

# Lifted $p$ -Adic Homology with Compact Supports of the Weierstrass Family and Its Zeta Endomorphism

GORO KATO

*School of Mathematics, The Institute for Advanced Study,  
Princeton, New Jersey 08540, U.S.A.*

*Communicated by Hans Zassenhaus and S. Chowla*

The relations among the generators for the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of the various subfamilies of the Weierstrass family in characteristic  $p > 0$  ( $p \neq 2, 3$ ) are explicitly given in Section 2. Then, the universal coefficient spectral sequence and the zeta endomorphism in Section 3 enable one to compute explicitly the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of all fibres, including all the elliptic curves and all their singular degenerations in the family. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a positive rational prime,  $p \neq 2, 3$ . Then the Weierstrass family corresponding to the field  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is the algebraic family over  $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3]$  defined by

$$\text{Proj} \left( \frac{(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3, X, Y, Z]}{(\text{homogeneous ideal generated by } Y^2Z - 4X^3 + g_2XZ^2 + g_3Z^3)} \right),$$

where  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3, X, Y, Z]$  is the graded  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3]$ -algebra such that each  $X, Y, Z$  has degree +1 and all of the elements in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3]$  have degree zero. We denote the Weierstrass family by  $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $U$  be the open subset of  $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$  consisting of finite points, i.e.,

$$U = \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3])),$$

the closed subscheme of  $\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3])$  whose equation is given by

$$Y^2 = 4X^3 - g_2X - g_3.$$

Note that the set of points at  $\infty$ ,  $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} - U$ , is a closed subscheme of

$\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$  which is isomorphic to  $\text{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3])$ . Therefore, each fibre of  $\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$  has exactly one point at  $\infty$ , which is a rational point in the fibre. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]$  and let  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3]$ . Then, from the long exact sequence corresponding to the triple  $((\text{points at } \infty), \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ ,  $\cdots \rightarrow H_{i-2}^c(\text{"points at } \infty", \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i^c(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_i^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \cdots$  and the first group being zero for  $i \neq 2$ , we have  $H_i^c(\mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  for  $i = 1$ . By the definition in [5], we have

$$H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) = H^3(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3])), \mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \times [g_2, g_3])) - U, \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3])))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}). \quad (1)$$

Note also that a unique singular point of each fibre over  $\mu$  on the closed subscheme " $\mathcal{A} = (g_2^3 - 27g_3^2 = 0)$ " lies in the affine open  $U$ .

If one knows

- (i) the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of  $U$  and
- (ii) the zeta endomorphism of the homology group,

then one can determine the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of all the fibres in the family. This is because the zeta function of a fibre is given by

$$Z_\mu(T) = \frac{\prod_{p+q=\text{odd}} P_{p,q}(T)}{\prod_{p+q=\text{even}} P_{p,q}(T)},$$

where  $P_{p,q}$  is the reverse characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism of the  $E_{p,q}^2$ -term of the universal coefficient spectral sequence  $\text{Tor}_p^{\mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}}(H_q^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}), W(\mathbb{k}(\mu)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ , where  $W(\mathbb{k}(\mu))$  is the complete discrete valuation ring, e.g., for a perfect field  $\mathbb{k}(\mu)$ . Furthermore, the above universal coefficient spectral sequence abuts upon the finitely generated lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of the fibre, which gives the zeta function of that fibre (see [5, 6] Chaps. 5 and 6). See [4] also.

The topics of this paper are (i) and (ii) above for the Weierstrass family. The preimage of  $\text{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3, \mathcal{A}^{-1}])$  of  $U$  is the open subfamily considered in [1].

## 2. MODULE STRUCTURE

Let  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3] = \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ . One can use the covering  $\{\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)), \mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)) - (Y^2 - 4X^3 + g_2X + g_3 = 0)\}$  to compute the cohomology group (1) in the Introduction. Then the long sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow \partial^{n-1} H^n(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)), \mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)) - U, \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{A})))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$

$\rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)), \Gamma_2^*(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^n(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)) - U, \Gamma_2^*(\mathbb{A}^2(\text{Spec}(A)))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \dots$  is induced. The second and third groups are cohomologies of the global sections.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The  $\mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ -module  $H_1^c(U, \mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  has the recursive cohomologous relations among the generators*

$$\begin{aligned} 2(i-1) \Delta C^{-i} dX \wedge dY &\sim (6i-13) 6g_2 XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY \\ &\quad - (6i-11) 9g_3 C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY \\ 4(i-1) \Delta XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY &\sim (6i-11) g_2^2 C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY \\ &\quad - (6i-13) 18g_3 XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{CR})$$

