

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIFORNIA
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
January 7, 1986
FOB 24B 3:00 p.m.

Chair: Lloyd H. Lamouria
Vice Chair: Lynne E. Gamble
Secretary: Raymond D. Terry

Members Absent: Tim Kersten, Barton Olsen

I. Minutes

The minutes of the November 26, 1985 meeting of the Executive Committee Meeting were approved as mailed.

II. Announcements

- A. The Chair introduced Marjorie Snow, our newly-chosen permanent office-person.
- B. The Chair introduced Dr. Glenn Irvin, our new Vice-Provost for Academic Programs. He extended to Dr. Irvin an open invitation to attend the meetings of the Executive Committee.
- C. The Chair called the attention of the Executive Committee to the Board of Trustees' Action on entrance requirements (pp. 8-11 of the agenda package).
- D. The Chair called the attention of the Executive Committee to his memo to President Baker entitled "Use of Lottery Funds." Cf. pp. 12, 13 of the agenda package.

The Provost joined the Executive Committee in questioning the ill-timed request by Vice Chancellor Dale Hanner in requesting input from campus Presidents by 1-7-86, thus pre-empting any Senate input. The problem of achieving adequate lead time for Senate debate and action will be further discussed in Item IV.B below.

III. Reports

A. Provost's Report

The Provost waived his regular report in favor of a Special Report (Time certain: 4:30 p.m.) on the Status of Admission Quotas.

B. Statewide Senators' Report

Reg Gooden indicated that he had no report. In lieu of a report, Reg informed us that January 7, 1910 was the birth date of Orville Faubus, former Governor of Arkansas.

IV. Business Items

A. Catalog changes for GE&B (1988)

1. George Lewis (Chair: GE&B) indicated that Item 6 (FDR 201) was being removed from the second set of proposals.
2. Mike Botwin reflected that the Senate needs more lead time in its consideration of GE&B proposals. The GE&B recommendations brought before the Senate on December 2, 1985 should have had a first reading at the November 5, 1985 meeting and a second reading at the December 3, 1985 meeting.
3. A question was raised concerning the acceptance by Cal Poly of certain community college courses for GE&B credit. Should we inform the university community of what articulation agreements exist with regard to GE&B requirements? If so, how should we make the information known?
4. George Lewis asserted that the GE&B requirements are spelled out each quarter in the Schedule of Classes. Additional information is unnecessary.
5. Community college GE&B courses do not usually replace Cal Poly GE&B courses on a one-to-one basis. Instead, a package of community college GE&B courses receive transfer credit for one or more Cal Poly GE&B courses. If a student takes only part of a community college package, he receives transfer credit for those courses as electives only. To receive GE&B credit at Cal Poly the student should return to the community college, perhaps during the summer quarter, complete the package there and then receive GE&B credit for the corresponding Cal Poly package.
6. Robert Bonds indicated that standards should be more-or-less uniform system-wide. Although we could do more to alleviate the problem, we may not be able to eliminate it altogether. He further indicated that he was aware of instances in which graduations and diplomas were held up because of failure to complete one or two units of GE&B.

7. The Chair invited George Lewis and Jim Ahern to get together to determine what information is available and how to disseminate it. A recommendation is to be submitted to the Chair.
- B. Resolution on Lead Time for Consultation
1. The "Resolution on the Need for Adequate Time for Consultation", which had been prepared by Tim Kersten per request of the Chair, was distributed to the members of the Executive Committee.
 2. In the absence of Tim Kersten, the Chair spoke in favor of the Resolution. Prior to entering the discussion, the Chair relinquished the gavel to the Vice Chair. The Chair reviewed the background of the Resolution. Upon concluding his remarks, the Chair retrieved the gavel from the Vice Chair.
 3. Ken Riener asked if there were an urgent reason for the short deadline for input concerning the possible uses of lottery funds. It was agreed that the deadline was arbitrary and prompted only by the fact that the topic of lottery fund use was on the agenda of the January 7 Chancellor's Council meeting.
 4. Reg Gooden suggested that the Resolution would be appropriate only if the provocation (Dale Hanner's use of the Holiday break which further compounded the lack of adequate lead time for the campus Presidents to consult with the local Senates) were part of a pattern of egregious disregard for lead time. Reg Gooden's statement seemed to imply that he did not recognize such a continuing insensitivity on the part of the Trustees and, hence, that the Resolution may be inappropriate.
 5. The Chair relinquished the gavel to the Vice Chair to refute Reg Gooden's statement, then retrieved the gavel.
 6. With one abstention the Executive Committee voted to place the Resolution on the Need for Adequate Time for Consultation on the agenda of the January 14 Senate meeting.
- C. Nominations and Appointment of the Senate Governmental Affairs Specialist
1. The Chair announced that John Culver had

resigned this appointed position because of an overload.

2. Barbara Hallman nominated Joe Weatherby who had been recommended by John Culver as his replacement.
 3. Robert Bonds nominated Charles Andrews. After some discussion as to whether Andrews wished to be nominated and if he had the time to serve in the position, Robert Bonds withdrew the nomination.
 4. The Executive Committee appointed Joe Weatherby by consensus.
- D. Nominations and appointment of a non-voting Senator to represent the temporary faculty during Winter and Spring 1986.
1. The Chair announced that the Sandra M. Dills, who served in this position during the Fall 1986 quarter, is willing to continue serving as representative of the temporary faculty (lecturers). It was established that she is a faculty member during the Winter and Spring 1986 quarters.
 2. There were no additional nominations. The Executive Committee appointed Sauny Dills to the position by consensus.

V. Discussion Items

A. Mission / Collegiality Statements

In the absence of Tim Kersten, the Chair removed the topic from the Executive Committee's agenda.

B. Status of Admission Quotas

1. The Provost began a discussion of three memos, each dated Dec. 31, 1985. The first memo, entitled "Establishing Cal Poly enrollments" was presented in detail.
2. The Provost explained to the Executive Committee the genesis of the fraction "15/14" in regard to FTE enrollments.
3. It was established that additional students over 14200 do not generate additional operating expense, etc.. As a result, it is imperative that enrollments be kept at the 14200 level.

4. A discussion of factors which affect the show rate of newly-admitted applicants ensued.
5. The Provost presented the contents and analysis of six tables relating the total applications per year for each school, the space reservations each year and the actual number of newly-enrolled students per year per school. SAT scores for entering freshmen were compared with SAT scores for transfer students on a school-by-school basis.
6. Most importantly, enrollment targets for each school were reviewed for the last several years along with the schools' success rates in meeting their targets.
7. The Provost documented the necessity to reduce the total number students at Cal Poly by reducing the total number of new admits for Fall 1986. This in turn will be accomplished by reducing the 1986 enrollment targets for each school. The major cuts would be in the enrollment targets for the School of Agriculture and the School of Professional Studies and Education.
8. Since some departments are overstaffed, a cut in the enrollment target would further complicate a bad situation. The Provost called the Executive Committee's attention to a self-imposed constraint viz. that "... no discretionary program shift will cause a tenure track faculty member to lose his /her position if he /she is doing a good job and making a sincere effort to contribute his /her best efforts to the University."

VI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:50 p.m..