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Abstract

The Tiny Disco is a WiFi based concert system, featuring improvements on popular “Silent Disco” concerts. Rather than being tied to compression and bandwidth restrictions present in traditional silent disco systems, the Tiny Disco system can deliver 320kbps+ audio quality, and allows listeners to bring their own headphones, further lending to the high quality audio experience.

Tiny Disco uses a Raspberry Pi as the audio server, and Espressif ESP32 microcontrollers as audio receivers/clients. The Tiny Disco is primarily geared toward smaller concerts and niche events where audio quality is valued, though due to its WiFi-based architecture, it can be expanded to events of a few hundred people fairly easily.

The Tiny Disco system was developed and tested, and performed successfully using a test setup. Only one client was tested due to cost constraints, but load testing was performed against the Raspberry Pi server, as well as bandwidth/audio quality analysis.
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Introduction

Over the last decade, there has been a massive societal shift in the way people discover and listen to new music. With the rise of streaming services like Spotify, Apple Music, and others, listeners now receive tailored recommendations for new music, and it’s all available wherever and wherever we want to listen. However, while personal listening has benefitted from these advancements, listening to live music has remained largely the same over the past few decades. Concerts still use large, powered speaker systems, though there have been some advancements for smaller-scale concerts and events.

As technology has progressed, “silent disco” concerts have become possible: each concertgoer is given a pair of headphones to listen to music, rather than relying on a single conventional speaker system. These silent disco systems typically transmit audio using radio transmitters and receivers, and they are usually very efficient for transmitting to a large group of people seamlessly.

I have been a DJ for the past 8 years, and have DJed at and attended a handful of silent disco concerts. I think that silent discos are a fun, new way to experience live music, and there’s something special about dancing with your friends, taking your headphones off and not hearing any outside music. However, silent disco-style concerts have their drawbacks. Namely, most silent disco systems feature headsets with built-in radio receivers, so listeners are restricted to the supplied headphones, which are usually purchased and made cheaply (and without regard for audio quality). Taking all of these factors into account, I want my Senior Project to improve
on current silent disco systems, namely allowing listeners to use their own headphones, and aim for high quality (320kbps+ data transfer) between transmitter and receiver).

To accomplish these goals, I'll implement my own silent disco system using the Espressif ESP32 (a microcontroller, similar to the Arduino, that has Wi-Fi and Bluetooth built in) and transmit audio over wifi instead of radio. Additionally, my system will allow users to plug in their own headphones (using a standard 3.5mm audio jack), and possibly, to connect Bluetooth headphones to the ESP32.

**Stakeholders**

While there are no formal customers for this Senior Project, there is still a large market for silent disco concerts and live music in general. Notably, event companies and other organizations
would likely have interest in this project’s success, and would be interested in the improvements that this project offers over current silent disco systems. My silent disco system could also be used by independent artists (such as DJs and bands) that may want to have smaller listening and album release parties without worrying about high overhead costs of renting silent disco headphones that feature lackluster sound quality. These artists could hold smaller-scale listening events that still value listening to music in the quality and the way that they want to portray to their friends and fans.

**Project Goals and Objectives**

The objectives of this Senior Project are to investigate and create a functional silent disco system, complete with a transmitter and receiver. Audio for the system will be delivered over Wi-Fi, rather than traditional systems that use radio frequencies to transmit audio. This system should allow listeners to use their own wired headphones, and should handle at least 50 clients. The Wi-Fi system should allow clients to be at least 50 feet away. Additionally, the client should be able to receive and transcode high-quality audio, with a bandwidth of at least 320kbps.

**Project Deliverables**

Some deliverables for this project include a working transmitter and receiver system (including a Wi-Fi access point for clients to connect to) that can transmit audio. The receiver should have a 3.5mm Auxiliary port for wired headphones. Additionally, benchmarks will be conducted for power draw of the receiver (to measure maximum theoretical runtime/battery life, with multiple battery sizes), theoretical Wi-Fi bandwidth limit (to measure the maximum number of clients that
could connect and stream at once), and range tests using different Access Points to measure how far clients can be from the transmitter.

This document will also act as a deliverable, and will include goals for this project, full implementation details, implementation tradeoffs, a history of silent disco systems (and upcoming technologies/advancements in the field) and a breakdown of each benchmark and its results.

**Project Outcomes**

The outcomes of this project would be highly beneficial to live music companies, specifically silent disco companies, as well as musicians that want to hold concerts and events for their fans to listen to music in high quality. The silent disco market has not experienced much innovation since its creation, but this project could enable new avenues for more niche events, and enable innovation to replace current systems.

