

-10-  
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, California 93407  
ACADEMIC SENATE

Academic Senate  
Executive Committee Agenda  
March 10, 1992  
UU 220 4:30-5:00 p.m.

A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE WAS CALLED TO DISCUSS THE CONTROVERSY IN THE SCHOOL OF LIBERAL ARTS REGARDING THE SELECTION PROCESS USED FOR NAMING A REPRESENTATIVE TO THE ACADEMIC COUNCIL ON INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS (ACIP) FOR THE 1992-1995 TERM.

- I. Minutes:
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s):
- III. Reports:
- IV. Consent Agenda:
- V. Business Item(s):

Carroll McKibbin, of the Political Science Department, asked to speak to the Executive Committee regarding the lack of advertisement for the recent ACIP position vacancy. Dr. McKibbin expressed concern that timely notification to the General Faculty informing them of this position was not given. Several faculty who might have been interested in the position were not given notification. He expressed his feelings that this was an academic position. It is an academic program which should be filled by a classroom faculty member who has background experience in overseas teaching programs. Over the 26 years that this program has been in effect, Cal Poly has only had two people in the position. Don Floyd, of the Social Sciences Department, has been the campus' representative since the 1970's.

J Murphy: How was this position publicized? Andrews: On December 3, 1991, the Executive Committee was advised of the vacancy and asked to communicate this information to the schools. On January 14, February 4, and February 25, 1992, this matter again came before the Executive Committee for discussion/nominations. No other method of advertising was used. The caucus chairs were asked to provide their faculty with this information. C McKibbin: There was some word-of-mouth information that the position would be vacant because one department head wrote a letter of interest to the dean asking to be considered for this position. This letter was not directed to the Senate since the procedures for submitting interest were not advertised. Gooden: It does seem a position as important as this one should get more broadcast than that which can be provided by the caucus chairs. Peach: There seems to be a number of individuals interested in this position who didn't have the opportunity to turn in their applications, so it seems the process should be reopened. Andrews: Let me clarify that the procedures given in CAM 451.6 require that names be submitted to the Vice President for Academic Affairs by February 1.

J Murphy made a motion "that the Vice President for Academic Affairs reopen the process and conduct the selection according to CAM." Kersten: Why don't we let Koob decide if the process used was acceptable?

The Chair announced that this matter would be further discussed at a special Executive Committee meeting called for Thursday, March 12, 1992 in UU 220 at 4:30 pm.

- VI. Discussion Item(s):
- VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00pm.