$i \geq 2$ , where  $C = Y^2 - 4X^3 + g_2X + g_3$  and  $\Delta$  is the discriminant  $g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$ . In particular,  $H_1^c(U, \mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  is generated by  $\{C^{-i} dX \wedge dY, XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY\}_{i \geq 1}$  over  $\mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.* The cohomology group (1) in the Introduction is the abutment of the spectral sequence  $H^q(\mathbb{A}^2(A), \mathbb{A}^2(A) - U, \Gamma_2^*(\mathbb{A}^2(A)))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . Then we have the isomorphisms by Lemma 1 in [2]:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^c(U, \mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) &\cong H^2(\mathbb{A}^2(A) - U, \Gamma_2^*(\mathbb{A}^2(A)))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ &\cong \text{Coker}(\Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{A}[X, Y, C^{-1}])^\dagger) \\ &\xleftarrow{d_1^{1,0}} \Gamma_2^1(\mathbb{A}[X, Y, C^{-1}])^\dagger. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The cohomologous relations, induced by the map  $d_1^{1,0}$  in (2), among the elements of  $\Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{A}[X, Y, C^{-1}])$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} 2iX^k Y^{j+1} C^{-i-1} dX \wedge dY &\sim jX^k Y^{j-1} C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \\ 12iX^{k+2} Y^j C^{-i-1} dX \wedge dY &+ kX^{k-1} Y^j C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \\ &\sim g_2 iX^k Y^j C^{-i-1} dX \wedge dY. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(6i-11)}{6(i-1)} C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim \frac{2g_2}{3} XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY + g_3 C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \\ \frac{6i-13}{6(i-1)} XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim \frac{g_2^2}{18} C^{-i} dX \wedge dY + g_3 XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The equations (4) plainly imply Eq. (CR) in Theorem 2.1. The generation of  $H_1^c(U, \mathbb{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  by the elements  $\{C^{-i} dX \wedge dY, XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY\}_{i \geq 1}$



can be shown in the same way as in the case of characteristic zero (see [2]). The universal coefficient spectral sequence implies, if  $U_\Delta$  is the open subfamily of non-singular fibres,

$$H_1^c(U, \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}} ((\Delta^{-1} \underline{A})^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_1^c(U_\Delta, (\Delta^{-1} \underline{A})^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}), \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta^{-1} \underline{A}$  denotes the localization of  $\underline{A}$  at the discriminant  $\Delta$ . (The latter was computed in [1] to be free of rank two over  $(\Delta^{-1} \underline{A})^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .) Applying the long exact sequence for  $k = 2, 1, 0$  in the following Note 1, we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} &\xrightarrow{"d"} \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \Delta \cdot \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ &\rightarrow H_1^c(U, \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{"d"} H_1^c(U, \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\rightarrow H_1^c(U', \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \Delta \cdot \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\rightarrow \underline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{"d"} \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \\ &\rightarrow \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \Delta \cdot \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we extract the short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H_1^c(U, \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) &\xrightarrow{"d"} H_1^c(U, \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ &\rightarrow H_1^c(U', \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \Delta \cdot \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is,  $H_1^c(U, \underline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  has no non-zero  $\Delta$ -torsion; i.e.,  $H_1^c(U, \underline{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  is torsion free. Therefore the equations (CR) tell us that there is no cohomologous relation among the set of generators in spite of the "+" completion of the base ring  $A$ ; hence, the inclusion (6) in Section 3 follows. Otherwise, the homology groups on the left in (5) would become free of rank one over  $(\Delta^{-1} \underline{A})^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Remark 1.* The isomorphism in (5) can be given by  $C^{-1} dX \wedge dY \rightarrow Y dX$  and  $XC^{-1} dX \wedge dY \rightarrow XY dX$  as  $(\Delta^{-1} \underline{A})^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ -modules (see [1]).

**COROLLARY 2.2.** Let  $U'$  be the closed Weierstrass subfamily over  $A/(\Delta \cdot A)$ , where  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3]$  and  $\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$ ; i.e.,  $U'$  is the closed subscheme over  $A/\Delta \cdot A$  consisting of all the singular fibres of  $U$ . Then, the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of this Weierstrass subscheme is generated by  $\{C^{-i} dX \wedge dY, XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY\}_{i \geq 1}$  over  $\underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} / \Delta \cdot \underline{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

Note 1. Since generally we have, for a non-zero-divisor  $\Delta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tor}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}), \mathcal{A}/\Delta \mathcal{A}) \\ &= \begin{cases} H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})/\Delta \cdot H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}), & i=0, \\ \text{Ker}(\text{mult. by } \Delta), & i=1, \\ 0, & i \geq 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

respectively, we have the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_k^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H_k^c(U, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \\ \rightarrow H_k^c(U', \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\Delta \cdot \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

from the corresponding universal coefficient spectral sequence (see [6, Chap. 5]).

