

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY  
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407  
ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
Tuesday, November 2, 1993  
UU 220 3:00-5:00pm

Preparatory: The meeting opened at 3:16pm.

- I. Minutes: The minutes of the October 7 and October 12, 1993 Executive Committee meetings were approved without change.
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): Vilkitis reported that Recreational Administration is planning to form a California Center for the Study of Tourism which has a great deal of backing from the tourism industry in California. It will probably be housed within the Coastal Resources Institute and may be called the Center for Excellence in Tourism.
- III. Reports:
  - A. Academic Senate Chair:
  - B. President's Office:
  - C. Vice President for Academic Affairs:
  - D. Statewide Senators:
  - E. CFA Campus President:
  - F. ASI representatives:
- IV. Consent Agenda:
- V. Business Items:
  - A. Academic Senate/university-wide committee assignments:

CAGR	Univ Prof Lv Com	Jo Ann Wheatley
CAED	Budget Com	Jens Pohl
	Instruction Com	Grant Williams
CBUS	GE&B Com	Lee Burgunder
	Status/Women Com	Terri Swartz
CSM	Budget Com	Keith Stowe
	Curriculum Com	Willem van Wyngaarden
	GE&B Com	Robert Smidt
  - B. Appointment of Faculty to the Calendar-Curriculum Task Force: This committee will be composed of seven faculty (one from each of the colleges and UCTE), one ASI representative, two Student Affairs representatives, and Glenn Irvin. M/S/P that a Calendar-Curriculum task force be established with regular reports to come before the Academic Senate. Periodic recommendations will be submitted to the Academic Senate as they are developed.  
  
Each caucus chair is to bring the names of at least two nominees to the November 23, 1993 Academic Senate Executive Committee meeting and from those nominees the Executive Committee will select one individual from each college.
  - C. Curriculum proposals: All curriculum proposals were agendaized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.
  - D. Resolution on Programs to Be Reviewed During 1993-1994: This resolution was returned to the Executive Committee by the Academic Senate for reconsideration. M/S/P that this resolution return to the Academic Senate as a second reading item with the modification that Whereas clauses 2, 3, 4, and 5 be removed.
  - E. Resolution on Evaluation of College Deans or Equivalent Administrators: This resolution was agendaized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.

- F. **Resolution on Vote of Confidence for Administrators:** This resolution was agendized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.
- G. **Resolution on "Cal Poly Instructional Computing Strategic Plan: A Networked Instructional Environment":** This resolution was agendized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.
- H. **Resolution on Definitions of Professional Programs, Technical Programs, and Significant Majority:** This resolution was agendized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.
- I. **Resolution on Modification of Resolutions AS-268-88/BC and AS-394-92/BC on Budget Information Reporting:** This resolution was agendized for the November 9, 1993 Academic Senate meeting.

VI. Discussion:

- B. **Role of the Charter Oversight Committee:** The Chair listed the proposed functions the Charter Oversight Committee would carry out: (1) coordinate, (2) inform, (3) propose the composition of Task Forces 3 and 4, (4) coordinate the drafting of the proposal, (5) propose how the draft would be voted on, and (6) and propose who will write the draft proposal.

The proposed committees of Task Force 3 would deliberate the issues of governance, fiscal flexibility, and employee relations. Next year's task force would consider the other seven issues identified by the prior task forces. Various members of the Executive Committee favored having more faculty on those committees which would be discussing faculty issues. Koob replied that the Labor Council representative felt each committee should have an equal number of faculty, staff, and students although the rest of members were flexible on this point.

An open forum on charter campus is scheduled for Thursday, November 18, 1993.

Kersten: There are big differences among faculty regarding campus governance, the role of the union, and the relationship of the campus to state mandates and legislation. The structure and mechanism for making decisions needs to be clear and announced well in advance.

M/S/P That the charge to the Task Force include identification of: (a) which rules, regulations, or procedures the university might want to change by adopting a charter; (b) what are the various options in how each rule, regulation, or procedure might be changed; (c) what benefits might come from each possible change; and (d) what problems could come from each possible change. The following charge will be added to the above proposed functions of the Charter Oversight Committee: (7) draft charges to the task forces.

Brown recommended that the Charter Oversight Committee send its proposal to the Academic Senate before its submittal to the campus and/or Chancellor. Koob replied that as chair of the Charter Oversight Committee he has suggested that the report go back to each constituent body on campus at each proposal point.

Gooden distributed a copy of Resolution on Establishing an Ad Hoc Committee on Governance to the Executive Committee for its review and input. He requested that Executive Committee members respond to him on this resolution.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:02pm.

Recorded by:

  
Margaret Camuso  
Academic Senate