**Background**

Silent disco-format concerts have existed since the early 1990s, when eco-activists used headphones at outdoor parties to minimize noise pollution and disturbance to the local wildlife. Between the mid-1990s and the early 2000s, event companies such as Glastonbury Music Festival and BBC began to utilize silent disco technology for some of their events.

The term “silent disco” was added to the Oxford Dictionary in 2011 (they were referred to as “silent gigs” and ”silent dance parties” before the phrase was coined). However, while the silent disco format has been used since the 1990s, technology has not advanced past all-in-one radio
receiver and headphone systems. A similar type of silent party, called “mobile clubbing”, has had some notable events in 2003 and 2007, however mobile clubbing events usually involve participants listening to their own MP3 players or other portable devices, and listeners aren’t listening to the same music.

At the time of writing, I cannot find any literature or products that use WiFi for silent disco systems, and cannot find any that let listeners bring their own headphones. All of the systems perform largely the same, using radio transmitters (the Talent TX-50RF and TX-60RF models are popular) that transmit FM radio at 920MHz frequency. I believe my system is a major advancement for silent disco systems, and can rival (and replace) currently available systems for the same price, or cheaper.

**Experimentation to help develop engineering specifications**

I have been a DJ for 7 years, and have participated in many silent disco events- both as a DJ and a listener. However, I couldn’t stand the sub-par music quality that silent disco systems produced. I wanted to create a way to give listeners a better experience by allowing them to use their own headphones, and to ensure that the music quality they were hearing was miles ahead of current systems that use radio to send and receive audio. However, these systems still had to compete with current systems, so they needed a long battery life that would enable listeners to have fun for hours on end. Finally, I wanted this system to be cheaper to design and produce than current systems, so I decided to use the ESP32 and Raspberry Pi. Aside from the WiFi system needed for this project, the ESP32 and I2S DAC was <$20 for one unit, and the Raspberry Pi was $35. While this wasn’t necessarily experimentation to develop the engineering
specifications, my experiences with silent disco concerts really helped to form my expectations for how my ideal silent disco system would perform.

**Formal Project Definition**

**Customer Requirements**

- Support 50+ ESP32 clients
- Support 320kbps+ audio quality
- 4+ hour runtime (enough battery life to last for a concert or event)
- Easy access to the audio stream (allow listeners to use their personal devices to access the stream, instead of locking them to using the ESP32)

**Engineering Requirements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification Number</th>
<th>Parameter Description</th>
<th>Requirement/Target</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bit Rate</td>
<td>320 kbps</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A, T, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Concurrent Users</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Audio Channels</td>
<td>Stereo</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A, T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Energy Usage</td>
<td>1 W</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Battery Life</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usability/Ergonomics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Easy Stream Access</td>
<td>Listeners can use personal devices, not just ESP32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, T, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Listening Range</td>
<td>100 ft</td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A, T, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation/Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong> Battery Charging Time</td>
<td><strong>1 hr to 80%</strong></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A, S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Customer/End-User Personas**

There are a few main customers and end users that will deploy a system like this:

A new event company wants to expand into organizing silent disco concerts, but wants to do so more cheaply than traditional silent disco systems. They also want to spend money on a system that will last them a few years, and will be somewhat future-proofed out of the box. They want to organize smaller, more intimate events for fans that value audio quality, so they choose the Tiny Disco system to achieve these goals.

A band has just released their first album, and wants to throw an album listening party.

Releasing this album was a huge deal for them, and they want to share their work with their friends, family, and fans. Because the release is so special, they want their fans to experience their album at the best quality possible. They decide to use the Tiny Disco system, and let their fans use their own headphones (or personal devices, like phones) to connect and listen at the release party.

An event company in SLO rents 200 silent disco receivers and one hub for an upcoming event. They ask people to bring their own headphones (no matter what kind), and have a few headphones supplied to cover those that didn’t bring any. Their event avoids noise complaints since there aren’t any powered speakers.
A local music festival wants to host a silent disco stage, but wants attendees to not have to worry about bringing their own headphones, or worrying about carrying the receivers around during the festival. They rent a hub for the event, and provide headphones for attendees. Using their cell phones, attendees can access the Wi-Fi audio stream and enjoy the music without needing an extra receiver.

User Stories

- As a DJ, I want to avoid noise complaints and tickets, so I can keep my business running.
- As an event company owner, I want to expand my offerings to attract new customers.
- As a musician, I want to share my music with others in the highest quality possible.
- As an event company owner, I want to throw events for many people, to increase my profits and produce more memorable events.
- As a serious music listener, I want to experience new and cutting-edge concerts and events to deepen my love for music and have fun.