*Proof.* Since  $H_1^c(U', \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\Delta \cdot \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  is obtained by taking the cohomology of the cochain complex, which is obtained by tensoring the cochain complex

$$C^*(\mathbb{A}^2(A), \mathbb{A}^2(A) - U, \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbb{A}^2(\mathcal{A}))^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$$

with  $\mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\Delta \cdot \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  over  $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  (see [5]), the assertion of Corollary 2.2 is obtained from (CR) in Theorem 2.1 by substituting  $\Delta = 0$ ; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (6i-13) 2g_2 XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim (6i-11) 3g_3 C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY \\ (6i-11) g_2^2 C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim (6i-13) 18g_3 XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{CR}')$$

*Remark 2.* Let  $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}/\Delta \cdot \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . One can observe that  $H_1^c(U', \bar{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{g_2}$  and  $H_1^c(U', \bar{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\bar{\mathcal{A}}} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{g_3}$ , where  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{g_2}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{g_3}$  are localizations at  $g_2$  and  $g_3$ , respectively, are generated by  $\{C^{-i} dX \wedge dY\}_{i \geq 1}$  or, since  $g_2 \neq 0$  implies  $g_3 \neq 0$ ,  $\{XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY\}_{i \geq 1}$  over  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{g_i}$ ,  $i=2, 3$ . Note also that if  $g_2 = 0$  (then  $g_3 = 0$ ), (4) computes the homology of the singular fibre over  $\mu = (g_2 = g_3 = 0)$ ; i.e., the corresponding homology group is trivial.

Note 2. We have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} H_1^c(U, \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_1^c(U', \bar{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow 0$$

from the universal coefficient spectral sequence (see the proof of Theorem 2.1 and [6, Chap. 3]) induced by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{mult. by } d} A^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \bar{A} \rightarrow 0;$$

see Note 1.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $U^0$  and  $U^3$  be the corresponding closed subfamilies of the Weierstrass obtained by pulling back the Weierstrass family to the closed subsets  $(g_2=0)$  and  $(g_2=3)$  of the base scheme, respectively. Then, the module structures of  $H_1^c(U^0, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_3]^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_1^c(U^3, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_3]^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q})$  over  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_3]^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  are given from (CR) or equations (4) by substituting  $g_2=0$  and  $g_2=3$ , respectively. That is, the equations (4) become  $(4^3)$  and  $(4^0)$  for  $g_2=3$  and  $g_2=0$ , respectively,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6i-11}{6(i-1)} C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim 2XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY + g_3 C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \\ \frac{2(6i-13)}{6(i-1)} XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim 2g_3 XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY + C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \end{aligned} \quad (4^3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6i-11}{6(i-1)} C^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim g_3 C^{-i} dX \wedge dY \\ \frac{6i-13}{6(i-1)} XC^{-(i-1)} dX \wedge dY &\sim g_3 XC^{-i} dX \wedge dY. \end{aligned} \quad (4^0)$$

If  $g_3 = +1$ , i.e., the singular fibre over  $\mu = (g_2=3, g_3=1)$ , then the corresponding homology of this projective line with an ordinary double point is free of rank one; one can choose, for example,  $C^{-1} dX \wedge dY$  as a basis element. For  $g_3 = -1$  use (CR) to have the corresponding statement. If  $g_3 \neq 0$  in  $(4^0)$ , then the open subfamily of  $U^0$  defined by " $g_3 \neq 0$ " has the homology generated by two elements  $C^{-1} dX \wedge dY$  and  $XC^{-1} dX \wedge dY$ .

*Proof.* The above statements can be observed plainly from (CR) and (4).

### 3. ZETA ENDOMORPHISM

Define a ring endomorphism  $F^+ : \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^+ \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^+$  over  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$  as  $F(g_2) = g_2^p$  and  $F(g_3) = g_3^p$  and let  $f$  be the  $p$ th power map of the Weierstrass scheme in characteristic  $p$ . Then the first zeta endomorphism  $H_1^c(F, f)$  is induced on the lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of the Weierstrass family  $M = H_1^c(U, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ . The homology



group  $H_1^c(U, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$  becomes a vector space of dimension two after being tensored with the quotient field of the ring  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]$ . Since  $M$  is torsion free (see, the proof of Theorem 2.1, Notes 1 and 2 in Section 2) we have the inclusion

$$M \hookrightarrow M \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p(g_2, g_3). \quad (6)$$

