

-2-
CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
Thursday, May 27, 1993
11-210, 3:00-5:00pm

I. Minutes: The minutes of the May 20, 1993 Executive Committee meeting were approved without change.

II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none

III. Reports:

- A. Academic Senate Chair: The Chair announced that IBM was visiting the campus this week to give presentations to various individuals and committees regarding a possible new mainframe computer for the university. The Chair will contact Art Gloster to see if other companies will be giving presentations for mainframe systems. If not, he will ask President Baker to postpone the decision until fall so other companies can be considered. The Chair distributed a cost sheet comparing the present IBM 3090-40E system with the proposed ES 9121-732.

Dana stated that an estimate of usage for the entire campus would be needed in order to determine the appropriate size and capacity of a server. It was noted that the proposed IBM megaserver would provide linkages never before available. Carnegie did not see IBM as a leading industry for this type of usage. In developing multimedia, the proposed IBM system would get weighted down in communications. A megaserver is good for researching information but not good for the number of manipulations needed to develop screens for multimedia development. Mori asked if the capabilities of the proposed IBM system were necessary for Cal Poly and whether other vendors could offer the same capabilities or better?

Brown summarized the concerns of the committee by stating that three fundamental questions suggest why we shouldn't be making a decision at this time: (1) Do we need this much capacity? (2) Will the system work? (3) Do other vendors offer the same or better system capabilities?

The committee agreed to have Jack Wilson and Wes Mueller continue to pursue this matter. Russell suggested the Chair bring the three concerns articulated by Brown to the administration.

- B. President's Office: none
C. Vice President for Academic Affairs: none
D. Statewide Senators: none
E. CFA Campus President: none
F. ASI representatives: none

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Items:

- A. **Resolution on Academic Senate Recommendations for Accommodating Immediate Budget Reductions:**
UNIVERSITY RELATIONS AND DEVELOPMENT: Mori questioned why University Relations would need increasing amounts of state money when the support money raised through its efforts is projected to increase each year. It seems a portion of the increase could be held over as seed money for the following year. If part of the department's objective is to help get more money in addition to state funding, why increase state funding to a program which is to increase nonstate money? Carnegie responded that many contributors designate the use of the money donated. State money is needed to support the effort to get this designated money. The recommendation to reduce state funding to

University Relations and Development has been deleted and the new recommendation states "That there be no increase in the general fund support over that provided in 1992-1993 for University Relations and Development."

ATHLETICS: The Academic Senate representatives to the Athletics Governing Board will be invited to the June 8 Senate meeting to give a report regarding funding for Athletics.

TRANSPORTATION SERVICES: The recommendation to reduce funding to Transportation Services has been deleted.

STUDENT AFFAIRS: (A) The recommendation that "more student services be fee-based" has been deleted; (B) The recommendation to reduce the number of administrators in Student Affairs has been deleted and made part of the recommendation regarding Administration.

ADMINISTRATION: The recommendation to "reduce the number of positions at the director's level and above with the exception of college deans" has been deleted and the new recommendation states "With the decreasing number of enrolled students, we support continuing reductions in the number of administrators in Student Affairs and in administration in general."

COMPUTING SERVICES: The original paragraph remains in effect with one change (to add AACC to the named committees):

We are concerned with the cost of central computing services provided by Information Services. We request that the AACC, IACC, and IRMPPC: (1) report to the Academic Senate on what are the essential computing functions on campus; and (2) recommend the most cost-effective ways of delivering those services.

REMEDIAL COURSES: The recommendation that "remedial courses be offered through Extended Education" has been deleted.

FACULTY CONSULTATION: The recommendation that "faculty be consulted in each college on the question of total personnel costs versus O&E funds" remains in effect.

- B. **Construction Management request to postpone department review:** The Construction Management request to postpone program review until Fall 1993 was approved with the caveat that this was not to set a precedence in the future.
- C. **Selection of programs for review by the Program Review and Improvement Committee:** The programs selection for review next year as identified in the PRAIC's memo will remain as is with the exception of Construction Management.
- D. **Summer consultative committee for possible budget reductions:** James Murphy will be filling in for Dan Bertozzi until August.
- E. **Resolution on College Requirement for Academic Senate officers:** This resolution was withdrawn.
- F. **Limitations of University Supplied Data:** No discussion was held on this item. It will be forwarded to the next agenda.
- G. **Election of Program Review and Improvement Committee at-large member:** JAMES BERMANN was elected as the at-large member to the PRAIC for 1993-1994.
- H. **Resolution on Engineering Technology:** The changes shown in the attached resolution were approved and agendized for the next Academic Senate meeting (4-3-3).
- I. **Resolution on Charter Campus:** The attached resolution was agendized for the next Academic Senate meeting.

- J. **Curriculum proposals for CBUS and CENG:** These proposals were agendized for the next Academic Senate meeting. All curriculum proposals received after this date will be agendized as early as possible during fall quarter 1993.

VI. Discussion: none

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00pm.

Recorded by:



Margaret Camuso
Academic Senate