Discussion of Applicable Codes and Standards

Applicable Codes and Standards for this project are the 802.11 WiFi standards and FCC standards for WiFi transmission and WiFi power. WiFi transmit power has limits to minimize 2.4GHz and 5GHz radiation, so it's important to keep these limits in mind when working with WiFi devices. Thankfully, since my silent disco system utilizes consumer-grade Access Points, these devices are audited and are within the FCC limits for transmit power (the Google WiFi...
The mesh system used for testing uses 656mW at 2.4GHz and 535mW at 5GHz, which are lower than the FCC limit (1W) for 2.4GHz and 5GHz.

There are no standards needed for the Raspberry Pi or the ESP32, as these simply depend on the Google WiFi system.

**Legal and Ethical Concerns**

There aren’t many legal or ethical concerns for this product, though due to the wireless nature of this system, care should be taken to avoid use in illegal or unauthorized concerts and events ("renegade" concerts are popular for silent disco systems, in which small-scale concerts occur on unauthorized land, such as private property and parks/nature preserves that aren’t fit for concerts, and without securing permits for these events).

**Existing Products that Solve Similar Problems**

As this report has mentioned multiple times, this product is a successor to popular silent disco products that are widely available today. These silent disco products feature radio transmitters and receivers, and radio receivers are typically low-cost and low-quality all-in-one receiver and headphone solutions. My silent disco product would aim to improve over these existing systems by using WiFi for audio transmission, allowing listeners to use their own headphones, and aiming for high quality (320kbps+) audio.
Design

This system is best described as a client-server design, in which the server (the Raspberry Pi) sends audio to the client (Espressif ESP32) over WiFi.

System Diagram
Design Considerations

To complete this system, my priorities were cost, reliability/robustness, ease of implementation/use, and size. I primarily have experience with networking and cybersecurity, so I had some idea about what hardware to use to accomplish my goals.
For the server hardware, I wanted to use a small, low cost hardware system to serve audio over a network, that could handle ~50 concurrent clients. This led me to decide on a Raspberry Pi microcomputer, since I have experience using them for side projects and they’re capable enough to do basic audio transcoding, or support a small media server (such as Network Attached Storage). I used a Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+, as it was the most current Raspberry Pi at the time of choosing parts (the Raspberry Pi 4 has been released since writing).

For client/receiver hardware, I still wanted to choose an option that was cheap and has a small form factor, since (for a final product) this would be contained in a small case with a battery, and held during a silent disco concert. I ended up choosing the ESP32-WROOM-32 module, from HiLetGo on Amazon. The ESP32 is the successor to the popular ESP8266, and has a number of improvements over the ESP8266. Like the ESP8266, the ESP32 uses the Arduino IDE for development; both boards are like high-end versions of the popular Arduino Uno boards.

However, the ESP32 features built-in WiFi and Bluetooth, and a dual core CPU (the ESP8266 does not have Bluetooth onboard, and only has a single core CPU). The ESP32 has a deep sleep power state which uses 7uA of power, and 80-180mA while using the WiFi module. These power numbers are perfect for a small device using a Lithium Ion battery for small electronics. Using a AA-sized 1500mAh battery yields an impressive 8 hour runtime of constant listening, found using an IoT battery life calculator.
The Access Points still should not be forgotten, and for this project/proof of concept I decided to use what’s at my home: a Google mesh WiFi system with 3 access points. While I could’ve likely used any 802.11N compatible AP, the mesh system could greatly increase range for a finished product, allowing listeners to be 2-300ft+ away from the transmitter. However, the specific model that I have may not be suitable for this, as they rely on an internet connection to function properly, and no configuration can be done locally. For a use case that’s away from a readily available internet connection, another mesh networking kit may work better.

**Risk Area Analysis**

Perhaps the biggest risk area in this system is handling audio on the client (ESP32) side. This includes transcoding the WiFi audio stream, volume controls on the ESP32, and Bluetooth/3.5mm audio output to a listener’s headphones.

Transcoding can be done using the ESP32 hardware, using the ESP32’s I2S audio libraries. The ESP32 is a dual-core system; one core controls WiFi/Bluetooth connectivity, and the other core can be used for all other functions (so we will have one dedicated core for audio transcoding/manipulation).
After the audio is transcoded to I2S, it will be either transmitted over Bluetooth to a listener’s headphones, or sent to an external DAC for 3.5mm headphone support. The code for Bluetooth functionality will be tested and upgraded to work with most modern headphones.

There are many external DAC options for the ESP32, including the STA3333IS. This chip performs digital audio processing, simply needing an I2S input. The chip has 2 out channels for stereo audio, as well as support for software volume control. Volume control will likely be up/down/mute buttons or a potentiometer, and this input can be fed into the STA3333IS for processing.

Another option for the DAC is the Infineon MA12040P. This chip is more powerful than the STA (possibly too powerful for this application, as it’s more suited for soundbars and ‘permanent’ sound systems), but still features software volume control and limiting.

