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Glossary

Added compensation

For faculty, added compensation or additional employment is sometimes referred to as “overload”. Therefore, added compensation refers to CSU additional employment of up to twenty-five percent of a full-time position in excess of a full-time workload, or when appropriate, in excess of a full-time time-base. Additional employment and overload limitations and calculations are based on workload or time-base, not salary (CSU Policy HR 2002-05). For employees covered by collective bargaining agreements, the additional employment provisions of the applicable collective bargaining agreement supersede CSU Policy HR 2002-05 and govern the administration of additional employment.

ARI

The California State University Agricultural Research Institute

Campus Coordinator

Campus coordinators are the individuals responsible for ARI campus administration, local program oversight and collaboration with the ARI executive director on each of the four member campuses.

Campus Funding

Campus funding is ARI funding dispersed directly to member campuses in support of intra-campus competitive proposals submitted under these Guidelines.

Cash

Legal tender that can be used in exchange for goods, debt or services. This includes bank accounts, marketable securities, government bonds, banker’s securities, and sponsored projects at the submitting member’s campuses or its financial auxiliary.

Collaboration

Collaboration for ARI System proposals shall consist of at least one listed collaborator or cooperator from an academic, governmental or non-profit institution outside of that of the Project Director AND either a subcontract of the current proposal to that institution or for the proposal to be receiving financial support via matching funds.

Collaborator

Collaborators are scientifically and/or practically qualified individuals with key expertise and responsibility for completion of a significant portion of a project’s goals and objectives.

Cooperator

Cooperators are scientifically and/or practically qualified individuals with specific expertise in project topics that provide advice, guidance and consultation to the project director and co-principal investigators.

Co-Investigator

Co-investigators are scientifically qualified individuals with specific project related expertise who share responsibility with project directors for all aspects of a project.
Executive Director

The executive director is the individual responsible for the ARI’s overall administration, day-to-day operational management and oversight, promotion and program and financial accountability.

Faculty Release

Faculty release is a funded reduction in the academic teaching workload of a specific faculty member.

In-kind

In-kind refers to any support which is NOT cash and includes goods, services and equipment donated by third parties regardless of the taxable status of the donation as a gift.

Key Personnel

Key personnel are project personnel with significant identified project related responsibilities.

Match

Match or matching funds are donated or pledged cash and/or in-kind goods, services or equipment of verifiable financial value other than that originating from the CSU State Budget General Fund allocation.

Member Campus

Member campuses are those CSU campuses with colleges of agriculture; California State University, Fresno (Fresno State), California Polytechnic University, San Luis Obispo (Cal Poly, SLO), California State Polytechnic University, Pomona (Cal Poly, Pomona), and California State University, Chico (Chico State).

Pending Match

Pending match is any ARI project related cash or in-kind match funding request that has not yet received final funding notification.

Project Director

The project director is the individual ultimately responsible for all pre and post award proposal and project management including, but not limited to, proposal preparation and submission, securing and verifying appropriate external match, budget management, coordination of research and personnel activities, timely submission of research and financial reports, information dissemination, and relevant technology transfer.

Sponsored Project

Cash with some term or condition attached or other deliverable.

System Funding

System funding is ARI research funding annually awarded solely on a competitive basis to address priority statewide applied agricultural and natural resources issues. It is available to any qualified ARI member campus faculty or research scientist.
PROPOSAL FORMAT

1. General Information

A. Important Notice

All ARI full proposals and System Pre-Proposals must be submitted through the ARI web-based Proposal Submission and Routing System (PSRS). Campus Notices of Intent will continue to be submitted via email. While the submission methodology has significantly changed, all other related ARI policies, procedures, and proposal requirements are unchanged. PSRS is accessible on the ARI web site at http://ari.calstate.edu.

The application guidelines included herein and on the ARI web site have been prepared to assist in the preparation, submission, and management of ARI proposals and projects for FY 2011-12. Additional assistance is available by first consulting with the appropriate campus coordinator/designee and/or thereafter by contacting the ARI technical and/or system administrative offices at (559) 278-4872 or (559) 278-2361 respectively.

