

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - AGENDA
3:00 PM FOB 24B

OCT. 28, 1980

Chair, Tim Kersten
Vice Chair, Rod Keif
Secretary, John Harris

- I. Minutes
- II. Announcements
- III. Business Items
 - A. Resolution on Equity Deviation for Laboratory Instruction (Dingus) (Attachment)
- IV. Discussion Items
 - A. Changes in the Personnel Cycle Dates (Jones)
 - B. Curriculum Proposal from Physical Education (Wilson)
 - C. Senate Involvement in Faculty Salary Proposal Development (Kersten)
 - D. Resolution on the Athletic Advisory Task Force (Kersten) (Attachment)
 - E. New Committee Proposal--Oversight Committee (Kersten) (Attachment)

Memorandum

to : Tim Kersten, Chair
Academic Senate

Date : Oct. 20, 1980

File No.:

Copies : Ron Brown, Chair
Inst. Comm.
Ag. Senators

From : D. Dingus, Caucus Leader
School of Ag. & Nat. Res.

Subject: Resolution on Equity Deviation for Laboratory Instruction

The School of Agriculture and Natural Resources would like to have the following Resolution placed on the Executive Committee Agenda some time soon. We in the School of Agriculture and Natural Resources support the concept of equity in scheduling of classes, however, we have found that in teaching many laboratory sections, as a major means of instruction, results in a hardship as well as an inequity in scheduling for some departments. Your assistance in helping us by bringing the following resolution before the Senate will greatly be appreciated.

Attachment

WHEREAS, Faculty want equity in scheduling of activities; and

WHEREAS, The faculty realize lecture facilities are very limited (105) units and must be shared; and

WHEREAS, Often three hour laboratory periods occur at 8:00 AM, noon, and 3:00 PM (day time laboratories) sometimes causing conflict with CAM requirements of more than 50% of lectures be after noon (CAM 235.1.A.1) in departments with large laboratory teaching loads; therefore be it

RESOLVED: That those departments with a large portion of their teaching being in laboratories, which are put under hardship by the CAM rule, be permitted to deviate from the rule; and be it further

RESOLVED: That other scheduling resolutions that address equity remain operative.

NEW COMMITTEE PROPOSAL

Possible Titles: Oversight Committee
Administrative Oversight Committee
Executive Oversight Committee

Purpose of Committee:

The Oversight Committee shall conduct investigations into, and make assessments of, the implementation of university policies by administrators at Cal Poly. While most standing committees of the Academic Senate are responsible for formulating policy, it shall be the task of the Oversight Committee to examine the manner in which these policies are executed. It is intended that the committee carry out in-depth studies of policy impacts and administrative decisions thereby allowing other standing committees to continue with their ongoing work without major interruption.

Nothing in this section shall preclude oversight activity, as time permits, by other standing or ad hoc committees of the Academic Senate. The Executive Committee shall assign specific oversight responsibilities in the event of jurisdictional disputes between or among committees.

When reporting facts concerning administrative actions or inactions to the full Senate or to its committees, the Oversight Committee may recommend a course of action to be taken in light of the committee's findings. The committee is empowered to hold hearings and to seek information concerning administrative decisions and practices from any source. The Oversight Committee shall be composed of one faculty member from each school/division and one member from professional consultative services.

Possible Agenda Items for Study:

1. The propagation of political views by senior administrators through university channels.
2. The reorganization of academic departments at Cal Poly without the involvement of the Academic Senate as is required by Section 1c(2) in the Senate Constitution.
3. The planning and utilization of facilities at Cal Poly.
 - a. The lack of drafting tables when the Architecture Building was ready for use.
 - b. The lack of book shelves when the Kennedy Library was ready for use.
 - c. The lack of conference room furniture and chalkboards when the Faculty Office Building was ready for use.
 - d. The conversion of a child development lab (Xanadu) to an alumni and/or financial donor facility; movement of a daily educational activity into inferior lab space to make way for intermittent occupancy by new users.
4. The influence of corporate funding sources upon curricular decisions at Cal Poly, particularly the possible major in packaging.
5. The divergence between administrative rhetoric and performance in affirmative action for faculty; the exodus of affirmative action faculty at the end of academic year 1979-80.
6. The failure to follow the personnel calendar for notification of tenure decisions in 1979-80.
7. The nature of administrative leadership displayed in implementing the new General Education requirements at Cal Poly.
8. The delay in the implementation of minors at Cal Poly.
9. The degree to which consultation with faculty in academic matters is genuinely followed at Cal Poly.
10. The impact (or lack thereof) of faculty evaluations of administrators at Cal Poly; administrative hiring, retention, and promotion decisions.
11. The effectiveness of the student affairs operation at Cal Poly.
12. The subsidizing (release time and clerical support) of administrators to pursue higher degrees.
13. The staffing levels, responsibilities, productivity, organization, and cost of administration at Cal Poly; the overall effectiveness and necessity of the various components of the Cal Poly administration.
14. The performance of CAR; the increased number of classroom adds since the advent of CAR.
15. The administrative response to athletic eligibility problems.

ACADEMIC SENATE
of
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO

AS-103-80/EC
November 4, 1980

RESOLUTION REGARDING ATHLETIC ADVISORY COMMISSION

- RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of California Polytechnic State University accept the report of the Academic Senate Ad Hoc Committee on Athletics; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the report be forwarded to the President for inclusion in CAM, Section 172.3.

Function:

The Athletic Advisory Commission serves as an advisory body to the President. The Commission shall be responsible for insuring that the goals of the athletic programs are consistent with the educational objectives of the University and that the educational pursuits of student athletes maintain priority over their involvement in intercollegiate sports. The Commission shall inform the President of the state of the athletic programs and shall submit recommendations regarding any needed revisions in both policy and practice as they pertain to the programs as well as to the faculty, staff, and students involved.

