

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, California  
ACADEMIC SENATE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE - MINUTES  
Tuesday: September 16, 1986  
FOB 24B 3:00 p.m.

Chair: Lloyd H. Lamouria  
Vice Chair: Lynne E. Gamble  
Secretary: Raymond D. Terry

Members Present: Botwin, Cooper, Crabb, Currier, Forgeng, Gamble,  
Gooden, Jorgensen, Kersten, Lamouria, Riener,  
Terry, Weatherby, Wheeler

Invited Guests: Charles Andrews, James Conway, Harvey Greenwald,  
Adelaide Harmon-Elliott, Gail Wilson

I. Preparatory

- A. The Chair called the meeting to order at 3:07 p.m.
- B. The Chair informed the Executive Committee that this year the standing committee chairs will be invited to attend the Executive Committee meetings. Because of this a larger meeting room will be necessary. We will attempt to reserve UU 220 for Executive Committee meetings as well as full Senate meetings.
- C. The minutes of the August 5, 1986 Executive Committee were approved as mailed.

II. Communications

The Chair called the Executive Committee's attention to five memos, one letter and the minutes of the August 7 meeting of the Committee on Committees (pp. 8-23 of the agenda package).

III. Reports

A. President / Academic Affairs Office

No member of the Administration was present for this meeting.

B. Statewide Senators

- 1. Joe Weatherby gave a brief report on the dissolution of the CSU Consortium. Steps are being taken

to prevent the dislocation of more than 4000 students and to save as many faculty jobs as possible in the wake of the Consortium's loss of accreditation, one year probation and eventual demise.

2. Tim Kersten called attention to the pernicious effect on the CSU System of Proposition 61 and to efforts by concerned faculty to work for its defeat.

Accrued sick leave would have to be bought back by the state at great expense, probably resulting in an increase in taxes.

3. Reg Gooden summarized some recent activity of the CSU Faculty Affairs Committee. He has given copies of a committee report on assigned time to the Senate Office to be distributed to full-time faculty members. The subject is how to generate greater assigned time for ourselves.

Reg also addressed the topic of separation of salary and rank which his committee considers an ongoing issue. The Committee intends to provide an objective evaluation of the consequences of separation of salary and rank.

Joe Weatherby indicated the need for a Senate Resolution opposing the Gann Amendment. The Chair directed him to prepare one and submit it to the Senate Office so as to be included in the next agenda package.

#### IV. Business Items

- A. Committee Report of the Ad Hoc Committee to Review Guidelines for the Establishment of Research, Educational, or Public Service Units

1. The Chair recognized Ray Terry (Chair of the Ad Hoc Committee) who briefly described the Ad Hoc Committee's charge.
2. Ray pointed out three major changes made by the Committee in the draft document:
  - a. Redefinition of the terms "institute" and "center";
  - b. Requirement of an ad hoc administrative committee to review and aid in developing a proposal to create an institute or center;

- c. Requirement that the director of an auxiliary academic unit be nominated by the faculty members of the proposed unit and approved by the school dean(s) involved and also by the Vice President for Academic Affairs.
  - d. Reg Gooden inquired as to the source of the draft document and reasons for using an ad hoc committee in lieu of the Research Committee. It was indicated that the document probably originated in the Office of Research. It is an attempt to update the portion of CAM relating to the creation and review of auxiliary academic units. An ad hoc committee consisting of four persons knowledgeable in the subject and readily available was considered more efficient than trying to convene the Research Committee.
3. The Executive Committee agreed by consensus to move the Ad Hoc Committee's report forward to a first reading status at the September 23 Senate meeting.

B. Resolution on Lottery Fund Consultation

1. The Chair recognized Jim Conway (Chair: Budget) who distributed copies of a resolution from the Budget Committee.
2. Jim provided a brief background of the resolution which his committee developed in its meetings on September 8 and 15. He noted that the President must send to the Chancellor's Office his recommendations for the expense of lottery funds before October 31. It is thus imperative that the Senate act on this matter immediately.
3. Al Cooper pointed out that some 1986-1987 lottery funds had already been allocated. This fact was confirmed by Jim Conway and Harvey Greenwald.
4. The Senate Resolution on Lottery Fund Use last spring enumerated broad categories of lottery fund uses. The proposed Ad Hoc Committee would be more specific in its recommendations.
5. The Executive Committee agreed by consensus to forward the Resolution to the Senate for a first reading on September 23 with the following modifications:
  - a. The words "Budget Committee" in the resolved clause were deleted.
  - b. The words "Academic Senate" in the second line of the resolved clause were replaced by

"President Warren J. Baker."

- c. The phrase "in conjunction with the Academic Senate" was added to the resolved clause to ensure Senate participation on the Ad Hoc Committee whose formation it is recommending in this resolution.
  6. The changes noted in 5.a and 5.b above are purely editorial in nature, required by the conversion of the Budget Committee Resolution to a Senate Resolution. The change in 5.c was more substantive. All the changes made by the Executive Committee were done with the approval of the Chair of the Budget Committee.
  7. A brief discussion took place as to the extent to which the Executive Committee can make changes in a committee resolution before the amended resolution becomes an Executive Committee resolution, not a committee resolution. It was agreed that minor changes may be made by the Executive Committee in a committee report that is ready for forwarding to the Senate. The alternatives would be to refer the resolution back to committee with instructions to make the desired changes or to amend the resolution on the floor of the Senate. Due to the present time constraints regarding the Budget Committee resolution, pre-Senate modification by the Executive Committee was viewed as appropriate.
- C. Resolution on AIMS Funding
1. The Chair recognized Bill Forgeng who authored the proposed resolution. He emphasized the need for the resolution in view of President Baker's remarks at the Fall Conference ceremonies.
  2. Gail Wilson suggested that the Annual Giving Program has provided the President with an abundant discretionary fund which could be used as a source of funding for the AIMS Project.
  3. The Executive Committee agreed by consensus to move the Resolution forward to a first reading status on the Sept. 23 Senate agenda.
- D. Committee / Senate Appointments
1. The Chair announced that Jan Duffy had been recom-

mended by the School of Business to serve on the Status of Women Committee.