This document is NOT an application form. No full proposal hardcopy submissions are requested or will be accepted. FY2011-12 ARI system and campus full proposals will only be accepted through the online Proposal Submission and Routing System (PSRS) located on the ARI web site at http://ari.calstate.edu. This is a change from previous years’ submission protocols. Therefore, applicants are advised and strongly encouraged to thoroughly review and adhere to all web-based submission requirements and formats.

To initiate a proposal, sign in to the ARI Online Project Management (OPM) system by clicking the “Sign in” button on the ARI web site (see screenshot at right).

You will be taken to the ARI Online Project Management login page. Enter your e-mail address and password where specified. If you are unsure if you have an account or have forgotten your password, click the “Lost Password” button and enter your e-mail address; your password will be sent to you. If your e-mail address is not associated with an ARI OPM account, a message will alert you to the issue and a “Request Account” button will become available. Clicking the “Request Account” button will take you to an account request form. After completing and submitting the account request form, your request will be reviewed by the CAFES Grants Analyst. If approved, a password will be sent to you via e-mail.

Once logged in, you will be presented with a menu titled “What would you like to do?” Click the “Go to online proposal submission” link from this menu. You will now be on the Online Proposal Submission welcome page. Clicking the “Start a new proposal” link will take you to the first step of the proposal creation process. From this point, simply follow the prompts and instructions provided at each step to complete and submit your proposal.
The Proposal Submission and Routing System has been designed to allow you to develop your proposal in a single session or in multiple sessions. Upon completion of each section, you have the option to Save & Continue or Save & Exit. If you elect to Save & Exit, all of your work to that point will be saved and you may complete the proposal later at your discretion simply by accessing it through the Online Project Management section (blue box) of the ARI home page.

B. Proposal Expectations
ARI Funds are available either campus-wide or statewide on a competitive basis. Therefore, the Board of Governors has determined that all proposals must meet a minimum standard to be peer reviewed for funding consideration. Addressing ALL of the required sections, from the “Abstract” to the “Staffing Section,” including a sound economic analysis of the proposed research, timeline, and full budget justification, is required for a proposal to be considered complete and ready for peer review. Proposals that do not contain all of the required sections WILL NOT be eligible for further consideration. Researchers are advised to review Attachments 5A&B, the “Proposal Evaluation and Rating Sheets” as well as Attachment 4, the “Instructions for Review Committee Proposal Evaluation” for additional information on the evaluation process. Reminder: to be considered complete and considered for peer review, proposals MUST be submitted through the ARI web-based Proposal Submission and Routing System.

C. Proposal Priorities
The ARI provides public funds that are annually matched at least one-to-one with industry and/or agency resources to fund high impact applied agricultural and natural resources research, development, and technology transfer, as well as related public and industry education and outreach. Its projects and programs improve the economic efficiency, productivity, profitability, and sustainability of California agriculture and its allied industries. ARI programs lead to consumer sensitive and environmentally sound food and agriculture systems and foster public confidence in food safety and agricultural research and production systems. Through a system of university-industry partnerships, the ARI focuses on finding immediate and practical solutions for high-priority challenges in the following research areas:

- Agricultural business
- Biodiversity
- Biotechnology
- Food safety, nutrition, processing, & new product development
- Natural resources
- Production & cultural practices
- Public policy
- Water & irrigation technology

Additional information can be obtained from the ARI web site at http://ari.calpoly.edu.

In response to prevailing state, national, and global challenges driven by environmental and regulatory concerns, new technology, and international competitiveness issues that
significantly impact California agriculture, the ARI Board of Governors and the CSU Chancellor’s Agricultural Industry Advisory Committee have recommended that the ARI give the following specific areas additional priority consideration:

- Climate change, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions and carbon sequestering
- Food safety and security practices and technologies
- Water quality, infrastructure, and conveyance technologies
- Energy efficiencies and alternative energy/fuel technologies and production
- Environmental infrastructure improvement and restoration
- Invasive species prevention and eradication
- Public health and safety priorities

