

CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY
San Luis Obispo, California 93407
ACADEMIC SENATE

MINUTES OF THE
Academic Senate Executive Committee
Tuesday, April 15, 1997
UU 220, 3:00-5:00 p.m.

Preparatory: The meeting was opened at 3:10 p.m.

Members and Guests present: Bill Amspacher, Les Bowker, Johanna Brown, Leslie Cooper, Reg Gooden, Harvey Greenwald, Tom Hale, Dan Howard-Greene, Hal Johnston, Tim Kersten, Robert Lang, Sam Lutrin, William Martinez, Tad Miller, Anny Morrobel-Sosa, Mary Whiteford, Jim Zetzsche, Paul Zingg

- I. Minutes: M/S/P (Morrobel-Sosa/Hale) to approve Executive Committee minutes of March 11, 1997. M/S/P (Morrobel-Sosa/Gooden) to approve Executive Committee minutes of February 25, 1997.
- II. Communication(s) and Announcement(s): none
- III. Reports:
 - A. Academic Senate Chair: no report
 - B. President's Office: no report
 - C. Provost's Office: no report
 - D. Statewide Senators: no report
 - E. CFA Campus President: no report
 - F. Staff Council representative: no report
 - G. ASI representative: no report
 - H. IACC representative: no report
 - I. Athletics Governing Board representative: no report
 - J. Other:
- IV. Consent Agenda:
- V. Business Item(s):
Selection of nominees to the positions of (1) GEB Program Director and (2) GEB Committee members

Zingg gave introduction as to the expectations of the GEB Program Director. He met with all applicants for Director. All applicants indicated that if they were not selected as Director, they would still agree to serve in another capacity. Zingg reminded the group that most, if not all, of the activities currently handled by the Academic Senate GEB Committee would now be handled by the new GEB Program. The Director position will not just be an idea-generating position - there will be a lot of work attached to the position.

Greenwald suggested procedure for discussion of candidates. Each candidate would be discussed in the order that their name appeared on the master list. No other procedural suggestions were offered, so Greenwald's method was employed.

The seven candidates for Director were discussed. Gooden questioned Zingg about the extent of involvement the Director would have with administration. Zingg indicated that the Director would have communications outside, as well as inside, the university. Lutrin expressed the need for the Director to act with diplomacy and tact. Secret ballots were then taken to "accept, reject, or abstain from voting" for each candidate as Director of the new GEB Program. Votes were tallied and reported by Greenwald.

Candidates for membership on the new GEB Committee were then discussed in the same manner as for the Director position. After discussion, secret ballots were again taken to "accept, reject, or abstain from voting" for each candidate. Votes were tallied and reported by Greenwald.

VI. Adjournment: M/S/P to adjourn at 4:45 p.m.

Submitted by:



Leslie Cooper
Academic Senate