

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, California  
ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

MINUTES  
Tuesday, May 3, 1988  
UU 220 3:00 p.m.

- I. Preparatory:
  - A. The meeting was called to order at 3:12 p.m.
  - B. The minutes of the April 19 meeting were approved as submitted.
- II. Communications:
  - A. It was announced that Charlie Andrews would be chairing this meeting.
  - B. The memo from Geigle regarding nominations for faculty trustee was noted.
  - C. It was announced that there will be a luncheon for the outgoing and the incoming Executive Committee on May 26 at 12:00.
- III. Reports:
  - A. President: none.
  - B. Academic Affairs Office: none.
  - C. Statewide Senators: none.
- IV. Consent Agenda: none.
- V. Business Items:

Three changes to the agenda were announced. The Selection of nominees to the Foundation Board was moved to the top of the agenda. A new item, the selection of a representative for the UUAB, was added as the second item on the agenda. It was also announced that the Resolution on Library Acquisition Funds would be set for time certain 4:00.

  - A. Selection on Nominees to the Foundation Board of Directors.

There were six applications for this position. Two names were selected to be forwarded to the Foundation Board Elections Committee. The names to be submitted are Leon Maksoudian and Richard Kranzdorf.
  - B. Selection of a Representative and a Proxy for the UUAB. Stan Ullerich was appointed as the faculty representative. Duane Head was selected as proxy.
  - C. Resolution on Sexual Harassment Policy  
Donna Duerk indicated that changes have been made in order to incorporate the suggestions made by the Executive Committee. She feels that the resolution is now in a form which can be considered by the full Senate.

One additional change was made, which adds to section II (Definitions). Added would be:

J. Days

"Days" means working days to reflect the employment status of the individual involved.

Lee Burgunder suggested deleting part 2b on agenda page 20 and deleting the reference to prima facie case in 2a. An alternate solution would be to delete all references to prima facie case in section 2.

George Stanton suggested deletion of the definitions from the introduction section as these are redundant with later sections.

M/S/P (Hellyer, Sharp) to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

D. Revised Resolution on Cheating and Plagiarism

Lee Burgunder stated that the second to the last sentence in section 674.4 is unclear and needs to be revised.

Ray Terry indicated that this resolution may in fact extend the role of the Fairness Board, which now handles only complaints regarding final course grades. This needs to be investigated.

M/S/P (Borland, Terry) to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

E. Resolution on Modification of "Application for Leave of Absence With Pay".

This resolution adds a question to the application for leave without pay in order to provide the professional leave committees information about the status of outside funding.

It was decided by consensus to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

F. Resolution on Criteria for Approval of Leave of Absence With Pay Proposals.

It was decided by consensus to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

G. Resolution on Membership Requirements for School-wide/Library Professional Leave Committee

It was decided by consensus to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

4:00 H. Resolution on Library Acquisition Funds

Dave Walch stated that the supporting material supplied with this resolution speaks to the need for the resolution. The library desperately needs an augmentation to its periodicals budget. There were 200 titles eliminated last year. If projected increases in budget and inflation are correct, in six years the entire library budget would need to be devoted to periodicals, with no funds for book acquisitions.

Harry Sharp stated that the situation is a disaster. He suggested that this resolution be sent to the student senate for their consideration as well.

By consensus, this item will be placed on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

I. Resolution on Initial Appointments of Tenure-Track Faculty

This resolution is based on the problem created when first year faculty must be evaluated for retention when they have been here less than a quarter.

Harry Sharp expressed concern that the resolution makes it sound like a two-year appointment would be mandatory. This should be clarified.

It was decided by consensus to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

J. Resolution on Distribution of Resumes During the Peer Review Process

This resolution gives the PRC access to resumes.

It was decided by consensus to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

K. Resolution on Consolidated Recommendations of Peer Review Committees

This resolution makes it clear that it is acceptable to have either a consolidated report or individual reports.

M/S/P (Sharp, Hellyer) to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

L. Resolution on the Assessment Process at Cal Poly

George Lewis reminded the Executive Committee that this report was considered at a meeting earlier this quarter. At that time, the Executive Committee suggested that it be brought back in resolution form so that it could be presented to the full Senate for its endorsement.

George Stanton expressed some concern over whether this is an appropriate action for the Senate to be taking.

George Weatherby stated that he felt that assessment was a fad and that it should be opposed. He will support the resolution when it comes to the Senate floor.

Glenn Irvin stated that he thinks assessment is in our future, and that an "ignore it and it will go away" attitude may be unrealistic.

M/S/P (Borland, Sharp) to place this item on the agenda of the next Senate meeting as a first reading item.

VI. Discussion Items: none.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.