Specifically, responsibilities of the commission shall include: 1) conducting a yearly review of both short and long range plans of the intercollegiate and intramural athletic programs; 2) conducting a yearly review of the intercollegiate and intramural athletics budgets to insure that they reflect the stated goals of the programs; 3) reviewing the relationship between the Physical Education Department and Intercollegiate Athletics Department; 4) insuring that the athletic programs are making satisfactory progress toward providing equity for women; 5) reviewing the academic status and progress of intercollegiate athletes toward a degree and recommending any special programs designed to aid athletes in their educational pursuits; 6) reviewing the athletic recruitment program; 7) reviewing the financial aid packages being given to athletics; and 8) selecting the faculty athletic representatives.

MEMBERSHIP

Commission appointments are made annually by the University President from nominations as indicated below. The committee elects its own chairperson.

Appointments may not include staff members of the Intercollegiate Athletics program or students participating on an intercollegiate team. Committee membership is as follows:

One representative from the Academic Affairs area selected from nomination by the Vice President for Academic Affairs; 1 representative from the Administrative Affairs area selected from nominations by the Executive Vice President; 1 representative from the Student Affairs Division selected from nominations by the Dean of Students; 2 representatives from the Associated Students, Inc. selected from nominations by the ASI President; 3 faculty representatives selected from nominations by the Chairperson of the Academic Senate (at least two of which shall be teaching faculty); the two faculty athletic representatives.

The following are designated as ex-officio non-voting members: 1) the Director and Assistant Director of the Intercollegiate Athletics Program and 2) the Title IX Coordinator.

Efforts shall be made to insure equitable representation of women on the Commission.

The term of office shall be two years. To insure continuity of service, initial appointments will be for either a two-or three-year period. Subsequent appointments shall be for a two-year period. No person shall serve for more than six consecutive years.

MEETINGS


Meetings shall be held monthly during the academic year or more frequently as scheduled by the Commission chairperson. It is expected that the Commission will meet at least once a year with the University President.

Memorandum

To : Tim Kersten ✓
Chairman, Academic Senate

Date : October 3, 1980

File No.:

From : Warren J. Baker 

Copies : Howard West
Hazel Jones
Dale Andrews
Russell Brown
Larry Voss

Subject: Athletic Advisory Council

Attached is a draft of a proposal related to the revision of the University Athletic Advisory Council, showing proposed functions, membership and meetings, similar to the current format in CAM. This draft was prepared by Associate Executive Vice President Howard West who has responsibility for the intercollegiate athletic program, and discussed at the President's staff meeting. It differs somewhat from the document drafted by the Senate last year and currently being considering by the Senate. This draft is submitted for your information and use in the discussion as the Senate considers its recommendation on the revision of the Athletic Advisory Council.

For further explanation, I would like to point out that:

- (1) NCAA requires the President of the University to appoint the Faculty Athletic Representatives;
- (2) Intramural athletics have been assigned to the Office of Student Affairs and is funded jointly by Student Affairs and the ASI. With the exception of scheduling of facilities, there is no longer any administrative connection between these two programs. Intramurals is considered to be part of the recreation activities of Student Affairs but occasionally academic work may be related to Intramurals activities in some of the physical education courses. However, I feel it is appropriate to review this as part of the overall program of physical education.

Attachment

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California

University Athletic Advisory Council

a. Functions

Serves as a policy advisory committee to the President and the University administration on the total intercollegiate athletics program. It is the responsibility of the Advisory Council to review and monitor the athletics program, making recommendations to the President as appropriate to insure that the program remains consistent with the educational objectives of the University. The emphasis of the Advisory Council's responsibility shall be on policy which relates to educational concerns of the intercollegiate athletics program and student athletes as opposed to the day to day administration of the Intercollegiate Athletics Department.

Specifically, the Council shall:

- (1) Annually review the short and long range plans of the intercollegiate athletics program and make recommendations as may be needed in the University athletics policies and procedures (Administrative Bulletin 75-4).
- (2) Review and make recommendations as appropriate to insure that the intercollegiate athletics program provides equity of opportunity for women and men.
- (3) Review and monitor the academic status and progress of student athletes and recommend policies and programs as needed to insure that priority consideration is given to the educational objectives of student athletes by all of those involved with the intercollegiate athletics program.
- (4) Review any matter referred to it regarding intercollegiate athletics by the President, the Faculty Athletic Representative, or the Associate Executive Vice President.

b. Membership

- (1) Four teaching faculty representatives selected from nominations by the Chair of the Academic Senate.
- (2) One representative from the Academic Affairs area selected from nominations by the Academic Vice President.
- (3) One representative from Student Affairs selected from nominations by the Dean of Students.
- (4) Two student representatives selected from nominations by the ASI President.
- (5) The Associate Executive Vice President.
- (6) Ex-Officio, Non-Voting
 - (a) Faculty Athletic Representative
 - (b) Director of Athletics
 - (c) Title IX Coordinator
 - (d) Head of Physical Education Department

The Chair shall be selected by the Council from the voting membership. None of the voting members shall be faculty or staff of the Intercollegiate Athletics or Physical Education Departments and the student members shall not be participants on any intercollegiate athletics team.

Appointments of voting members shall be made annually by the President. Terms of the voting members shall be for two years, with initial appointments to be terms of two or three years by lot with subsequent appointments to be for two years. No person other than the Associate Executive Vice President, shall serve for more than six consecutive years.

c. Meetings

The Council shall meet at least quarterly or more frequently as scheduled by the Chair.