

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
~~EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES~~
~~October 23, 1979~~

Chair, Max Riedlsperger
Vice Chair, Stu Goldenberg
Secretary, Allan Cooper

The meeting was called to order at 3:10 PM by Chair, Max Riedlsperger.

I. Minutes There were no minutes to be approved.

II. Announcements

- A. The Chair described the rules for debate for October 30, 1979. Resolutions will be presented by the General Education and Breadth Committee Chair, Bill Stine. A speaker list in favor of a resolution will be exhausted before rebuttals are allowed. The Chair does not perceive a unified statement emerging, judging from an early survey of the school reports. Senators may yield to non-Senators. Those interested in previewing reports from the various schools should check with the Academic Senate office.
- B. The Chair announced that he will be unable to preside over the November 20 Executive Committee meeting. Stu Goldenberg will Chair.

III. Business Items

A. Approval of Appointments

Nancy Jorgensen was approved as faculty representative to the Student Senate.
Barbara Hallman was approved as CA & H representative to the Curriculum Committee.
Larry Houlgate was approved as CA & H representative to the Instruction Committee.
Zaf Iqbal is to transfer from the General Education and Breadth Committee to the Student Affairs Committee for the School of Business.
Robert McIntire was approved as the Business representative to the General Education and Breadth Committee.

B. Resolution Regarding Ranking and Funding for Promotion

Stu Goldenberg announced that the Personnel Policies Committee met and approved the attached resolution with the exception of paragraph 3C. The Chair explained that applicants who are recommended for promotion but do not receive it because of lack of funds, are currently advised to go through the entire process the following year. Goldenberg explained that the committee felt that paragraph 3C constitutes a seniority clause and that recommended applicants denied promotion due to lack of funds should be put back into the pool the following year and be evaluated on the basis of relative merit. Goldenberg will discuss this issue further with the PPC. Kersten argues for temporal equity and expresses concern about rushing this through too quickly. Vice President Jones questions the legality of encumbering funds for

the following year as suggested in paragraph 3C. Weatherby stated that he was neither in favor of priority ranking or allocation of RPT funds to departments. Keif felt that this issue should be put on the floor of the Senate for purposes of ventilation.

M/S/F (Goldenberg, Cooper) that the attached resolution regarding promotional ranking excluding paragraph 3C be adopted as a first reading agenda item for the November 13, 1979 meeting. Six in favor, twelve against.

C. Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Academic Minors

Ron Ratcliffe announced that the committee met with Malcolm Wilson and it was felt that the statement for minors as approved by past President Kennedy was worded too loosely.

M/S/P (Hayes, Cooper) that the resolution on academic minors be put on the agenda for November 13, 1979 with the deletion of the phrase "and they approved the statement (as revised) in CAM 411." Jorgensen stated that she would like to see the CAM revisions shown in the amendments in the form of strikeouts. Ratcliffe agreed to do this.

M/S/P (Jorgensen, Cooper) that attachment A-3, Proposed Amendments to CAM 411, be put on the agenda of the November 13, 1979 meeting as a resolution. It was mentioned that the statement for minors as approved by past President Kennedy is not currently included in CAM. The current CAM 411.3 makes reference to teaching minors only. The Chair explained that the Senate will be presented with three parts of this proposal which will consist of: 1) Resolution, 2) Amendments to CAM 411, and 3) Criteria.

Vice President Jones added that the Academic Senate should assist in the development of current guidelines which revolve around the issue of academic minors. These include: 1) team teaching, 2) duplication of courses, and 3) development of basic skills.

The Chair explained that Malcolm Wilson need not be informed of the Academic Senate's disposition of the criteria for evaluating proposed academic minors before the December 4 meeting.

M/S/P (Keif, Ratcliffe) that the criteria for evaluating proposed academic minors be moved to the November 13, 1979 agenda for a first reading item with the insertion of the word "university" before criteria in the first sentence which reads: "The recommended University criteria for evaluating proposed academic minors are the following . . ."

Vice President Jones mentioned that the Academic Council can consider this issue concurrently with the Academic Senate. She will place this item on the agenda for the next Academic Council meeting.

D. Position on Student Participation on RPT Committees

Weatherby passes out the CSUC faculty affairs resolution. This is in response to a request for consultation from Bob Kully, Chair of the Statewide Academic Senate. This resolution will become an action item for the November Trustees meeting. The Chair, Max Riedlsperger, asked the PPC to consider this issue. The Chair stated that Gary Shaw, Chair of the Faculty Affairs Committee, felt that student representation on

RPT committees was inevitable and that total opposition by faculty is politically unadvisable. Weatherby explained that a pilot program for student participation in RPT procedures was submitted twice and defeated twice. Our input may be coming too late as the Chancellor is proposing implementation in 1981 and a follow-up report in 1982. It was felt that student participation would further politicize RPT deliberations. The Chair felt that our statewide Senators Wenzl, Weatherby, and Hale need the backing of our Senate on this issue.

M/S/P (Jorgensen, Hayes) that the statewide Senate Faculty Affairs resolution Regarding Student Participation on RPT Committees be placed on the November 13 agenda as a discussion item.

E. Consultation on the Equal Opportunity Advisory Council

The Chair distributed a draft of the guidelines for the EOAC that has been established to replace the Ad Hoc Affirmative Action Compliance Committee. The Chair advised the Executive Committee members to study the draft before the next meeting. A resolution will be prepared endorsing the committee and this will be distributed at the November 13 meeting. M/S/P (Cooper, Hayes) that the draft guidelines and the resolution endorsing the committee be placed on the agenda for the next meeting.

IV. Discussion Items

- A. Athletic Task Force The Chair explained that President Baker has made a focused request for faculty consultation. A mid-November report date is set and a response by faculty must be made by early January.

M/S/P (Kersten, Goldenberg) that each caucus leader from each school select a member to serve on the ad hoc committee considering the role of athletics at Cal Poly. The Chair recommended that the caucus leaders select Kane, Spoden, and Atwood, since they are currently serving on the Athletic Task Force, though this is a matter of their own discretion.

Approval of the six members of this committee will be made at the November 20 Executive Committee meeting.