Testing will be done with the ESP32’s internal DAC and external DACs before settling on a solution (to see if the internal DAC is powerful enough to produce high quality sound and to drive speakers connected to the ESP32). However, there are a multitude of external I2S DACs available, so even if the two listed in this document aren’t suitable, it shouldn’t be hard to find one that will suit this project’s needs.

**Implementation - Server**

Server implementation is built using the popular audio streaming software Icecast. Icecast is a streaming media server which is usually used for Internet radio stations. While Icecast streams are typically broadcast on the Internet, Icecast can also be used on a local network, and they’re
functionally similar. To achieve a media server for this project, I decided to install Icecast on the Raspberry Pi, and serve content over a LAN.

Unfortunately, Icecast does not support capturing external audio, so I used a program called DarkIce to accomplish this. I used a USB sound card to connect external audio (for example, a DJ controller), which is then encoded and sent to Icecast, which serves the audio.

First, I installed DarkIce and Icecast on my Raspberry Pi using

```
sudo apt-get install darkice icecast2
```

This installs both DarkIce and Icecast to the Raspberry Pi, and after downloading and installing is complete, the Icecast2 install wizard is launched which asks for the address to stream on (I used localhost, though a URL can be used for web streaming), and passwords for the admin section of Icecast.

Next, we start the Icecast process by running

```
sudo su
    /etc/init.d/icecast2 start
```

(the above command must be run using root).
I used my upstream router for DHCP, and once I navigated to the Raspberry Pi’s URL I was greeted with this page:

![Icecast2 Status](image)

Clicking the yellow M3U link in the top right corner opens a .m3u file (used to share playlist information for songs) and the streamed music can be heard.

To further configure Icecast for this project, I modified the config file that DarkIce uses, and set up the audio configuration to match the USB sound card setup in the Raspberry Pi, as well as setting a 320kbps stream. The full config file can be found in the appendix, under `darkice.cfg`.

The [general] section of the config file sets the duration of the stream (24 hours, far longer than should be needed), as well as a buffer for audio and the option to reconnect if the stream URL is disconnected (it never should, since we’re using LAN).
The [input] section selects the USB sound card (it’s in USB slot 1,0), and sets the audio sample rate and bits per sample. 44.1kHz sample rate is typical for CDs (high-quality), and to hear differences between the left and right headphones, we’re using stereo for this project, versus converting both left and right streams to just one (mono).

The [icecast2-0] section shows configuration for the Icecast server. To complete one of my objectives, I selected an audio format of constant bitrate (CBR) 320kbps. The lowpass/highpass section is important, since these are both disabled (using the -1 value). This was turned on when I first started using DarkIce, but resulted in poor audio quality since the highs and bass were cut.

Now that DarkIce and Icecast are working properly (and we can hear audio that’s being played), we just need to enable DarkIce and Icecast when the Raspberry Pi boots. To do this, we’ll use the following script:

```bash
#!/bin/bash
sudo /etc/init.d/icecast2 start
sudo /usr/bin/darkice -c /home/pi/DARKICE/darkice.cfg
```

We can use Cron to run this script when the Raspberry Pi boots, by running

```
sudo su
crontab -e
```

and adding "@reboot /home/pi/DARKICE/darkice.sh" to the end of the file (this is the location of the script).
Now that the Raspberry Pi functions properly and doesn’t need any more input after booting, we can focus on connecting the ESP32 client and streaming audio.

**Implementation - Client**

Client implementation was completed using the Espressif ESP32 microcontroller, and a PCM5102A I2S stereo DAC. The ESP32 has I2S built in, and the PCM5102A supports high quality audio (up to 32 bits per sample, though I’m only using 16), and up to 384kHz sample rate (I’m using 44100kHz).

Thankfully, the ESP32 includes WiFi and Bluetooth on-board, which greatly simplifies the design of this system. Additionally, the ESP32 can use the Arduino IDE for development, which allows for the use of built in libraries and leveraging existing projects.

I came across a Github project for “ESP8266Audio”, which was created to play audio files (MP3, WAV, etc.) on I2S DACs on ESP8266 and ESP32 microcontrollers. This project has been in development for over 3 years, and continual support and updates are still being added. This package included support for playing a MP3 stream over HTTP, and playing that MP3 to a I2S DAC. There is also a double-buffer system for HTTP streams which I used, which supports having 1-2 packets in memory to avoid stuttering.

