

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
August 5, 1980

Chair, Timothy Kersten
Vice Chair, Rod Keif
Secretary, John Harris

Present: Brown, Burroughs, Cooper, Dingus, Goldenberg, Hale, Harris, Hill, Keif, Kersten, Riedlsperger, Simmons (Sharp), Tseng, Weatherby, Wilson (Jones).

I. Minutes The minutes were approved as distributed.

II. Announcements

- A. Senate committee assignments need to be turned in to the Senate Office for the following Schools: Architecture, Business, and Human Development and Education.

III. Business Items

A. Policy on Student Teachers During Strikes and Other Emergencies

M/S/P (Goldenberg, Riedlsperger) with second sentence of "Background" changed from They to The Committee, to accept the Resolution on University Policy on Student Teaching and Field Experiences During Strikes and Other Emergencies.

B. Ad Hoc Committee on Interim General Education and Breadth Guidelines

Kersten explained that with the changes to Title V by the Trustees, an interim policy concerning general education and breadth was needed. In order that the Senate might address this matter for the inclusion in the 1981-1983 University Catalog, a committee consisting of the following individuals would be established and report to the Senate specific recommendations concerning General Education and Breadth: Ron Brown, John Harris, Rod Keif, Linden Nelson, Mike Wenzl. Kersten requested that the above committee be so charged. It was agreed by the Executive Committee to charge the committee with the above task.

IV. Discussion Items

A. Discontinuance of an Academic Program

Ron Brown indicated that the Instruction Committee had raised several questions concerning the matter of discontinuance to the Senate Chair during the 1980 Senate. At this time, should the Instruction Committee deal with the topic of Discontinuance of an Academic Program?

A recommendation was made to have Kersten draft a letter to the Academic Vice President which included the following questions reworded in an appropriate manner in order to give faculty direction as to how to proceed with input:

1. Who calls for review of academic programs?
2. Who appoints the review committee: Are they (it) ad hoc or regular?
3. Who determines which programs should be the subject of such a review?
4. Is the same procedure to be used for internal review of programs (Masters degree programs, degree option changes, etc.)?

B. Fall Conference

1. A social event will take place with a wine and cheese reception for Academic Senators on the President's patio at 3:30 PM on September 18, 1980. Gary Hart, Assemblyman, would be in attendance for Senators to chat with concerning education concerns for the State of California. Senators will be asked to donate to fund the event.
2. The faculty development program will be held during another time, other than Fall Conference.
3. A meeting of the Grievance Panel to be held during the Fall Conference was supported by the Academic Senate.
4. Senate meeting will take place at 10:00 AM, Tuesday, September 16, in Ag Engineering 123.
5. The importance of Senate committees functioning as soon as possible was stressed in order that critical issues might be given adequate examination.
6. M/S/P (Goldenberg, Riedlsperger) that the Fall Schedule program publish the time and location of the meetings of Senate Standing Committee meetings (passed unanimously) in order to increase attendance.

C. Senate Reaction to the Trustees' New Policy on Post Tenure Review

Evaluation would take place at least once every five years and to be a part of the personnel file of the individual. Specific procedures to be developed at the departmental level.

Weatherby indicated that CAM 341.1, part b, would have to be changed to implement the policy. Weatherby and Goldenberg will draft a motion to change this section of CAM for the September 30, 1980 Executive Committee meeting.

D. Senate Reaction to Presidential Selection Process

Suggestion to have Kersten draft a letter concerning the disappointment of the Academic Senate of Cal Poly for the procedures drafted concerning insufficient faculty representation in the selection of the University President by the Trustees.

E. Other

1. Bob Kully, Statewide Academic Senate Chair, will be on campus August 20 and 21, 1980. Opportunity to talk with him about state concerns.
2. Research Committee Del Dingus asked about the proposed procedures for faculty input concerning the Draft Report of the Role of Research at Cal Poly. There were two central issues that came from the discussion: 1. Should a Standing Committee of the Academic Senate be charged by the President to answer directly to the President rather than to the Academic Senate? and 2. What should the actual role of the Academic Senate Research Committee be?