

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the
ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Tuesday,
May 30, 1991
UU 220, 2:45 - 3:00 pm

Preparatory: A special meeting was called to discuss two items of concern to the Chair. The meeting was called to order at 2:45 pm.

- I. J. Murphy, as background information, stated that he and R. Koob had drafted a letter containing the charge to the Program Review Task Force. They had asked for specific factors. The Academic Senate did not receive a report from the Program Review Task Force which contained these specific factors. Instead, the Academic Senate received a brief summary report. C. Andrews responded that we have learned something, that we must explicitly ask for a report of action. M. Botwin said that the Executive Committee explicitly asked for a report of the recommendations. R. Gooden compared the delegate model with the trustee model saying that he saw this as an example of the trustee model. L. Gamble noted that without a written report from the Task Force that responsibility for decisions cannot be documented. C. Pokorny said that we should not make this an issue now. C. Andrews agreed that this should not be pursued further. M. Botwin agreed with Gamble. J. Ahern agreed with Botwin. W. Reynoso said that we should have a copy of the minutes of the Task Force, which is the only documentation for the decisions made by that group, in the Senate Office. P. Acord remained neutral on the nature of the report issue.
- II. J. Murphy introduced a draft of a resolution put forward by L. Freberg entitled Resolution on Deferment of Merit Salary Adjustments. He asked for opinions on how to address the issue brought forward. It was pointed out that the campus CFA president cannot negotiate for something for this campus alone. With some wording changes, it was agreed that it should be a discussion item in the Senate meeting beginning at 3:00 pm.
- VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 3:10pm.