2. Types of Funding

A. Seed Funding (Online Funding Type: Seed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Project Director must be a first year tenure-track faculty member in a non-endowed position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of Award</td>
<td>1 year <em>(although all options are displayed, you may only enter 1 year)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum funding</td>
<td>$5,000 <em>(Note: the wording online is different than our Campus policy. Please also see the special proposal requirements in Section 10.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Awards Available</td>
<td>Maximum of 4 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching funding required</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>special – see Timelines in Section 9.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. New Investigator Funding (Online Funding Type: Campus)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility</th>
<th>Project Director must be a first through fourth year tenure-track faculty member; Project Director is not eligible if he/she has received or concurrently receives a Campus Competitive Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of Award</td>
<td>maximum of 2 years <em>(although all options are displayed, you may only enter 1 or 2 years)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum funding</td>
<td>$20,000 per year <em>(Note: the wording online is different than our Campus policy.)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Awards Available</td>
<td>Maximum of 4 per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matching funding required</td>
<td>minimum of 75% with 20% being cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeline</td>
<td>regular – see Timelines in Section 9.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Campus Competitive Funding (Online Funding Type: Campus)
Eligibility | all tenure-track faculty and lecturers on AY appointments > 85%
Length of Award | maximum of 3 years
Maximum funding | there is no maximum, however due to limited resources, it is suggested that projects stay under $50,000 per year except for one-time capital equipment expenses
Number of Awards Available | the number of awards is dependent on available funding each year
Matching funding required | minimum of 110% total with 25% being cash
Timeline | regular – Timelines in Section 9.

D. System
Separate funding is also available through System-wide ARI awards. This process is accessible through its own Call for Proposals located at http://ari.calstate.edu/. This funding is for proposals with collaboration with either another CSU campus or a UC campus. The funding, match, deadlines and other factors differ significantly than those presented here for SLO Campus funding. Please read that CfP if you wish to learn more. For other information, please contact the CAFES Grants Analyst.

3. Matching Funds

Matching funds must be project related. This may take the form of direct cost share, serial or parallel studies, or some other justifiable support but must be fully explained in the ARI proposal. Additionally, if the work performed with matching funds is not a direct cost share, care must be taken to demonstrate the scope of work to be done under each form of support and relationships between these components. Both the narrative and the budget sections must reflect this support.

As an example, if support has already been received to perform objectives 1, 2 and 3, please explain that the ARI funding will be used to support additional new objectives 2a, 2b, 2c, 4 and 5.

Matching funds for the first year of an awarded proposal must be received between July 1, 2010 and December 15, 2011. Awards are not made based on the availability of matching funds; however, if matching funds do not arrive for an awarded proposal by December 15, 2011, that award will be cancelled and the awarded funds will be carried forward to the following funding year.

Matching funds for subsequent funded years of multi-year proposals must also be received no later than December 15 of that funding year and are a necessary condition for project augmentation by ARI funds.

Matching funds can be of three types and combinations are acceptable as stated previously: sponsored project, cash gift, and gift in-kind. (see Glossary for definitions) For a further
explanation of the Cal Poly College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences Policies and Guidelines for receipt of matching funds, please refer to Attachment A.

4. **Indirect Charges and other non-allowable costs**

Pursuant to ARI policy approved by the Board of Governors regarding indirect charges, the ARI does not allow the imposition of any indirect charges to funding projects, contracts, subcontracts, and/or the transfer of portions of a project budget between colleges, centers, campuses, university systems, or other public or private agencies.

The following two items are a clarification of policy in accordance with OMB Circular A-21 section C3.

Cellular phone: Personal cellular phone costs are not allowed for key personnel. Cellular phone charges may be allowed if that is the only reasonable means of communication with project personnel working off-site.

Internet access: Home internet access costs are not allowed. Internet access charges may be allowed if necessary for project performance by project personnel working off-site.

5. **Insurance Certification**

Project directors are responsible for ensuring that the following liability insurance certification statement is incorporated into all agreement(s) with contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) and/or any other recipients(s) of ARI project funds. Certification recognizes the differing requirements of each ARI member campus and by this reference makes each campus’s relevant policies, procedures, and directives a mandatory part of any ARI agreement(s) with contractor(s) and subcontractor(s) and/or any other recipient(s) of ARI project funds from each respective campus.

