

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
May 12, 1981

Chair, Tim Kersten
Vice Chair, Rod Keif
Secretary, John Harris

Members Present: Burroughs, Cooper, Dingus, Goldenberg, Harris, Hill, Keif, Kersten, Riedlsperger, Rockman, Shaffer, Sharp, Tseng. Guests: Ron Brown, Polly Cooper.

- I. Minutes The minutes were approved as distributed.
- II. Announcements None.
- III. Business Items

A. Confirmation of Appointments

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Goldenberg) to approve the appointment of Bette Tryon as a leave replacement for Sarah Burroughs. Later discussion questioned legality of appointment, Kersten to rule on legality.

M/S/P (Tseng, Burroughs) to approve the appointment of Daniel Hawthorne as a leave replacement for Chuck Slem.

B. Resolution on Multi-Criteria Admission (Kersten)

Important issue now because of admission decisions that are currently taking place.

Changes to resolution: In first Whereas add underlined word: admission of undergraduate students; in first Resolved the committee refers from each School (reword to emphasize School committee); in second Resolved add: are to be forwarded to (underlined word).

Comments/Questions: Why are four people on the School committees and not an odd number for voting purposes? Shouldn't the School caucus recommend the members of the committee? Shouldn't a School assign this function to an appropriate committee if it already exists? (e.g., Business) Shouldn't the agenda be reordered to deal with the matter or the matter should be brought up in the fall when the new Senate can completely address to issue.

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Rockman) To accept in principle the intent of the resolution with the suggested changes being considered as First Reading item at the next Senate meeting.

C. Technical Assistance Augmentation

Background: Cal Poly has a distinct disadvantage with the current formula for the calculation of technical assistance.

Amendment to Resolved clause: M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Sharp) to insert the underlined words: That the Academic Senate urge the President to request that the Chancellor provide a special augmentation for technical/craft positions to California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, instead of the previous clause.

M/S/P (Sharp, Riedlsperger) to move this item to a First Reading item at the next Academic Senate meeting.

D. Energy Coordinator Resolution

Concern was expressed if it was an academic related issue rather than an operations matter. The legality of changing the allocation from things to people not possible. Suggestion was made that a background statement was needed for the resolution; the Whereas clauses need to support the Resolved clause; the position should be clarified as to faculty or staff.

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Hill) to refer the resolution back to committee.

IV. Discussion Items

A. Dead Week - ASI Proposal

Background: The proposal emphasizes no tests in the last week. The problem seems to be when finals are given rather than the dead week concept when all is quiet and nothing happens that week.

Generally not supportive of the ASI motion, but supportive of having finals given when they are to be scheduled. It is a difficult matter to legislate but more of one to apply peer pressure to follow the rules.

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Hill) to refer to the Instruction Committee for their examination of the following two topics: Abuses to the scheduling of finals by faculty and the possibility of "Dead Time" prior to final examinations.

B. Request for Emeritus Status Classification

Background: Larry Voss has asked the Executive Committee to make a recommendation concerning the request by a member of the current business faculty to make John R. Jones, with eleven plus years of service, a Professor Emeritus. No exceptions have been made in the past to the minimum of fifteen years of service. Emeritus is a quantity issue on this campus, not a quality issue.

Comments: There were no reasons stated concerning why an exception should be made. The Statewide Senate is dealing with this issue strictly from a quality aspect. Since exceptions which have been closer in time have previously not been made, the time issue should stand.

M/S/F (Keif, Dingus) 2 yes, 5 no, 3 abstentions.

C. Status of the Constitutional Revision

Process will proceed as follows: Ask for reaction to revised draft from key groups, make changes, redistribute to entire faculty for examination, have referendum during fall quarter. The majority of changes have occurred since the last version in the sections on membership and powers.

Questions/Comments: Why not have membership from each department rather than the stated basis of membership? Isn't the 45 day time turnaround an unrealistic time frame based upon current Senate meeting schedule? Reaction to Sharp's letter: A department head could still run for the Senate.

D. Personnel Policies Committee - Comments

Promotion packages examined; Personnel Review Committee may be recommended to be extinguished or a new role given to it.

Question: Ad Hoc Professional Development Committee, when is the committee to be constituted? Probably fall quarter.