

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

MINUTES OF THE
Academic Senate Executive Committee
Tuesday, May 6, 1997
UU 220, 3:00-5:00 p.m.

Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:10 p.m.

Members and Guests present: Bill Amspacher, Les Bowker, Johanna Brown, Margaret Camuso, Leslie Cooper, Juan Gonzalez, Harvey Greenwald, John Harris, Dan Howard-Greene, Hal Johnston, Robert Lang, Jim Locasio, Sam Lutrin, William Martinez, Everardo Martinez-Inzunza, Tad Miller, Anny Morrobel-Sosa, Tom Ruehr, Guy Welch, Paul Zingg

- I. Minutes: none
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none
- III. Reports:
 - A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
 - B. President's Office: no report
 - C. Provost's Office: Zingg gave status of Cal Poly Plan. One-third of new dollars must go to Financial Aid, but not necessarily from Cal Poly Plan funds. If the university can find approximately \$700,000 from other sources, then the Cal Poly Plan will have an additional \$700,000 to work with. The Cal Poly Plan Steering Committee will be meeting tomorrow night to discuss options and how to fund proposals.
 - D. Statewide Senators: no report
 - E. CFA Campus President: no report
 - F. Staff Council representative: no report
 - G. ASI representative: no report
 - H. IACC representative: no report
 - I. Athletics Governing Board representative: no report
 - J. Other: Cal Poly Plan: Gonzalez reported on the results of the student vote on the Cal Poly Plan. Question #1 on support of the concept of the plan was approved by a 55.2%:44.8% vote. Question #2 was defeated by a 29.7%:70.8% vote. Question #3 on the additional fees was defeated by a 24%:76% vote. Gonzalez indicated that President Baker would abide by the student vote. Twenty-four percent of the student body voted. CENG students turned out at 29%; CAGR students turned out at 17.84%; and CLA students turned out at 17%. April 30th was the deadline for submission of new proposals, with 167 new proposals submitted and 25 continuing proposals. Unofficially, the proposals total approximately \$9.8 million. Beyond funding the commitment for 16 new faculty positions, there will be approximately \$400,000 available for funding the proposals. Johnston questioned if program-specific or college-specific proposals would be considered. Gonzalez indicated that no new plans have been considered until now. There will be a meeting tomorrow night to discuss the next steps for the Cal Poly Plan.

Gonzalez also reported on an article entitled "Hispanic Outlook of Undergraduate Education". Cal Poly was ranked #1 CAED in the U.S., and ranked highly in CENG, CBUS, Biological Sciences, Liberal Arts and Sciences, Psychology, Visual and Performing Arts, and overall college in the U.S.

IV. Consent Agenda:

V. Business Item(s):

- A. **Discussion of GEB Subcommittee candidates:** Greenwald explained process for selection (same as for GEB Director and Committee). Amspacher expressed concern that Provost selected two "unacceptable" candidates for the main committee. Harris indicated that the GEB Committee WOULD consider the Senate's views on this selection.

Lutrin suggested having a vote without discussion. Morrobel-Sosa expressed a desire to hear about all individuals. M/S/P (Martinez/Morrobel-Sosa) to use process used for GEB Director and Committee in a closed session.

CLOSED SESSION for discussion of candidates, by college. Recommendations will be forwarded to GEB Director and Committee.


RE-OPENED SESSION.

- B. **Resolution on Cal Poly Performance Salary Step Increase Policy:** Harris gave introduction on PSSI policy and rationale for recommended changes. Johnston recommended that actual funds be pushed down to the department level. Martinez recommended that supporting documents be allowed from 1-3 pages, not just 3 pages period. Martinez questioned what would happen if the entire department applied for a PSSI. Harris indicated that a person cannot review his/her own documentation, but can review others in his/her department. Morrobel-Sosa expressed concern that this is a complete change in identification of professional development as a universal standard. Morrobel-Sosa further expressed desire that this policy should become part of CAM and the campus RTP process, so that junior faculty would know what is expected of them. M/S/P (Martinez/Bowker) to agendize.

VI. Discussion Item(s):

VII. Adjournment: M/S/P (Martinez/Morrobel-Sosa) to adjourn at 5:00 p.m.

Submitted by:


Leslie Cooper
Academic Senate