“Contractor(s) and/or subcontractor(s) affiliated with an ARI funded grant acknowledge and agree that the administration of such grant and/or any related sub-grant agreement(s) shall be subject in all respects to the policies, procedures and regulations of the ARI, California State University System, its individual colleges and universities, and their respective applicable Foundation(s) which are by this reference made part of any and all such contracts and subcontracts. Contractors and subcontractors, and their agents and employees, in the performance of an ARI grant and/or sub-grant, shall act in an independent capacity and not as officers or employees or agents of the ARI the CSU, individual CSU colleges and/or universities, or any affiliated university Foundation(s). Contractors and subcontractors assume all risks as an independent contractor, and agree to obtain all insurance necessary for the protection of the CSU, ARI, individual CSU colleges and/or universities and any affiliated Foundation(s), all of said entities’ employees, agents, representatives, boards, committees, directors, officers, administrators, and volunteers, as well as the Contractor and subcontractor in connection with work under an ARI grant and as required by law, including, but not limited to, general liability insurance, automobile liability coverage, and workers’ compensation insurance bearing policy limits in compliance with existing law and university policy.
Contractors and subcontractors will be required to provide certificates of insurance evidencing the existence of such coverage upon execution of the grant agreement or sub-agreement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, other types and/or amounts of insurance may be required, depending on the type of work to be performed by the contractor or subcontractor. Contractors and subcontractors shall indemnify, defend, and save harmless the State of California, Trustees of the California State University, individual California State University colleges and universities, affiliated Foundation(s), the ARI, and all of said entities’ employees, agents, representatives, boards, committees, directors, officers, administrators, and volunteers from and against any and all losses, damages, suits, claims (including actions by administrative agencies), penalties, settlement amounts, costs, liabilities and expenses (including, but not limited to, a reasonable investigation, legal and paralegal expenses), that may arise out of or relate in any way to the contractor’s and/or subcontractor’s performance of an ARI grant award agreement. This indemnification obligation shall survive any expiration or termination of the Agreement.”

6. Notice of Intent: A Notice of Intent (NOI) MUST be submitted for New Investigator and Campus Competitive funding. Seed Funding proposals should skip this step and proceed directly to the instructions for Full Proposals.

Notices of Intent should be submitted on the form available at: http://ari.calpoly.edu/rfp.htm
The narrative part (NOI form item#13) should be no longer than 3 pages. There is no limit to the number of NOI’s that may be submitted in a given funding year; they are used to indicate who may be participating that year.

Please submit one electronic copy by 5 pm PDT of the Notice of Intent by the due date listed in section 9 to the CAFES Grants Analyst.

7. Full Proposal Guidelines
System and campus full proposals must be accepted through the ARI web-based Proposal Submission and Routing System (PSRS) located on the ARI web site at http://ari.calstate.edu. To initiate a proposal, sign in to the ARI Online Project Management (OPM) system by clicking the “Sign in” button on the ARI web site (see screenshot at right). The proposal submission system will walk you through each step of creating and submitting a complete proposal. Specific instructions regarding completion of each section are provided in the section description. Cal Poly-specific changes are ONLY available in THIS Call for Proposals!

Data entry in most information fields is mandatory. Failure to include the required information or the entry of inconsistent information will generate a program prompt
requesting an appropriate correction. Proposal development will not be allowed to advance further until the program prompt has been successfully addressed. Once a proposal is complete and ready for submission, a printable version of it will be generated for you to review. Please review the proposal information, making any necessary modifications, corrections, additions and/or deletions prior to completing the submission process. It is highly recommended that you print and retain a copy of your completed proposal for your records. **Once a proposal has been submitted and accepted into the system, it cannot be modified.** Proposals will be date/time recorded in the system to verify when they are originally submitted. The process of electronic routing will automatically begin upon acceptance into the system. However, it remains the project director’s responsibility to ensure that all appropriate signatories have been provided adequate review time and that electronic signatures are secured prior to the proposal submission deadline. Signatories who have not been provided adequate review time may reject a proposal. After successful completion of the proposal’s routing and approval process, it will again be date/time recorded to verify compliance with the submission deadline.

All full proposals require completion of the information fields listed below. Definition and/or explanations of the information being requested are provided both on each web page subsection and **Cal Poly-specific information is provided in THIS document.**

A. Project Director

B. Project Information
   - Member Campus
   - Title
   - Funding Type
   - Duration
   - Primary Focus Area
   - Secondary Focus Area
   - Primary Research Category
   - Secondary Research Category
   - Abstract/Impact Statement: Provide a brief summary (350 words or fewer, written for a generalist to understand) that describes the research and its benefit to society and/or the industry, that can also be used for promotional purposes. The abstract/impact/summary statement is not part of the narrative. (This pastes as plain text so it works best with no symbols, italics, or fancy formatting.)

