

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE of the ACADEMIC SENATE
Tuesday, April 20, 1993
Room 220, University Union, 3:10-5:00 pm

Members present:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Member</u>	<u>Dept</u>
Andre, Barbara	StLf&Actvs	Murphy, James	IndTech
Andrews, Charles	Actg	Russell, Craig (Sec)	Music
Brown, Ron	Physics	Vilkitis, James	NRM
Dana, Charles	CompSci	Wilson, Jack (C)	MechEng
Gamble, Lynne (VC)	Library		
Gooden, Reginald	PoliSci		
Johnston, Hal	ConstMgt	Irvin, Glenn	AVPAA
Mori, Barbara	SocSci	Koob, Robert	VPAA
Mueller, Wesley	CropSci	Beecher, Bud	History
		Freberg, Laura	Psyc & Human Dvlpmnt
		Kennedy, Euel	Enrllmnt Support Servs
		Zuur, Tom	Enrllmnt Support Servs

Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:14 pm.

I. Minutes: J. Murphy moved (2nd by Gooden) that the minutes be approved. The motion passed.

II. Communications & Announcements: none.

III. Reports:

- A. Academic Senate Chair: J. Wilson announced he will seek reelection. He asked for guidance as to what we should do now with the Long-Range Planning Document. C. Andrews stated that we should put the Long-Range Planning Document up for a campus-wide vote and that it should be on whether to accept the document as a whole [as opposed to voting on each item]. W. Mueller would like to add the phrase "learn by doing" to a prominent location in the document's opening statement. Wilson then summarized other issues that the Senate should try to address before the end of the year, including: curriculum; the discontinuance report of Engineering Technology; the charter campus proposal; campus calendaring [semester, trimester, quarter systems, etc.]; budget recommendations; and possible reconsideration of the Home Economics report. Many senators spoke against reconsidering the Home Ec report since action has already been taken—they considered it a closed issue.
- B. & C. President's Office & Vice President for Academic Affairs: R. Koob presented issues concerning accreditation and the possibility of continuing accreditation for various aspects of the Home Economics program. He outlined how Cuesta and Cal Poly are working together to try to provide the requisite courses and faculty to maintain a credentialing program in Home Ec. Perhaps Interior Design will be moved to Architecture as part of an Interior Architecture program. The only component of Home Ec that presently is not covered is Textiles. Thus, the question arises of whether or not we want to continue offering classes in textiles. Koob observed that the present programs [with the exception of Textiles] will be continued in some form or other but with a reduction down to two faculty instead of nine. Gooden asked if Textiles—which deals with such things as draperies—would be an

appropriate component of Interior Design. Koob replied that it is indeed an aspect of Textiles; he also stated that Cuesta is considering offering the two textiles faculty members some form of employment—that is in the talking stages. L. Gamble asked if Interior Design would lose its accreditation. Koob responded that it probably would become accredited by Architecture or as an architecture program but probably not be FIDER. He elucidated that in the last decades across the nation Home Economics has been fragmenting into more specific disciplines and has been losing its generalist nature.

D. Statewide Senators: none.

E. Enrollment Support Services. Tom Zuur and Euel Kennedy from Enrollment Support Services gave a lengthy and detailed presentation with an accompanying handout titled "Office of Academic Records Presentation to Academic Senate, April 20, 1993." It contained the following features: Academic Records Goals; Where We Were; Transaction[s] comparing "then" and "now"; Technology & Professionalism; No Grade Process; To Do List; Generic Enrollment Timeline/Deadlines; Add/Drop Stats; and some supporting memos. R. Brown thanked them for their presentation and was pleased to see how Senate resolutions had been implemented and smoothed things out.

IV. Consent Agenda: none

V. Business Items:

A. Senate Vacancies. The following names were brought forward.

CAGR	Status of Women Committee	Karen Lange	Home Ec
CSM	Academic Senate (rplcmt for Goers)	The newly-elected Senator from CSM [Les Bowker	BioSci]

Although no vote was taken, there was general consent and approval expressed by the Executive Committee for the above-cited individuals.

[Since Bud Beecher had been kept waiting for an hour and a half, the agenda was reordered so that he could deliver his prepared presentation.]

E. Resolution on Establishing an Employee Assistance Program. Beecher observed that we had been sent an older version of the resolution and the committee is cleaning up a few minor errors with regard to punctuation and grammar. The committee had addressed the concerns that had been expressed by the Executive Committee when this proposal had first been brought to them, especially regarding the cost effectiveness of Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs). He talked with Fresno, Chico, and San Jose—all of whom enthusiastically supported their EAPs. In fact, Fresno had cut its EAP and then immediately reinstated it. No one has claimed that an EAP costs money; the increase in productivity more than pays for the cost of the program. Gooden asked if we should bring the item to the Senate at some other time—when we are not in the midst of a budget crisis. Koob responded that he would have implemented an EAP even last year if he had received authorization and support from the Senate. He was confident that we would save more money with an EAP than we would spend. Mori stated that the faculty will nevertheless be concerned with how much the program will cost. Koob then reiterated that an EAP would be in the interest of the university as a whole in the long run. Beecher stated that there is clearly a need for an EAP. The *statistics* are not available—but the *need* is obvious. Gooden moved (2nd by Vilkitis) that we agendize the resolution for the next meeting of the Senate. The motion passed.

- B. Selection of members for the Enrollment Management Task Force. The following individuals were nominated: Les Ferreira, Joanne Freeman, Walt Perlick, Bud Zeuschner, Dianne Long, Neil Fleishon, and Julia Waller. Four names were elected by secret ballot: Freeman, Zeuschner, Fleishon, and Long.
- C. Selection of programs to be reviewed by the Program Review and Improvement Committee for the 1993-94 term. C. Andrews stated that the committee felt that a program should be reviewed after the accreditation report has been completed. It would be constructive to see the review of a program by colleagues in their respective field.

Caucus chairs were asked to deliver at least two names as nominees for the PR&IC by Thursday, April 22. A ballot will be prepared that will be distributed at our next meeting—which we decided to convene on Tuesday, April 27.

VII. Adjournment: the meeting was adjourned at 4:59.


Craig H. Russell, Secretary of Academic Senate

April 23, 1993
Date