

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES
September 27, 1983

Meeting was called to order by Jim Simmons, Chair, at 3:00 p.m.

I. Minutes: The minutes were distributed. M/S/P to approve minutes as written.

II. Announcements

- A. Lewis indicated that the Math Dept. has requested that they be allowed to have a Dept. Chair vs. a Dept. Head. He indicated that he would keep the Ex. Comm. informed.
- B. Simmons announced that there will be a special meeting of the Exec. Comm. on October 11 at 3:00 p.m. in FOB 24-B. We will be meeting with President Baker who will tell us about the recent Admin. Reorganization and explain his view of the selection procedures.

III. Discussion Items

- A. Timelines for Personnel Action: New timelines for RPT and sabbatical leaves were submitted by Fort and Suess for consideration by the Executive Committee. Recommendation by the Academic Senate is needed ASAP to avoid any delay in either process. It was M/S/P with one abstention that these timelines be brought before the Academic Senate on October 4, 1983 with the idea that they will be moved to a second reading and acted upon at that time.
- B. Senate Review of the New Contract: Simmons indicated that the various committees will be looking at the new CFA contract to determine how it might impact on the various activities of the Academic Senate. The two letters from Tidwell were discussed briefly.
- C. Memo from Lambre: The Lambre memo was discussed. It is the document that verifies we are under the terms of the contract ratified by CFA members.
- D. CAM 700: The draft will be on the agenda for the November 8 Senate meeting.
- E. Presidential Action Scoresheet: Some members of the Executive Committee asked what the status was on some of the Senate actions sent forward to the President. Simmons will make a score sheet to show what has and has not been acted on by the President.
- F. Dean of Engineering Selection: There was some discussion about the possibility of the President's office developing a job description before the appointment of a search committee. It was generally felt by the Executive Committee that the search committee should take an active part in the development of a job description.

The meeting was adjourned by Jim Simmons.