C. Project Personnel

D. Funding Request

E. Funding Requirements

F. External Match

G. Anticipated Outcomes

H. Faculty/Research Staff Release and Additional Employment Pay

I. Attachments (**Attached as Word or pdf documents. The system will convert them automatically to pdf in the proposal submission process. If you care how they look, make your own pdf’s first, then upload them!**)  
   - Narrative (see **Narrative Requirements** on Page 13)  
   - Budget (you will obtain from the Grants analyst)
• Timeline – use the Timeline from the ari.calstate.edu website; timelines for 1-, 2- and 3-year projects are available. Start date for ALL Campus Projects is July 1 of the first funding year. It is also highly suggested that you have a more graphical timeline and add that as a separate attachment at the end of the proposal.

• Curriculum Vitae/Resume - (brief versions – no longer than 6 pages each) and ARI Presentations & Publications – for non-first-time funding requestors should be HIGHLIGHTED.

• Miscellaneous – examples: awards letters, letters of support, large charts & graphs

J. External Match
K. Effort Reporting Statement
L. Signatories
  • Required Signatories
    ✓ Project Director
    ✓ Department chair/head
    ✓ CAFES Campus Coordinator – Mark Shelton
    ✓ CAFES Dean – Dave Wehner
  
  • Additional Signatories (if Applicable)
    ✓ Collaborators
    ✓ Department chairs/heads of Collaborators, if academic personnel
    ✓ Dean of collaborator’s College if other than CAFES
    ✓ Center director
    ✓ Farm Director – Kevin Piper

You do NOT need Sponsored Programs or Grants Development signatures for these proposals. Grants Development must be included only for a System-wide proposal.

8. Narrative Requirements
Proposal narratives are limited to ten(10) single-spaced pages (excluding the abstract, timeline, budget form(s), and other attachments) in the following format:

File type: Microsoft Word
Font: Times New Roman
Font Size: 12 point
Margins: One inch – top and bottom, left and right
Headings: Double-spaced and boldface
Text: Single-spaced
Footer: essential on each page (document name and page number)

Proposal narratives should also include the following information:

A. Brief Statement of the Problem/Issue (worth 20 points)
Briefly describe the problem or issue being addressed and explain why it is a high-priority for California agriculture; the environment, and/or consumer health and safety; what is the anticipated economic impact of addressing the issue as the proposal suggests;
and what are the short-term, intermediate and/or long-term benefits of conducting this research. Describe how this project is unique or supports the research of others.

B. Statement of Methodology (worth 20 points)
Provide a statement of the purpose of the research, a list of the research objectives, and a description of the research activities. Include the experimental design and the method of data collection and data analysis. A timeline of major activities (see Attachment 3) should outline the start and the end date of each activity. Dissemination should be included as an activity.

C. Dissemination Plan (worth 10 points)
Each plan must contain a detailed account of the actions that will be taken to disseminate project results to the California agricultural industry. A copy of all dissemination manuscripts must be submitted to the executive director’s office within thirty days of its first presentation for ARI publication and promotion. In any news release or public conference initiated by the issuance of any news release, during the conduct of any public conference, and/or within the release of any publication, newsletter and/or project summary the following statement shall be included: “Partial funding for this project has been made available by the California State University Agricultural Research Institute (ARI)”.

It is expected that major effort will be made to provide relevant information to California farmers, ranchers, agribusiness concerns and other relevant stakeholder groups. While professional journal publications, attendance and presentations at professional meetings, and other service to one’s discipline are strongly encouraged, involvement in these activities alone does not constitute a complete ARI dissemination plan, because California farmers’, ranchers’, and agribusiness concerns typically do not receive such publications or participate in such activities. Examples of dissemination activities acceptable for ARI projects are the following:

Events
- Conferences, seminars, workshops, or field days
- Continuing education professional programs

Publications
- California State University Agricultural Research Institute (CSU/ARI) annual report
- California State University Agricultural Research Institute (CSU/ARI) web site
- California Agricultural Technology Institute (CATI) Update articles
- Newsletter articles
- Technical reports, research bulletins, circulars, or fact sheets
- Interim reports of research in progress
- Articles in popular trade journals and other publications
- Articles in refereed journals
- Books
- Monographs
D. Economic Impact Statement (worth 20 points)

