

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY - SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
January 29, 1985
FOB 24B 3:00 PM

Attendance: Clark, Saenz, Hallman, Olsen, Kersten, Fort, Lutrin,
Gooden, Weber, Hagan, Phillips

Guests: Lamouria, Conway, Sparling

I. Minutes: approved by consensus

II. Reports

A. Provost Fort

1. Governor's budget - some highlights
 - a.) There is now a provision for sabbaticals for librarians, but no money to cover them.
 - b.) Budget for Investment in People cut 42% over last year.
 - c.) Replacement of Equipment Fund up 50% over last year (which was way up over the preceding year).
 - d.) PCP's apparently are tied to the lottery
 - e.) Directive from CSU headquarters that we need to market to potential students in the future. Heightened recruitment efforts must be tied to mission statements. In 1987, a decided dip in enrollment is expected.
2. Remedial education is an issue we need to address
3. Student admissions and related issues
 - a.) "Capture ratios" which indicate the percentage of applicants accepted who will actually enroll are used in determining the number of people accepted.
 - b.) The number of transfers versus the number of first time freshmen which should be admitted. Transfers normally have completed general education. Transfers are supposed to have preference according to the education code of California. At Cal Poly, in 1983-84, transfers were 56%, first time freshmen were 44%; in 1984-85, 53% were transfers.

For 1985-86, schools have suggested the following percentages be transfer students: Ag, 49%; Arch, 55%; Bus, 34%; Comm Arts & Hum, 59%; Eng, 39%; Prof Studies & Ed, 50%; Sci & Math, 42%. This would result in only 45% of the new students admitted in 1985-86 being transfer students. The school deans have been told that they cannot admit less than 50% transfers (because of what the code says).

B. Gooden

1. Discussion item for the next meeting will be developing a procedure to insure that the discussion of long range plans of the university about the numbers in each school will be discussed.

III. Business Items

- A. Resolution on Establishing an Ad Hoc Committee to Reflect on Campus Reorganization (Gooden)

MSP (Saenz, Clark) that the last resolved clause of the resolution be stricken, and that the phrase, "and make recommendations to the Senate," be added to the first resolved clause.

MSP (Hallman, Lutrin) to adopt the Resolution on Establishing an Ad Hoc Committee to Reflect on Campus Reorganization.

- B. Proposal for Policy Statement Specifying Differences Between Bachelor of Arts and Bachelor of Science Degrees at Cal Poly (Sparling; Curriculum)

MSP (Kersten, Phillips) to place this proposal on the agenda of the next meeting of the Academic Senate.

IV. Discussion Items

- A. Professional Ethics Committee (Gooden) was not dealt with in order to move on to other issues
- B. Budgetary Effects of Mode and Level Staffing (Lamouria, Conway; Budget) The Budget Committee requests that this document, written by Conway, be sent as an information item to each member of the Academic Senate.
- C. Personnel Policies (Andrews; PPC) Personnel Policies Committee reiterates its position that leaves should be

granted on a pro-rata basis (this was their original position). It appears that the President wants the University Professional Leave Committee to split allocations so that 50% would be allocated on a school basis, and 50% on a "university wide" basis.

D. Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise Awards (Andrews; PPC) PPC will probably be coming forward with a resolution. We can drop work that has been done on EMSA's and come up with a new document.

E. Budget Committee (Lamouria; Budget) Four subcommittees are now at work:

1. Reviewing the consultation process
2. Updating the 1977 resolutions on reporting of expenditures to the faculty
3. Discretionary funds accountability
4. Generated versus allocated funds

Pay for substitute faculty is also being looked at.

F. Guest Legislator Program (Kersten) The CSU Senate is trying to sensitize legislators to the problems of the campuses by inviting local legislators to address the faculty within the next few months. Executive Committee agrees that Cal Poly-SLO should participate in this effort.

G. Property for Faculty Club (Gooden)