

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, California  
ACADEMIC SENATE

ACADEMIC SENATE - MINUTES  
Tuesday: October 7, 1986  
UU 220 3:00 p.m.

Chair: Lloyd H. Lamouria  
Vice Chair: Lynne E. Gamble  
Secretary: Raymond D. Terry

Members Absent:

I. Preparatory

- A. The Chair called the meeting to order at 3:15 p.m..
- B. The Chair announced that Harry Sharp, Jr. would serve as parliamentarian during the Fall and Winter Quarters.
- C. The Chair announced that Item V.B. (Resolution on Lottery Funds Consultation) has been withdrawn, at the request of Jim Conway (Chair: Budget Committee) with the proviso that if the President's Advisory Committee on Budgets and Resource Allocations did not meet expectations, the item will again come before the Academic Senate.
- D. The Chair announced that Item V.E. (Resolution on Support of Proposition 56: Higher Education Facilities Bond Act of 1986), which had been inadvertently deleted from the agenda, was now being added to it.
- E. The minutes of the Academic Senate Meeting of September 23, 1986 were approved as mailed.
- F. The Chair directed the Senate's attention to the items listed under the communications portion of the agenda.

II. Reports

- A. President /Academic Affairs Office: None
- B. Statewide Senators: None
- C. Chair's Report on Academic Senate Summer Activity
  1. The Chair noted some of the achievements of the Summer 1986 Senate:

- a. Resolution on AIMS Quarterly Funding (This Resolution has been approved by the President.)
  - b. Resolution on Trustee Professorships
  - c. Recommendations on the Use of Instructional Funds for Sabbatical Leaves
  - d. Resolution on FERP (The President views action on this Resolution as inappropriate since it concerns an issue that is within the scope of the collective bargaining process and the union contract.)
  - e. Resolution on Faculty Workload (The President views action on this item as inappropriate since it concerns an issue that is within the scope of the collective bargaining process and the union contract.)
  - f. Resolution on Campus Smoking Policy (The President has not yet responded.)
  - g. Resolution on the Foundation Election Process (The President is waiting for the Chancellor's Committee on Audits before reacting.)
  - h. Resolution on Assigned Time (The President approved 1.2 FTEF of assigned time for the Senate instead of the 2.0 FTEF requested. The President has not yet approved the Executive Committee's distribution of assigned time among the Senate Officers and Standing Committee Chairs; nor has he yet accepted the Senate's right to distribute the assigned time it receives.)
  - i. Proposed Dean Evaluation Form (The President is awaiting its review by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and by the Dean's Council.)
2. Setbacks
    - a. Roadblocks have been placed in the way of obtaining a list of all administrators who have retreat rights to academic departments.
    - b. Non-voting representation by the Senate at meetings of the Dean's Council and Presidential staff meetings has been rejected.
3. Advances
    - a. The President's responses to Senate resolutions will be announced in the Cal Poly Report.

- b. The President and /or Vice President for Academic Affairs have agreed to respond to questions during meetings of the Academic Senate. The questions will be selected by the Senate Officers from among hundreds of possible questions submitted by Senators for this purpose. The authorship of each question must be known to the Officers but will not be disclosed to the Administration. The only question submitted to date concerns the Administration's reasons for rejecting non-voting Academic Senate participation at Dean's Council meetings.
4. Appointment of Ad Hoc Committees
    - a. Ad Hoc Committee on the Classroom Learning Environment
    - b. Ad Hoc Committee to Review Guidelines for Research, Educational or Public Service Units
    - c. Ad Hoc Committee on Effectiveness of Teaching
  5. At the request of Tim Kersten, the Chair informed the Senate of the current status of the Vice Presidential Selection Committee. There are now more than 60 applicants. There may be more than 100 by Dec. 1, the cut-off date for applications.
  6. Charles Andrews reported that more than 7000 faculty voted statewide in the recent ratification vote on the 6.8 % pay increase. The votes were counted on Monday and the results will be announced on Wednesday. Unofficially, there was 90% approval of the salary increase and 91% approval of CFA's bargaining position on matters of campus governance.

### III. Business Items

- A. Elimination of Discordant Provisions of the UPLC Bylaws, Leave With Pay Guidelines and the Academic Senate Bylaws
  1. The Chair recognized Ray Terry (Chair: UPLC) who assured the Senate that the two modifications to AS-209-86/UPLC suggested by the President were consistent with the intent of the Senate Resolution and had the support of the UPLC.
  2. Ray Terry moved the adoption of the two suggested changes. The motion was seconded. There was no further discussion.
  3. The two modifications were approved by the Senate unanimously.

- B. Resolution on Lottery Funds Consultation (Withdrawn)
- C. Resolution on the Guidelines for the Establishment of Research, Educational, or Public Service Units
1. The Chair recognized Ray Terry (Chair of the Ad Hoc Committee) who elaborated on the contents of his memo of August 20, 1986.
  2. Bob Lucas indicated that there are a number of people on campus who are expected to put forth proposals for new centers or institutes in accord with the guidelines under consideration. These guidelines update the 1972 version of CAM dealing with auxiliary academic units. He expressed his opposition to having a proposal for a center or institute sent simultaneously to an ad hoc administrative review committee and to the Academic Senate. He favored having it sent first to one body, then to the other.
  3. Charles Andrews questioned the meaning of the sentence: "Administration of finances of the unit, except for that portion from the State budget, will be handled by the Cal Poly Foundation, not by the unit." Specifically, does this mean that a center or institute, once created, will receive some funding from the state?

Lynne Gamble echoed Charles Andrews' concern. Both Ray Terry and Ken Riener noted that the proposal to create a center or institute must address the question of continued funding of the unit. It is unlikely that the Administration would permit the formation of an auxiliary academic unit which lacked an outside source of funding.

- D. Resolution on Opposition to Proposition 61 (The Gann Pay Initiative)
1. The Chair recognized Joe Weatherby who moved that the Senate suspend the rules and that the Resolution be moved to second reading. This done, Joe spoke briefly against Proposition 61 which he characterized as an "insidious, hurtful, dastardly act which should be opposed both by us who are affected directly and by the people of California who will be affected indirectly."
  2. Charles Andrews referred to a letter sent by the Citizens for Quality Government and endorsed by Bernard Goldstein, Chair of the CSU Academic Senate.

3. The Resolution on Opposition to Proposition 61 was carried unanimously on a voice vote.

E. Resolution on Support of Proposition 56: Higher Education Facilities Bond Act of 1986

1. The Chair recognized Tim Kersten who drafted the Resolution proposed by the Academic Senate Executive Committee.
2. A motion to waive the rules and move the item to a second reading carried.
3. A short discussion took place as to the propriety of the Academic Senate's taking political stands on issues like Proposition 56 and 61 (above). It was pointed out that President Baker has approved such action as within the Senate's prerogative.
4. The Resolution was carried unanimously on a voice vote.

IV. Adjournment

The Senate adjourned at 4:05 p.m..