To wire the PCM5102A to the ESP32, I used a breadboard and standard male to male jumper wires. The ESP8266Audio description includes some help for wiring:
• 3.3V from ESP8266 -> VCC, 33V, XMT
• GND from ESP8266 -> GND, FLT, DMP, FMT, SCL
• (Standard I2S interface) BCLK->BCK, I2SO->DIN, and LRCLK(WS)->LCK

However, to wire the BCK, DIN and LCK pins, I did a bit more research and discovered another Github repo, with wiring suggestions for an I2S DAC:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESP pin</th>
<th>I2S signal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPIO25/DAC1</td>
<td>LRCK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPIO26/DAC2</td>
<td>BCLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPIO22</td>
<td>DATA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I wrote a program using examples from the ESP8266Audio package to support an MP3 stream from LAN, which is double-buffered before sent to the PCM5102A (the full code text is available in the Appendix). The audio served from the Raspberry Pi can successfully be heard through the ESP32!

**Design Considerations for Future Projects**

For future projects like this (or to expand on this project) I would recommend not using the ESP-WROOM-32 as I did. While the WROOM-32 model is great, and worked reliably in this application, there are other ESP32 models available now that are more suited for audio applications (specifically, the ESP32-LyraT), which includes a built-in DAC, play/pause and volume controls while retaining built-in WiFi and Bluetooth.
Additionally, for a more permanent system, a battery is needed to power the ESP32 clients. As discussed in the **Implementation - Client** section, the ESP32 uses ~80-180mA at 3.3V with WiFi enabled, and the PCM5102A uses 10uA at 3.3V (Section 8.5 of the TI datasheet). Combined, the system would use ~0.462033W (0.462W from ESP32 at 140mA, and 0.000033W from the PCM5102A). Using the 1500mAh battery listed in the Implementation section for the ESP32, this gives an 8 hour runtime at 140.01mAh power consumption. Assuming the battery starts fully charged, this gives more than enough runtime for most events, and standby batteries can allow events to run far past the 8 hour limit.

**System Testing/Analysis**

Testing this system was performed in two ways: load tests for the Raspberry Pi server, and audio quality tests from the Raspberry Pi and the ESP32 client.

**Server Load Testing**

To perform load tests on the Raspberry Pi with DarkIce and Icecast, I found a Github repository by user ‘aerth’ that contains a Golang program for load testing Icecast servers. I started DarkIce and Icecast, and began load testing.

To log CPU usage over time (to notice impact of the load tests on the Raspberry Pi) I SSH’ed into the Raspberry Pi and ran

```bash
while true; do uptime >> uptime.log; sleep 1; done
```
while the load tests were completed. This gave me an output that contained the current time (to graph this information) as well as load averages of the CPU every second.

**Test #1: 100 Users**

The arguments for the stress testing script are host IP address and port, the Icecast stream mount point, the number of connections to test and an optional interval for starting new streams.

To test 100 users against the stream, I ran

```
  go run main.go 192.168.86.243:8000 test.mp3 100
```

and used the above uptime command to log CPU usage. The test was run for 5 minutes to get a better idea of how the load would stabilize on the system. The following graph is the time versus the 1 minute, 5 minute and 15 minute load averages for 100 connected users:
Test #2: 300 Users

Similar to the first load test, another load test was performed over 5 minutes for 300 connected users. However, I had to modify a value in the Icecast config file to allow >100 users connected at once (there is a limit hardcoded in the config file, possibly to deter DDoS attacks for public web streams).

Unlike the 100 user test, I began to get errors when running the load testing script:

```
dial tcp 192.168.86.24:8000: socket: too many open files
```

Followed by

```
Reading connection 227 failed: EOF
```

However, some debugging showed that I had 256 available file descriptors for my terminal on my laptop, running `ulimit -S -n 2048` fixed this problem and I was able to successfully test 300 users without any errors.

1, 5, 15 minute Load Averages/Time - 300 Users
Test #3: 2000 Users

Finally, I ran the same test with 1000 concurrent users, to test the limits of this system. I had already surpassed my objective of 50 concurrent users, so this was more to see the impact that this many users had on the Raspberry Pi’s CPU load.

While this graph was roughly indicative of the load averages, the test was not successful. I began receiving Reading connection 134 failed: EOF errors around connection 375, which leads me to believe this is the upper limit for clients connected to the Raspberry Pi at once. The admin interface shows 429 peak listeners for this test, but these numbers are far less than the 2000 connection target.
**Load Test Analysis**

After completing these tests, I averaged the values for the 1 minute, 5 minute, and 15 minute loads and collected them:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Load Avg [1 min]</th>
<th>Load Avg [5 min]</th>
<th>Load Avg [15 min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>100 Users</strong></td>
<td>1.412</td>
<td>1.051</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>300 Users</strong></td>
<td>1.922</td>
<td>1.341</td>
<td>0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>375 Users</strong></td>
<td>1.950</td>
<td>1.821</td>
<td>1.694</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As we can see, the load roughly doubled between 100 users and 350 users connected (using the 5 minute averages). The load averages are a measure of how many processes are waiting to execute, and with the quad core processor on the Raspberry Pi, a load average of <2 is acceptable. However, for a production-level system, the client limits and EOF errors should certainly be investigated, as this doesn’t seem like a limitation of the Raspberry Pi’s CPU.

