

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, California  
ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

MINUTES

Tuesday, April 19, 1988  
FOB 24B 3:00 p.m.

I. Preparatory:

- A. The meeting was called to order at 3:15 p.m.
- B. The minutes of the April 5, 1988 Executive Committee meeting were approved as submitted.

II. Communications: none.

III. Reports:

- A. President: none.
- B. Academic Affairs: none.
- C. Statewide Senators:  
Reg Gooden reported that there is a draft of the proposed GE&B transfer curriculum. It is unclear whether individual campuses will have to make their GE&B conform to this curriculum. It also appears that the proposal represents a change in policy with respect to double counting courses in high unit majors. The Senate will be kept informed as more information on this topic becomes available.

IV. Consent Agenda: none.

V. Business Items:

- A. Resolution in Support of Proposition 71 (June 7, 1988)  
Reg Gooden provided the following changes to the resolution distributed with the agenda:

In the background statement:

- paragraph 1 line 4: the word exceed was changed to exceeded
- paragraph 2 line 11: the word Revised was changed to Renewed
- paragraph 4 line 3: the word designed was changed to designated
- paragraph 5: This paragraph was divided into two paragraphs. The second starts with the words "The Legislative Analyst..." (line 7)

In the resolution:

- second resolved: the words and active support were added to the end of this resolved.

Reg Gooden stated that this will be a confusing issue for voters in June. There are two competing propositions on the ballot. If both pass, the one with the most votes will govern areas of conflict. Proposition 71 is favorable to education, whereas education would not benefit by the passage of proposition 72.

M/S/P (McGary, Burgunder) to place this resolution on the agenda of the next full Senate meeting.

B. Resolution on Surveys of Graduates and Employers

Ray Terry indicated that the Instruction Committee has made most of the changes suggested at the previous meeting. Changes are indicated on the resolution distributed with the agenda, and include deleting the statement referring to O&E funds and modification of the departmental role in the survey process.

Harry Sharp suggested that it might be appropriate to change the word survey to questionnaire in the third resolved.

M/S/P (Burgunder, Sharp) to place this item on the agenda of the next full Senate meeting as a first reading item.

C. GE&B Proposal for ARCH 316X

This proposal was approved by both the area subcommittee and the full GE&B Committee.

M/S/P (Gooden, Borland) to place this item on the agenda of the next full Senate meeting as a first reading item.

D. Nomination for Replacement of Nancy Loe to the Status of Women Committee

M/S/P (Sharp, McGary) to approve the appointment of Elie Axelroth to the Status of Women Committee.

E. Appointment to the Affirmative Action Faculty Development Committee.

This Committee will be evaluating the role of the affirmative action facilitator. The Executive Committee needs to appoint a faculty representative.

M/S/P (Sharp, McGary) to appoint MaryLud Baldwin.

VI. Discussion Items:

A. President Baker's response to AS-264-87/SWC, Resolution on Affirmative Action Facilitators.

President Baker has suggested a change that brings this resolution in line with current Senate policy. Since this really just corrects a technical error, it was decided by consensus that the revised resolution should be put on the agenda of the next full Senate meeting as a consent item.

B. Establishment of a Standing Committee for Animal Welfare

The chair indicated that President Baker has asked for comments on the creation of an Animal Welfare Committee. It was the consensus of the Executive Committee that there was no need to bring this before the full Senate. The chair will inform President Baker that the Executive Committee has no objections to the formation of this committee, but that it is concerned with the proliferation of committees on campus.

- C. Faculty Representative on the Foundation Board of Directors. The procedure for filling the upcoming vacancy was explained. The Executive Committee will forward two or three names to the Election Committee of the Foundation Board. The Foundation Board will then select a representative from the list forwarded by the Executive Committee. All faculty were informed of the vacancy. Six people have applied for consideration.

Executive Committee members were asked to stop by the Senate Office and review the applications prior to the next meeting. The Executive Committee will need to decide on its recommendations at that time.

- D. University Union Advisory Board. The new meeting time for the UUAB locks out both faculty representatives on the board. We need a representative who can attend meetings until this is resolved. The UUAB currently meets Wednesdays from 1:00 to 3:00.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.