

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO  
ACADEMIC SENATE  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES  
October 28, 1980

Chair, Tim Kersten  
Vice Chair, Rod Keif  
Secretary, John Harris

Present: Burroughs, Dingus, Goldenberg, Harris, Hill, Jones, Keif, Kersten, Riedlsperger, Rockman, Shaffer, Sharp, Tseng. Visitors: Brown and Wilson.

I. Minutes The minutes were approved as distributed.

II. Announcements

- A. Max Riedlsperger clarified a misquote in the Mustang Daily concerning Academic Senate.
- B. Please contact Charles Hicks or Cheri Burns if you have a desire to have input in the grant proposal for advising. Faculty input is being encouraged.
- C. Tim Kersten is looking for a representative from under-represented groups (physically handicapped especially) for selection of a Student Affirmative Action Coordinator (to serve on the search committee).
- D. Harry Sharp asked for clarification on the procedures for the Distinguished Teacher Award Committee, have all members been appointed to the committee? Tim Kersten indicated that all openings had been filled.

III. Business Items

- A. Resolution on Equity Deviation for Laboratory Instruction (Dingus)

Goldenberg indicated that Don Coats felt that the School of Agriculture could resolve the problem internally and that such action should take place if possible.

M/S/P (Dingus, Burroughs) to table the resolution.

IV. Discussion Items

- A. Changes in the Personnel Cycle Dates

Dr. Jones indicated that the major problem seemed to involve sufficient time for both the Personnel Review Committee and President Baker.

As first and second year considerations have historically not gone to the PRC, the dates should be kept at the current dates.

The changes made in the proposal by Dr. Jones affects both 3 - 6 year and tenure and promotions.

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Sharp) to refer the proposal to the Personnel Policies Committee (copy to the Personnel Review Committee, with their input to be forwarded to the PPC, then forward both recommendations to the Executive Committee) and ask for recommendation concerning the proposal to be returned by the November 18, 1980 Executive Committee meeting. At that time the item will be placed on the agenda as a business item.

B. Curriculum Proposal from Physical Education

Malcolm Wilson explained that the Athletic Department and the Physical Education Department had been split after the 1981-1983 catalog material deadlines. Malcolm Wilson discerned that the Athletic Department wielded a distinct effect on curriculum. Also, there did not exist a stand-alone baccalaureate degree previously in the department. Because of this special administrative situation, it was agreed by the Executive Committee, if approved by the School of Human Development and Education, to forward the package to the Curriculum Committee for examination.

C. Senate Involvement in Faculty Salary Proposal

Tim Kersten met with President Baker concerning this subject. President Baker suggested an Ad Hoc Advisory Committee be put together to advise him on such matters. Possible conflicts with collective bargaining needs to be checked out before proceeding to have faculty sit on such a committee. The composition was suggested to be three to four Academic Deans, and three to four faculty. Questions arose concerning the representation of the administration and should a department head be a part of the administrative input. The chair was asked to proceed with the formation of details of the committee after the November Board of Trustees meeting.

D. Resolution on Athletic Advisory Task Force

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Goldenberg) to place the resolution on the floor as a first reading item with a letter by the President as an attachment.

It was suggested to have the Executive Committee or a subcommittee of the Executive Committee, with Don Morgan present, attempt to reach a consensus for one resolution rather than two resolutions.

E. New Committee Proposal

Because there was no authorship of the proposal, the body felt that it should not be examined.

F. Personnel Review Committee

The committee has felt that it has had little effect on personnel decisions in the past. What should be done with the committee to see what changes, if any, can be made to make it more effective. Is the committee even necessary? No decision was made as to what committee this issue should be referred to.

G. Grade Change Forms and Procedures

Ron Brown indicated that the proposal before the body was not necessary as the Records Office had gone back to the previous grade change procedure. The Records Office, without consultation, had changed the procedure to remove the instructor and department copies and send the remaining two copies forward to the administration. This was deemed to be a questionable process and created security problems. A grade change could have been made without the instructor knowing such a change had occurred.

Kersten was instructed to draft a letter to the President expressing concern that the faculty desire to be consulted on matters that affected the faculty.