**Audio Quality Test**

To test audio quality, I captured output of the stream from the Raspberry Pi, and the ESP32 (to compare audio degradation over the network, and degradation as a result of the ESP32/PCM5102A DAC).

I tested using FLAC files from my iTunes library to start with the most clean audio quality I could find. The bitrate of the files I tested with is >2,000 kbps, which is transcoded by the Raspberry Pi to 320kbps before being streamed.
Audio clips from the Raspberry Pi were recorded using

```bash
curl --output streamoutput.mp3 --max-time 10
```

This allowed me to record snippets of the stream, and compare them to audio files present on my computer. I recorded samples of 2 different songs, and each recording was 10 seconds long. I recorded the same 10 second sample of each song from the Raspberry Pi and the ESP32 to further identify differences between the source material, the Raspberry Pi’s encoding, and the ESP32’s DAC.

To clean my recordings, I used **Audacity** to “stack” each recording on top of one another, ensuring that the same ~10 seconds were being compared between each song. This is the stacking process for Vice by Droptek, and each file was saved from Audacity in wav format to prevent another transcode (that could degrade audio quality).
After cleaning and stacking, I used Spek, a popular spectrum analyzer program, to identify differences between each of the clips. I use Spek fairly often when I’m DJing to verify the audio quality of my collection, and it’s a great way to quickly see differences between two files.
This is the lossless source file from my computer, that I used as a reference. As seen here, the audio signal runs from 0kHz (the bottom of the y-axis) to 22kHz (the top of the y-axis), indicating that the original quality is present. Some files, such as lower quality MP3 files, will cut off frequencies around 12-16kHz to minimize file size without sacrificing quality.

This screenshot is from the Raspberry Pi’s output, and there is no discernible audio quality loss present. There are a few minor differences (for example, the circled area does not extend as far as the area in the original file), however the quality is still very clean after being transcoded.

This screenshot is the clip recorded from the ESP32. Unlike the output from the Raspberry Pi, large differences can be seen versus the source file, especially in the 20-24kHz range. The colored scale on the right side of this graph indicates volume/signal strength, and the blue areas toward the top of the graph indicate that some high frequencies may be filtered out (versus the green present in the high frequencies from the other recordings).
The results for Walkers by Stephen Walking are roughly consistent with the results for Vice by Droptek. As the screenshots show, audio quality is very similar between the lossless source and the Raspberry Pi, with a minor degradation in quality present on the ESP32’s file.

A third file was tested and recorded, though the findings were identical to these two songs. I have decided not to include it here for brevity, but the screenshots are available in the Appendix for viewing.
Conclusions + Future Work

Overall, this system performed very well, and presents a large upgrade over current silent disco systems. The load test showed that 375 users could be connected at once (almost 8x the engineering requirement for connected users), and while the audio analysis showed some degradation at the ESP32 client, the quality was still far over 320kbps+, which was one of the engineering requirements. Additionally, audio quality could likely be improved by letting listeners use their personal devices, such as cell phones, to connect manually to the stream and listen. The processors and DACs available in most modern phones would be far more capable than the ESP32, and could allow listeners to use Bluetooth headphones as well.

Future work for this project could include testing different ESP32-compatible DACs and measuring their audio quality, or changing/upgrading the server hardware to broadcast in better quality (even up to lossless quality) and support for more concurrent listeners. Bluetooth support on ESP32 devices would also be a plug, and would allow more listeners to use the ESP32 since Bluetooth headphones are becoming more and more popular.

More experimentation could also be done for the WiFi network, including range testing, and comparing WiFi systems to raise the number of connected clients. Mesh networks are also possible with the ESP32, which could replace the need for WiFi entirely, though using a mesh network may cause bandwidth and reliability issues.
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Appendix

ESP32 WiFi Connection & I2S Code

```c
#include <Arduino.h>
#include "AudioGeneratorMP3.h"
#include "AudioOutputI2S.h"
#include "AudioFileSourceHTTPStream.h"
#include "AudioFileSourceBuffer.h"

#include <WiFi.h>

// Define our WiFi SSID and Password
const char* ssid = "xxxxxxxx";
const char* password = "xxxxxxxx";

// Declare our MP3 audio generator, MP3 file, the HTTP buffer, and the I2S audio sink
AudioGeneratorMP3 *mp3;
AudioFileSourceHTTPStream *file;
AudioFileSourceBuffer *buff;
AudioOutputI2S *out;

void setup()
{
    Serial.begin(115200);
    delay(1000);
    Serial.print("Connecting to ");
    Serial.println(ssid);

    // Attempt to connect to WiFi
    WiFi.begin(ssid, password);

    // Print ".........." until connected
    while (WiFi.status() != WL_CONNECTED) {
        delay(500);
        Serial.print(.);
    }

    // Once connected, initialize our Audio stream (the below IP address is my Raspberry Pi with IceCast)
    buff = new AudioFileSourceBuffer(file, 2048);
    out = new AudioOutputI2S();
```
mp3 = new AudioGeneratorMP3();
    // Pass the buffer as the MP3, not the http stream to enable buffering
    mp3->begin(buff, out);
}

