

Minutes - Exec Com 5/11/93

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

Minutes of the
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE of the ACADEMIC SENATE
Tuesday, February 2, 1993
Room 220, University Union, 3:10-5:00 pm

Members present:

<u>Member</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Member</u>	<u>Dept</u>
Andre, Barbara	StLf&Actvs	Murphy, James	IndTech
Andrews, Charles	Actg	Russell, Craig (Sec)	Music
Brown, Ron	Physics	Vilkitis, James	NRM
Dana, Charles	CompSci	Wilson, Jack (C)	MechEng
Gamble, Lynne (VC)	Library		
Gooden, Reginald	PoliSci		
Johnston, Hal	ConstMgt	Baker, Waren	President
Mori, Barbara	SocSci	Koob, Robert	VPAA
Mueller, Wesley	CropSci	Nulman, Dennis	UCTE
		Cruikshanks, Randal	PoliSci
		Gloster, Art	VPInfoSystms
		Shaffer, Mary	InfoSystms
		Reynoso, Wendy	CLA

Preparatory: The meeting was called to order at 3:05 pm.

Address by President Baker. The President addressed the Executive Committee and other campus leaders. Using overheads—which were also distributed in the form of a handout titled "Presentation to Cal Poly Constituency Groups on 1993-94 Governor's Budget"—he touched upon the issues concerning our present fiscal crisis and the impact the budget might have on this campus. He summarized why he felt the Governor's budget was flawed in several assumptions and how those flaws could increase the size of the state's budget shortfall. Particularly revealing was the chart found on p. 3 of the handout titled "State-Wide Changes in Employment, All Funds, 1989/90 to 1992/93"; prisons have added over 7,000 new employees at a time that CSU has laid off over 5,000 positions and the UC system has lost nearly 4,000. He observed that Cal Poly has reduced its enrollment by 1400 students in the last two years; we should not exceed 14,400 students in 1993-94 if we do not receive any further budget reductions [with the implication that a more severe crisis would necessitate an even smaller student body].

He then fielded questions concerning the charter campus concept. He stated that Chancellor Munitz will pursue a charter campus for one or two campuses in the CSU system. In addition to Cal Poly, the campuses of Fort Ord and Humboldt have come forward as possible candidates as well for charter campuses. They would have some similarities to the charter schools that have been implemented in the public school system in California. Munitz has asked Poly if we would like to pursue the idea; we should have some sort of preliminary response by February or early March.

Then further questions were fielded by Vice President Koob. He first addressed the budget, restating his concept that budgeting is not an *act* but instead is a *process*. We iterate a solution as opposed to create a solution; this allows for change and flexibility. He announced that a week from Friday [February 12] the representatives of PACBRA would meet. They will then go back for two weeks of consultation after they come up with a

preliminary budget. Then they will draft a "final budget" that includes a "range of concerns."

Gooden then asked whether the charter campus would have autonomy. How would CFA fit in? How would a charter deal with access? Koob and Baker responded that all of those things remain to be seen—we are breaking new ground. R. Cruikshanks asked if there were other examples of a charter campus in other states; are there any models? Baker responded that he did not know of any. He then explained that one motivation for moving in this direction is the concern with what has been the unending layers of bureaucracy. We have had very little flexibility. Ron Brown then asked where the impetus had come from. Baker responded that it was initiated by Munitz. It is influenced by Gary Hart's bill on charter campuses in K-12 [Kindergarten through high school]. D. Nulman asked how a charter campus would improve graduation rates. The answer is uncertain. R. Cruikshanks asked if we would have to pay to a group consortium to maintain some of the privileges we now have as a result of being part of CSU—or would we be cut off? Baker responded, "not necessarily." L. Gamble asked how much flexibility we would have; how would a charter impact GE&B requirements? Baker answered that we would have enormous flexibility—it would depend on what *we* thought was appropriate. J. Wilson asked if we would receive additional funds to help with the transition to a charter campus if it were implemented. Baker felt additional funds were unlikely. W. Reynoso asked if the task force members [to study the charter concept] had been identified. Baker responded that they have not been selected yet.

Presentation by Art Gloster, Vice President for Information Systems. The presentation worked sequentially through a large document that had been distributed to Executive Committee members at the January 28 meeting. The first page is titled "Questions Concerning Information Systems" and is comprised of nine questions that Jack Wilson sent to Information Systems for clarification. The next two pages have the written responses supplied by Information Systems. Then there follows a series of charts ; in order to interpret them, the following information plus "translations" of abbreviated terms or acronyms are helpful.

[Page 5] "*Information Systems FY 1992/93 Budget Allocations By Department*" Pie Chart

COMM SRVS	[includes telephones, even in the dorms]
CAPC	= Computer Aided Productivity Center [which is 100% for instruction]
AMSPEC	= Academic Mainframe Specialty Center [which is 100% for instruction]
	This includes databass from CSU for the College of Business
OPS/SYS	= the mainframe
EUS	= End Using Support

[Page 7] "*Information Systems FY 1992/93 Budget Allocations By Category*" Pie Chart


ICP	= Instructional, Cal Poly
ACP	= Administration, Cal Poly
SCP	= Shared [by both instruction & administration]
CCP	= Communications [This also is an extinct country.]

[Page 9] "*Information Systems Allocations By Category*" Spread Sheet

IT	= Information Technology
EDP	= Electronic Data Processing

Dr. Gloster left at 4:50, at which time we discussed issues concerning the task force to examine the charter concept. After several opinions were offered, C. Andrews nominated Hal Johnston and Ron Brown to serve on the committee. T. Kersten asked Koob what will become of the task force's report. He answered that the report could be shaped into a proposal to be sent to the Chancellor's office, which would then be sent to a legislative support group, which would then go to the floor of the Assembly as a bill by March.

Adjournment: the meeting was adjourned at 5:00.



Craig H. Russell, Secretary of Academic Senate

May 7, 1993
Date