2. Marylinda Wheeler indicated that she would soon meet with the members of her caucus to fill the vacancies from her school on the Constitution & Bylaws Committee and the Status of Women Committee. SPSE will also recommend the appointment of a substitute senator to fill the vacancy left by the resignation of an elected senator.
3. Al Cooper informed the Executive Committee that he had announced the three Senate vacancies and numerous committee vacancies at his School Meeting earlier that day. So far he had received a number of volunteers to serve on the Senate, but no volunteers for committee appointment yet. He expects to meet with his caucus soon to fill the vacancies.

Al Cooper and Ray Terry did, however, recommend the reappointment of Mike Hanson (Biology) to the Elections Committee.

## VI. Discussion Items

### A. Assigned Time for the Academic Senate

1. The Chair distributed a copy of President Baker's response to the Senate's request for 2.0 positions of assigned time. The President is willing to allocate only 1.2 positions for the coming academic year with no more than 0.5 devoted to the Chair.
2. The Executive Committee discussed the need to protest the inadequate amount of assigned time that the Senate will be receiving. The Chair advised the group that the President will probably not increase the offer of 1.2 positions.
3. The Executive Committee discussed the need to assert its right to internally distribute whatever assigned time it receives without any external constraints. The Chair agreed to forward to the President a memo addressing this issue.
4. The Executive Committee took up the question of how to distribute the 1.2 positions among the officers and standing committee chairs.
5. It was noted that the 0.5 figure was entirely arbitrary, that our present Chair had 0.6 last spring and that Jim Simmons also received 0.6 during some of his two terms as Chair.

6. The Chair proposed the following distribution:

	FTEF
Chair	0.71
Secretary / UPLC Chair	0.13
Budget Chair	0.09
Curriculum Chair	0.09
GE&B Chair	0.09
FPC Chair	<u>0.09</u>

Total: 1.20

7. With this as a starting point the discussion began. There was agreement that the Chair needed 0.71 to continue to be effective. Everyone felt that Curriculum needed a greater amount since this year a new catalog will be approved. After some deliberation, it was agreed that GE&B probably did not need any assigned time this year, but that the Long Range Planning Committee's workload would be eased by receiving a portion of assigned time. The details of the debate will not be recorded here.
8. The Executive Committee, with one negative vote, approved the following distribution:

	FTEF
Chair	0.71
Secretary / UPLC Chair	0.13
Budget Chair	0.09
Curriculum Chair	0.18
FPC Chair	<u>0.09</u>

Total: 1.20

The negative vote favored transferring 0.04 FTEF from the Secretary /UPLC to the Curriculum Chair.

9. The following points were made:
- Released time for committees should be stable. A person should know before becoming Chair of a committee how much (if any) released time he will receive.
  - Conditions vary from year to year and even quarter to quarter. Committees will have to be informed as soon as possible if their allocation of assigned time changes.
  - Individual committee members must seek assigned time from their deans.

B. Budget and Resource Allocation

1. Jim Conway distributed a limited supply of extra copies of a document handed out at the last Executive Committee meeting. He emphasized the need for input from the Executive Committee concerning the content of the document.
2. Jim promised that both he and Harvey Greenwald would be present at the next Executive Committee meeting to answer questions and receive advice as to how to make the document better.
3. Jim expressed his desire that the amended document could be approved by the end of the Fall Quarter.

C. Joint Meeting of the Executive Committee and some members of the CFA Executive Committee

1. The Chair introduced Adelaide Harmon-Elliott (President of the San Luis Obispo Chapter of CFA) who, in turn, introduced members of the CFA Executive Committee:

Ray Terry	- Representative to the Delegate Assembly
Jim Conway	- Representative to the Delegate Assembly
Lynne Gamble	- Treasurer
Charles Andrews	- Immediate Past President (now Statewide CFA Treasurer).

She also named other members of the CFA Executive Committee (who could not be present for this meeting) and the positions they held.

2. Adelaide expressed her respect for the Academic Senate and tried to clarify their different roles. She mentioned the two-day voting period (Sept. 30 / Oct. 1) for ratification of the 6.8% salary increase and a referendum on certain governance issues. She urged a "yes" vote on the salary increase and a "no" vote in the governance referendum. The latter, she felt, would increase CFA's position at the bargaining table.
3. Charles Andrews clarified a number of issues concerning the bargaining process and the positions taken by CFA and CSU.
4. The Executive Committee was reminded of two upcoming dates:

September 24 - Meeting of CFA Department  
Representatives and other interested  
parties

October 29 - CFA Barbecue

5. The need for a meeting on RPT procedures (similar to the one held last year) was asserted. Such a meeting will better prepare candidates for achieving retention, tenure or promotion and strengthen their position in the event of a grievance.

#### VI. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m. although the informal question-and-answer session continued for an additional ten minutes.