departments, and schools with student evaluation which is used in accordance with existing faculty personnel policies, but carried out by students with no interposition of faculty control or supervision of such evaluations. (Underlined portion of motion resulted from amendment proposed by A. Rosen, seconded by R. Burton, and passed by a 50-1 vote.) Main motion passed as amended, by a unanimous voice vote.

IV. Meeting recessed by Chairman Will Alexander at 4:35 p.m. The next continuation of the May 11, 1971 session will be held May 25, 1971.

ATTACHMENT G
Agenda, May 18, 1971

Academic Senate - Cal Poly
May 11, 1971
DRAFT

The Academic Senate at California State Polytechnic College, San Luis Obispo, is opposed to the proposed amendments to Section 42806 of Article 3, Subchapter 6, Chapter 1, Part V, Title 5 of the California Administrative Code which would require non-academic, administrative, and academic employees to individually certify the accuracy of attendance reports during each pay period.

With regard to faculty, this Senate assumes that faculty members in the California State Colleges are persons with professional integrity and are performing their teaching and other duties in a professional, responsible manner. We believe that the proposed changes in payroll certification procedures are ill-advised and not in the best interests of the California State Colleges and recommend that the existing language in Title 5 be retained for the following reasons:

- (1) The existing language already permits a College President to require individual certification when a need is shown.
- (2) A system-wide certification requirement would tend to alienate and polarize faculty from administration and encourage distrust.
- (3) Reporting attendance on a due date based on individual certification signatures, rather than department head signatures, would be very difficult to meet and some persons could have their paycheck delivery severely delayed.
- (4) Individual certification would be inconvenient and expensive to administer when all factors, including administrative and faculty time loss in implementation are considered.
- (5) Individual certification is not consistent with practices existing in U.S. Colleges and Universities and would, therefore, discourage recruitment and retention of qualified faculty in the California State College System.

In summary, isolated cases of discrepancy should not be the basis for sweeping changes in the long-standing payroll certification procedures. The existing Title 5 language should be retained.