

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
Minutes of the Academic Senate Executive Committee
Tuesday, October 10, 1995
University Union 220 3:10 pm

Members and Guests present: Bowker, Burgunder, Dalton, Dana, Day, Gonzalez, Gooden., Greenwald Hale, , Hannings, Hampsey, Howard-Green, Irvin, Kersten , Lutrin, Martinez, R. Shaffer, Wilson, Zingg

- I. Minutes-MSP to approve minutes of the September 19, 1995 Executive Committee meeting.
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none
- III. Reports:
 - A. Academic Senate Chair: Next Tuesday's special meeting of the Executive Committee will be devoted solely to ITS and its computing allocations.
 - B. President's Office: no report
 - C. Vice President for Academic Affairs: Dr. Zingg gave an enrollment update. The university has now exceeded its FTE targets. The fall head count of 14,717 is below the target but students are taking heavier loads. In regard to the Cal Poly plan he noted that the college deans will be addressing the question of how much the university wants to grow.
 - D. Statewide Senators: Kersten announced that a draft if a new fee policy has been prepared and is intended to go to the Trustees in November for action at their January meeting. He has the draft on file for anyone interested in reviewing it. Hale mentioned a Senate subcommittee report on remedial education and noted that a major fear about eliminating remedial offerings is that doing so will reduce access. Subcommittee members have agreed that meaningful discussions with K-12 are imperative and that a plan to eliminate remedial offerings within 5 years is of great concern.
 - E. CFA: no report
 - F. Staff Council representative: no report
 - G. ASI representative: no report
- IV. Consent Agenda: none
- V. Business Item(s):
 - A. Committee vacancies: MSP appointment of the following faculty to Academic Senate committees:
CAED: Long-Range Planning-Donna Duerk; Program Review and Improvement-Walt Bremer;
CBUS: Status of Women Committee-Terri Swartz; CLA: Con&Byl-Hernan Castellano;
Curriculum-Jim Coleman; Fairness Board-Randall Murray; GE&B-Linda Bomstad.
PCS: Status of Women Committee-Jere Ramsey.
 - B. Assigned time distribution: MSP to approve assigned time allocations as follows:
Chair-0.500 FTEF/22.50 WTU's; Vice Chair-0.089 FTEF/4 WTU's; Secretary-0.133 FTEF/
6 WTU's; Budget Chair-0.133 FTEF/6 WTU's; Curriculum Chair-0.133 FTEF/6 WTU's;
Fairness Board Chair-0.067 FTEF/3 WTU's; Instruction Chair-0.067 FTEF/3 WTU's;
Instruction Chair-0.067 FTEF/3 WTU's; Prog Rev & Imp Chair-0.133 FTEF/6WTU's;
Pay for Performance Chair-0.133 FTEF/6WTU's to be held in reserve until the workload
is determined; Reserved-1.400 FTEF/8 WTU's.

- C. Cal Poly Plan: Approval of final draft. (A revised survey proposal was distributed at the meeting. The one in agenda packet is out of date.) MSP to delegate authority to the committee to modify the written survey and send it out.
- D. Recommendations for memberships of second-round Cal Poly Plan Focus Groups. Questions were distributed. The focus groups will each meet once for two hours. Executive Committee members should get their suggestions for rewrite to Jack Wilson soon as the groups will meet in 3 weeks. MSP to empower Jack Wilson to continue development of the focus groups.

VI. Discussion Items

- A. Report of Ad Hoc Committee to Review the Organization and Structure of Academic Senate Committees. Proposed revisions were developed over the summer. The Senate Chair wants to send the proposal out to every committee member for feedback. The proposed timeline for implementing revisions is as follows: move specific recommendations through the full Senate by the end of winter quarter so that they can be implemented next spring. MSP to empower the committee to send the draft to existing standing committees with the request that they respond by the end of fall quarter.
- B. Commitment to Visionary Pragmatism: The Executive Committee needs to decide how the Senate will address the document. The Chair proposes that appropriate committees be charged with bringing specific resolutions that address issues raised by Visionary Pragmatism to the Senate. In other words, the document will serve as a starting point for discussion. The Senate will not deal with the document as a whole but would address Visionary Pragmatism through resolutions that come before it.

The chair further proposes that GE & B be dealt with differently. Multiple sets of recommendations about this program have been developed during recent years. Therefore, the Vice Chair was asked by the Chair to investigate and prepare a recommendation over the summer. The Vice Chair suggests that the Executive Committee appoint an ad hoc committee with a report deadline of February. He envisions a small ad hoc committee comprised of two administrators and four faculty (including himself) who have worked on this issue before. The proposed charge would be to review prior reports on GE & B and to look at program oversight (i.e. governance), theory and philosophy, and content as a template (i.e. general rather than course by course recommendations).

Various alternatives were explored. MSF that the ad hoc committee be composed of one member from each college selected by caucus chairs. MSF to table until the next regularly scheduled scheduled Executive Committee meeting (October 30, 1995). MSF that a small ad hoc committee be appointed as proposed by the Vice Chair.

VII. Adjournment: MSP to adjourn at 4: 58 pm.

Submitted by



Sam Lutrin, Secretary, Academic Senate