

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California
ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

MINUTES

Tuesday, October 20, 1987

UU 220 3:00 p.m.

I. Preparatory:

- A. The meeting was called to order at 3:14 p.m.
- B. The minutes of the October 6, 1987 Executive Committee meeting were approved as submitted.

II. Communications

- A. The Executive Review Policies and Procedures document is now available in the Senate office for review.
- B. Items that will appear on the next Senate agenda:
Resolution on Definition of "Close Relative"

III. Reports: none.

IV. Consent Agenda: none.

V. Business Items:

- A. Vacancies remaining on the Academic Senate and Senate Committees M/S/P (Terry, Forgeng) to approve the appointment of Charles Dills as a senator from School of Science and Math.

None of the other caucus chairs were able to fill remaining vacancies at this time.

- B. Replacement Appointment to the University-wide Academic Planning Committee
Jim Simmons was elected to replace Susan Currier on this committee for Winter and Spring '88 Quarters.

C. Report on Measures of Effectiveness of Instruction

At the last Senate meeting, a straw vote indicated that the Senate wanted to refer this report to one or more committees for further consideration. The Executive Committee decided to send the report to relevant committees. Areas of interest for each committee will be pointed out, but the committee will not be limited to consideration of only these aspects of the report. One group needs to be designated to receive input from the various committees looking at the issue, and to channel this information back to the Senate. Committees that were suggested for consultation were: Instruction, Student Affairs, Curriculum, Distinguished Teaching, and Personnel Policies.

The chair will develop a plan, indicating which committees should look at particular aspects, and will identify a means of consolidating input from all such sources. He will present this plan for approval at the next Executive Committee meeting.

V* Discussion Items:

A. Review of Proposal for a Specialist to Guide the Applied REsearch and Development Facility.

Bob Lucas briefly described the content of the report. Since the use of an outside fund-raiser is new for Cal Poly, input from the Academic Senate was requested. The Research Committee has looked at the proposal and endorsed it unanimously.

Some members of the Executive Committee had questions about how the contract would function. In particular, questions were raised regarding the payment of a finders fee for research grants, what will happen in terms of funding for clerical support and travel beyond the first year, and how to handle conflicts of interest that might arise when an individual faculty member and the fund-raiser have both made contacts at the same company.

M/S/P (Andrews, Burgunder) to ask the Research Committee to formulate a resolution endorsing the proposal. This will appear on the agenda of the next Senate meeting.

B. Joe Weatherby indicated that he had found that the new contract and CAM are inconsistent in what they require in terms of faculty evaluation. CAM appears to be more restrictive than the contract. He urged that the Senate charge one of its committees to look at the issue of bringing CAM into agreement with the contract.

C. Left over Sabbatical Leave Resources

The chair indicated that there had been some sabbatical monies left unused. There had been a late request for use of some of these funds. It was the feeling of the body that there are well established rules and procedures already in place to deal with this issue, and that no action was required by the Executive Committee.

D. Senate Calendar

It was proposed that the calendar for the Senate be adjusted to eliminate the full senate meeting of Oct. 27. However, because the Resolution on the Proposal for Specialist to Guide the Applied Research and Development Facility has been added to next weeks agenda, it was decided that the Senate should meet as scheduled.

VII. Adjournment: 4:50 p.m.