

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
December 7, 1983

Meeting was called to order at 3:10 p.m.

Fort expressed his desire to provide a forum to present the current proposal from the Reorg. Task Force. Fort indicated that he thought the Academic Senate might be a good forum to present the recommendations. Fort wants input from the faculty as a whole as well as input from the Academic Senate.

Paul Murphy, member of the Task Force, indicated that he hoped that they would be able to send a completed report to the President and if the President wanted input from the Academic Senate that the President could provide the Academic Senate with that final report.

Baker indicated that he wanted to establish a timetable for the review process and that he felt it was important to publish this timetable to reduce tensions within the faculty. Baker commented that he felt open meetings with the faculty will provide input to the Task Force on individual's concerns and that he expected the Academic Senate to consider the recommendations of the Task Force based on the University-wide impacts.

The following calendar was agreed upon:

Task Force work to be completed by mid-January

Open meetings sponsored by the Academic Senate during the first two weeks of February

Task Force work feedback into final proposal by March 1

Academic Senate review of complete document in March - April

Academic Senate recommendations to Baker by end of April

President to report on Reorganization by June 1

Joint meeting concluded; followed by a meeting of the Executive Committee.

Simmons reminded everyone to give him input on the resolution concerning the recent pay raises for higher Admin. and the new Management Personnel Plan. Simmons indicated that he hoped to get a draft complete before Dec. 9.

Simmons indicated that he had a request from a faculty member in the School of Architecture that the Academic Senate respond to the Chancellor's Office with a statement concerning the present personnel actions in that school. The concern was that personnel decisions may be influenced by groups outside the University. Bill Howard filled in the Exec. Comm. about some of the background and it was agreed that no action on the part of the Exec. Comm. or Academic Senate was necessary.