

## Minutes of the ACADEMIC SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

October 31, 1995  
UU 220 3:10 pm

Members present: Bowker, Burgunder, Dana, Gooden, Greenwald, Hampsey, Hannings, Kersten, Lutrin, Martinez, McQuerrey, Opava, Wilson

Guests: Baker, Howard-Green, Irvin, Marks, McDonald, Whiteford, Williamson, Zingg

- I. Minutes: Minutes of the October 10 meeting of the Academic Senate Executive Committee were approved as submitted.
- II. Communications and Announcements: The Chair announced that Cal Poly must conduct a campus-wide election on the Revision of the Constitution of the Academic Senate CSU. The statewide Senators are asked to prepare a proposal for handling this in time for the referendum which must occur in December.
- III. Reports:
  - A. Chair: no report
  - B. President's Office: no report
  - C. Vice President for Academic Affairs: Dr. Zingg reported that the Senate will be getting a note regarding the academic calendar which asks Senators to help think through the issue of reading days in subsequent calendars. He also noted that the Art and Design department has just received its accreditation.
  - D. Statewide Senators: no report
  - E. CFA Campus President: no report
  - F. Staff Council representative: no report
  - G. ASI representative: McQuerrey announced that ASI committee assignments are complete and asked Senators to contact students who belong to their committees.
- IV. Consent Agenda: none
- V. Business Items:
  - A. Committee vacancies: MSP to approve the following appointments from the College of Engineering: Fairness Board--Tao Yang, Status of Women-Blair Lyndon.
  - B. Appointment of part-time representative to the Academic Senate; MSP that Gary Laver represent part-time faculty to the Academic Senate.
  - C. Appointment of representative to the Mass Transit Service Task Force: MSP that Stu Goldenburg represent the Academic Senate on the Mass Transit Service Task Force.
  - D. GE&B: A document entitled, "Proposal for an AdHoc Committee on GEB" was distributed at the meeting. It was clarified that the ad hoc committee will deal with major issues, i.e. broad, structural issues rather than the GEB program in detail. Deciding which courses count for what will be done by another committee. Q. How much flexibility do we have now (in regard to the

Executive order). Other campuses have chosen double counting, etc. But determining this would be part of the ad hoc group's charge.

MSF that the GEB ad hoc committee membership be changed to five faculty and two administrators chosen so that each college is represented.

MSF All nominees from a college must come from that college caucus.

MSP Move the previous question.

MSP to appoint an ad hoc committee on GEB composed of four faculty and two administrators.

Senators are to email Margaret with their suggestions by Friday, November 3. The officers will develop their recommended list for consideration at the next Executive Committee meeting.

- E. Program Review Committee and PCS representation on that committee. MSP to appoint George Stanton to the Program Review and Improvement Committee as the PCS representative.
- F. Resolution to Approve the Proposal to Establish an Urban Forest Ecosystems Institute: MSP to agendize this resolution for the full Senate.
- G. Options for Curriculum Review and Catalog: The Curriculum Committee distributed a handout which summarized three options. It was noted that the Executive Committee has to act for the Senate because the timeframe does not allow for its being brought to the full body.

MSP To approve Option 2 as amended: The 95-96 mini cycle will be extended to a Regular Curriculum Cycle with a 1997-98 catalog to be printed with no supplements.

#### VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- A. Affirmative Action Plan for Cal Poly: No Academic Senate action needed. This document is a revision of statements in the 1988 plan and reaffirms the university's commitment to affirmative action and equal employment opportunity.
- B. Timetables: A special meeting of the Executive Committee will be held next Tuesday for the express purpose of discussing the Cal Poly Plan and GEB. The Performance Pay committee is meeting tonight. If they can meet the deadline the Executive Committee can deal with their report next Tuesday as well.
- C. The Cal Poly Plan: Ongoing discussion. President Baker- Elements that need to be addressed early on are enrollment level for next year including summer quarter and how to invest in quality that relates to a differential fee. We want to balance out the increase in fees with a decrease in overall cost to students by making it possible for them to graduate in a shorter time period. There will be no differential allocation from the Chancellor's Office for enrollment growth. We are proposing a differential student fee beginning next fall and it will be based primarily on the results of the student survey. Q. Will next year be a pilot? That is not clear at this time.

VII. Adjournment: The meeting was adjourned at 5:00 pm.

Submitted by



Sam Lutrin, Secretary, Academic Senate