void loop()
{
    // While the server is still running, handle it and print MP3 buffering
    if (mp3->isRunning()) {
        Serial.printf("MP3 Running\n");
        Serial.printf(mp3);
        if (!mp3->loop()) mp3->stop();
    } else {
        // If the stream is over, wait until it starts again
        Serial.printf("MP3 done\n");

        delay(1000);
    }
}

Raspberry Pi Code

darkice.cfg
[general]
duration = 86400  # duration in s, 0 forever
bufferSecs = 1    # buffer, in seconds
reconnect = yes   # reconnect if disconnected

[input]
device = plughw:1,0 # Soundcard device for the audio input
sampleRate = 44100  # sample rate 11025, 22050 or 44100
bitsPerSample = 16   # bits
channel = 2          # 2 = stereo

[icecast2-0]
format = mp3
bitrateMode = cbr
bitrate = 320
quality = 1.0
server = localhost
port = 8000
password = hackme
mountPoint = test.mp3
lowpass = -1 # disable low pass filter
highpass = -1 # disable high pass filter

icecast.xml

<icecast>
    <limits>
        <clients>300</clients> <!-- Change this value for testing -->
        <sources>2</sources>
        <threadpool>5</threadpool>
        <queue-size>524288</queue-size>
        <client-timeout>30</client-timeout>
        <header-timeout>15</header-timeout>
        <source-timeout>10</source-timeout>
        <!-- same as burst-on-connect, but this allows for being more specific on how much to burst. Most people won't need to change from the default 64k. Applies to all mountpoints -->
        <burst-size>65535</burst-size>
    </limits>

    <authentication>
        <!-- Sources log in with username 'source' -->
        <source-password>hackme</source-password>
        <!-- Relays log in username 'relay' -->
        <relay-password>hackme</relay-password>
        <!-- Admin logs in with the username given below -->
        <admin-user>admin</admin-user>
        <admin-password>hackme</admin-password>
    </authentication>

    <!-- Uncomment this if you want directory listings -->
    <!--
    <directory>
        <yp-url-timeout>15</yp-url-timeout>
        <yp-url>http://dir.xiph.org/cgi-bin/yp-cgi</yp-url>
    </directory>
    <directory>
        <yp-url-timeout>15</yp-url-timeout>
        <yp-url>http://www.oddsock.org/cgi-bin/yp-cgi</yp-url>
    </directory>
    -->
</icecast>
<!-- This is the hostname other people will use to connect to your server. It affects mainly the urls generated by Icecast for playlists and listings. -->
<hostname>localhost</hostname>

<!-- You can use these two if you only want a single listener -->
<!-- <port>8000</port> -->
<!-- <bind-address>127.0.0.1</bind-address> -->

<!-- You may have multiple <listener> elements -->
<listen-socket>
<port>8000</port>
</listen-socket>
<!-- <bind-address>127.0.0.1</bind-address> -->
</listen-socket>

<!-- The rest of the file is unchanged from the default version -->

**Audio Quality Test #3: Thundergun by 1uP**

![Spek - Thundergun](image1.png) ![Spek - Thundergun](image2.png)

The above screenshots are the lossless file of Thundergun by 1uP in Spek, and the recording from the Raspberry Pi.
This screenshot is the recording from the ESP32, the shelf is clearly visible near the top of the spectrograph.

**Bill of Materials**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier Name</th>
<th>Item #/Part Name</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price Each (+ Link to Purchase)</th>
<th>Use in Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adafruit</td>
<td>Raspberry Pi 3 - Model B+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$35.00</td>
<td>Server hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>HiLetGo Espressif ESP-WROOM-32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$10.99</td>
<td>Client Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>DIYMore PCM5102A DAC (2-pack)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$12.99</td>
<td>Client Audio Hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>Sabrent USB Stereo Audio Adapter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$7.99</td>
<td>Server Audio Input</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Center</td>
<td>QVS 3.5mm to ¼” Stereo Audio Adapter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3.99</td>
<td>Recording audio clips for testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro Center</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Price</td>
<td>Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM Female to Female Jumper Wires</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>$8.99</strong></td>
<td>Wiring ESP32 and DAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCM Male to Male Jumper Wires</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>$9.99</strong></td>
<td>Wiring ESP32 and DAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Breadboard (3-pack)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>$7.99</strong></td>
<td>Wiring ESP32 and DAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** | $97.93 |
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Analysis of Senior Project Design

Please provide the following information regarding your Senior Project and submit to your advisor along with your final report. Attach additional sheets for your responses to the questions below.

