

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
APRIL 22, 1980

Chair, Max Riedlsperger
Vice Chair, Stu Goldenberg
Secretary, Allan Cooper

I. Announcements

- A. President Baker endorsed the Academic Senate's recommendation regarding the academic calendar. Classes will begin on the 22nd of September. Fall conference will commence on the preceding Monday.
- B. Promotion announcements will be delayed until June 2nd.
- C. The meeting schedule has been changed. The next Executive Committee meeting will be held on May 13th. Special meetings are scheduled for May 20 and May 27. The new officers and Senators will be sworn in on June 3.
- D. The names of two faculty advisors to those serving on the Grievance Panel are in Don Shelton's office. There will be a kick off meeting next year designed to orient those on the Grievance Panel to the Grievance Procedures.

II. Discussion Items

- A. Report of Budget Committee on Proposition 9 (Conway)
The chair reminded the Executive Committee that there was no clear directive coming from the President's office instructing the Senate to respond to the Proposition 9 contingency cut-back request. M/S/P (Foutz/Rodger) That the Budget Committee be charged with the task of rephrasing the draft report to be read in the form of a Resolution. It was recommended that the Resolution contain two resolved clauses. It was felt that the two resolved clauses were not mutually exclusive. It was suggested that President Baker sit in on faculty discussions related to this issue.
- B. Senate Sponsorship of an All-Faculty Forum on Proposition 9.
This forum can be held on campus provided that both sides of the issue are discussed. It was suggested that Landreth, Cantner, President Baker and Kennedy, and the alumni representatives participate or be in attendance. The chair will investigate the feasibility of scheduling the debate on Saturday May 17th and airing it on KCPR or KCBX.

- C. Report of Ad Hoc Committee on Lectureships (Zalewski).
 President Baker has recieved the committee's report and endorses it. The committee found that language pertaining to lectureship status is insufficient in both CAM and the Faculty Personnel Handbook. A survey of full-time lecturers at Cal Poly revealed that 36% had taught at Cal Poly 3 or more consecutive years, 27% of the lecturers polled had taught 4 consecutive years at Cal Poly. 56% of the full-time lecturers had terminal degrees. Many lecturers were unaware of current pay scales and hiring procedures.
 M/S/P (Kersten/Goldenberg) That the Ad Hoc Committee on Lectureships rewrite the language pertaining to lectureships in CAM and in the Faculty Personnel Handbook and that Senate draft a Resolution based on these revisions. The motion passed. The committee representative, Prudence Zalewski, invited those with further suggestions to contact her at ext. 2891.
- D. General Education Task Force Committee. It was the consensus of the Executive Committee that the report should be disseminated to faculty for informational purposes but not for the purpose of accepting or rejectiong the report. If the report were put into the form of a resolution, it should pass through the Academic Senate for approval. It was felt that the cover letter should have been addressed to the Chair of the Senate.

III. Business Item

- A. Resolution Regarding Personnel Evaluation of Tenured Faculty
 M/S/P (Foutz/Rodger) That this resolution be placed on the agenda for the meeting of May 6th. Goldenberg explained that this request for regular evaluations of tenured faculty come in the form of a rider in the 1980-81 Budget Report. It appears to be a response to the threat of collective bargaining. It was suggested that the resolution should further state that past experience with regular evaluations of tenured faculty has underlined the inadvisability of these procedures. Further reference to the A.A.U.P.'s position on personnel review was also suggested.