Let  $\mathbb{K}^\dagger$  be the quotient field of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^\dagger$ . Then  $M \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p(g_2, g_3) \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Q}}_p(g_2, g_3)} \mathbb{K}^\dagger$  is  $H_1^c(U_g, \mathbb{K}^\dagger)$ , where  $U_g$  is the generic fibre of  $U$ , i.e., the  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports of an elliptic curve. Therefore, the zeta matrix, like the one computed in [1], induces a semi-linear endomorphism of the free module

$$M \otimes_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^\dagger} (\Delta^{-1} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^\dagger) \quad (7)$$

of rank two over  $(\Delta^{-1} \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_p[g_2, g_3]^\dagger)$ . The zeta endomorphism of  $M$  is obtained by restricting the zeta matrix of (7) on  $M$  by the inclusion (6). We now compute it explicitly as follows:

$$H_1^c(F, f)(C^{-1} dX \wedge dY), \quad (B_1)$$

$$H_1^c(F, f)(XC^{-1} dX \wedge dY). \quad (B_2)$$

(B<sub>1</sub>) equals

$$\frac{1}{Y^{2p} - 4X^{3p} + g_2^p X^p + g_3^p} dX^p \wedge dY^p = \frac{p^2 X^{p-1} Y^{p-1}}{C^p - pT} dX \wedge dY,$$

where  $C = Y^2 - 4X^3 + g_2 X + g_3$  and  $T$  is a polynomial in  $g_2, g_3, X$ , and  $Y$ . Similarly (B<sub>2</sub>) equals

$$\frac{p^2 XC^{2p-1} Y^{p-1}}{C^p - pT} dX \wedge dY.$$

Rewrite  $C^p - pT$  as  $C^p(1 - pT/C^p)$ . Then (B<sub>1</sub>) and (B<sub>2</sub>) become

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} p^{l+2} T^l X^{p-1} Y^{p-1} C^{-p(l+1)} dX \wedge dY \quad (B'_1)$$

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} p^{l+2} T^l X^{2p-1} Y^{p-1} C^{-p(l+1)} dX \wedge dY. \quad (B'_2)$$

Let  $2j = p' - 1$ , where  $p'$  is uniquely determined by  $p$  and the even power

of  $Y$  in  $T$ . Then the first equation of (3) implies that the terms  $X^i Y^{2j} C^{-p(l+1)} dX \wedge dY$  in  $(B'_1)$  and  $(B'_2)$  can be written as

$$\frac{(2j-1) \cdots (2j-2\beta+1) \cdots 3 \cdot 1}{k(k-1) \cdots (k-j+1)} X^i C^{-p(l+1)+j} dX \wedge dY.$$

Now the second equation in (3) implies that the term  $X^i C^{-p(l+1)+j} dX \wedge dY$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\{XC^{-k} dX \wedge dY, C^{-k} dX \wedge dY\}_{k \geq 1}$  by Theorem 2.1 over  $\mathbb{Z}_p[g_2, g_3]^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  (see [2]). Consequently, over  $\Delta^{-1} \mathbb{Z}_p[g_2, g_3]^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(B_1)$  and  $(B_2)$  can be written as linear combinations of  $C^{-1} dX \wedge dY$  and  $XC^{-1} dX \wedge dY$  by (CR) in Theorem 2.1 (see also Remark 1). Therefore, the zeta matrix of the  $(\Delta^{-1} \mathbb{Z}_p[g_2, g_3]^+)$ -module (7) is obtained. As a consequence, we have the first zeta endomorphism of  $M = H_1^c(U, \Delta^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$ .

*Remark 3.* The zeta matrix via bounded Witt cohomology for the Weierstrass open subfamily, i.e., over  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[g_2, g_3, \Delta^{-1}]$ , will be published in [3] when it has been made elegant enough. See [7] also.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. KATO AND S. LUBKIN, Zeta matrices of elliptic curves, *J. Number Theory* **15** (1982), 318-330.
2. G. KATO, On the generators of the first homology with compact supports of the Weierstrass family in characteristic zero, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **278** (1983), 361-368.
3. G. KATO, Bounded Witt cohomology of the Weierstrass family, in preparation.
4. S. LUBKIN, A  $p$ -adic proof of Weil's conjectures, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **87** (1968), 105-255.
5. S. LUBKIN, Finite generation of lifted  $p$ -adic homology with compact supports. Generalization of the Weil conjecture to singular, non-complete algebraic varieties, *J. Number Theory* **11** (1979), 412-464.
6. S. LUBKIN, "Cohomology of Completions," *Notas de Matemática*, Vol. 42, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
7. S. LUBKIN, Generalization of  $p$ -adic cohomology; Bounded Witt vectors. A canonical lifting of a variety in characteristic  $p \neq 0$  back to characteristic zero, *Compositio Math.* **34** (1977), 225-277.