Project Title: Tiny Disco: A Cost-Effective, High-Fidelity Wireless Audio System

Quarter / Year Submitted: Winter 2020
Student: (Print Name) ______________________
(Sign) __________________________________

Advisor: (Print Name) ______________________
(Initial) _________
Date: __________

Summary of Functional Requirements

- Describe the overall capabilities of functions of your project or design. Describe what your project does. (Do not describe how you designed it.)
  - The Tiny Disco is capable of transmitting high quality, 320kbps+ audio over WiFi to >300 clients. The Tiny Disco uses a Raspberry Pi as a server, which captures and transcodes audio before serving it over a Local Area Network. The clients are Espressif ESP32 microcontrollers, which stream the audio from the server and send it to Texas Instruments PCM5102A for listening. Additionally, listeners can use their personal devices (namely, cell phones) to connect to the network and listen to the stream.

Primary Constraints

- Describe significant challenges or difficulties associated with your project or implementation. For example, what were limiting factors or other issues that impacted your approach? What made your project difficult? What parameters or specifications limited your options or directed your approach?
Some of the largest challenges associated with my project were learning to work with the ESP32, and learning its limitations. While the ESP32 has WiFi and Bluetooth built in, it does not support audio streaming out of the box and doesn’t decode audio extremely well (there are better versions of the ESP32 for audio projects, I discussed one version in the Design section of this report).

**Economic**

- Original estimated cost of component parts (as of the start of your project)
  - $65
- Actual final cost of component parts (at the end of your project)
  - $97
- Attach a final bill of materials for all components
  - Attached in the Appendix
- Additional equipment costs (any equipment needed for development?)
  - Jumper wires and breadboards were needed for wiring the ESP32.
- Original estimated development time (as of the start of your project)
  - 3 months
- Actual development time (at the end of your project)
  - 2 months

**If manufactured on a commercial basis:**

- Estimated number of devices to be sold per year
  - ~50 servers, ~5,000 clients (100 clients/server)
- Estimated manufacturing cost for each device
  - $40 (server), $25 (client + battery + DAC)
- Estimated purchase price for each device
  - Devices would be rented for events, not purchased
  - Purchase price = $50 (server) $30 (client)
- Estimated profit per year
  - $25,500
    - 50 servers x $50 - (50 servers x $40)
- + 5000 clients x $30 - (5000 clients x $25)
- Estimated cost for user to operate device, per unit time (specify time interval)
  - Only cost is recharging battery (~3 hours) and powering WiFi network (length of event)

Environmental

- Describe any environmental impact associated with manufacturing or use.
- Batteries needed for extended use, created from rare earth materials

Manufacturability

- Describe any issues or challenges associated with manufacturing.

Sustainability

- Describe any issues or challenges associated with maintaining the completed device or system.
  - The system is relatively simple, but more complex networks could lead to far more complex issues.
  - Additionally, the Raspberry Pi server could be replaced to support more clients, and this would likely require further testing and implementation to work properly.
- Describe how the project impacts the sustainable use of resources.
  - This project does not impact sustainable use of resources, in fact, it promotes listeners to use their own devices rather than using an ESP32 client device.
- Describe any upgrades that would improve the design of the project.
  - Upgrading the ESP32/PCM5102A may improve audio quality on the client side (see the report for more info, “Conclusions and Future Work”)
  - Upgrading the Raspberry Pi (or adding a second Raspberry Pi) could support many more clients on one LAN
- Describe any issues or challenges associated with upgrading the design.
- Upgrading any of the server/client will require updating code to support these devices, and possibly will require updating code on every client device (for example, if changing the IP address of the server).

Ethical

- Describe ethical implications relating to the design, manufacture, use or misuse of the project.
- There are no real ethical implications relating to this project.

Health and Safety

- Describe any health and safety concerns associated with design, manufacture or use.
- Hearing loss is a potential health issue caused with listening to loud music over an extended period of time.

Social and Political

- Describe any social and political concerns associated with design, manufacture or use.
- As noted in the report (“Legal and Ethical Concerns”), this system could be used for unauthorized/illegal events and parties, including outdoor renegades.

Development

- Describe any new tools or techniques used for either development or analysis that you learned independently during the course of your project.
- I learned how to use some of the analysis tools for this project, such as learning Audacity and using curl to download streaming audio.