Describe the expected return of the proposed research to California agriculture and its related industries. This return from your research may come from an expected decrease in costs, an expected increase in benefits, or both. You can cite academic or other scholarly sources that have already estimated the potential returns of your research. Industry trade publications can be an acceptable source as long as the information is not anecdotal. If this information does not exist, you should attempt to develop an expected value of your research by making an estimation of the reduced costs, increased benefits, or both for the stakeholders your research will affect. This brief economic analysis should include financial information on the industry under investigation as well as an estimate of costs and/or benefits to the proposed research. Direct cost savings are usually more easily estimated, while social or physical benefits are traditionally more difficult to assign financial value. Please note that just because you are dealing with a large industry or group of stakeholders, this is not enough justification of the value of your research. You also need to estimate the magnitude of the problem within the context of the industry/stakeholders. If you are having difficulty with justifying/estimating the expected returns of your research, you could consider collaboration with economists both before and during your project to enhance its value the same way you would use a statistician.

E. Staffing (worth 15 points)

For all Key Personnel, the following should be included:
1. A statement of roles and responsibilities
2. A statement of each person’s time commitment

When the first RFP for this Institute came out, our Dean and the ARI Board of Governors indicated their preference for proposals with strong components of student time, both graduate and undergraduate. Also, faculty time commitments during the academic year should come from release time, if possible, because additional workload for faculty could have adverse impacts on the primary mission of the College – teaching students. These preferences have remained in effect and are now supported through a system of bonus points in the evaluation process. (see Attachment 5A)

F. Budget and Justification (worth 15 points)

Provide a complete budget narrative justification for each budget line item such as, but not limited to, salaries, benefits, supplies, equipment, subcontracts, and travel. (The budget pages themselves are submitted as attachments and do not count as part of the 10 page limit.)

All budgets MUST be prepared through the office of the Grants Analyst. This will facilitate correct information for both budget forms for the PSRS and for the Cal Poly
Corporation. Budgets need to be provided for matching funds separately as well as the requested ARI funding. Budgets will be evaluated based on the relationship between resources requested and work proposed (i.e., level of funding requested relative to work performed, appropriateness for proposed work, and efficient use of funds).

Unless otherwise requested and approved in writing, all capital equipment purchased with ARI or cash gift funding shall remain the property of the coordinating ARI-member College of Agriculture. Project directors are responsible for maintaining and annually providing campus coordinator and the executive director and/or their respective designee(s) with a complete and accurate record of all capitalized equipment that was purchased with ARI or matching cash gift funds. Such equipment shall revert to the respective College of Agriculture’s ARI research equipment pool upon completion of the originating project for reallocation to other projects as needed, at the discretion of the dean or his/her designee(s).

9. Submission and Processing Timelines (apply to all 3 funding types unless otherwise noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 11, 2010</td>
<td>Call for Proposals released</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 5, 2010</td>
<td>Notices of Intent Due (only New Investigator and Campus Competitive Funding) First Friday of November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 18, 2011</td>
<td>Proposals due to campus coordinator 3rd Friday of February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 4, 2011</td>
<td>Proposal submission to reviewers and Fresno 1st Friday of March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late April, 2011</td>
<td>Technical Review Committee meets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mid May, 2011</td>
<td>Award Notification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>late July 2011</td>
<td>Funding availability and/or 10 working days after the Governor signs the State Budget (including any applicable State budget trailer bills) – All funding is contingent upon final approval of the State Budget.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer through fall 2011</td>
<td>Campus coordinators are responsible for conducting project orientation meetings for project directors within five weeks of award setup as needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
November 4, 2011  Interim reports due  
1st Friday of November

December 15, 2011  Deadline for receipt of first year matching funds for new awards (only New Investigator and Campus Competitive Funding)

April 14, 2012  Annual Reports due for projects continuing beyond 12 months (only New Investigator and Campus Competitive Funding)

June 30, 2012  Project completion target date (excluding any no cost extensions)

August 31, 2012  Final reports due

**Note:** Project directors should submit all required reports directly to the Grants Analyst. All project reports are due at the executive director’s office within ten working days after the date due to campus coordinators/grants analyst. It is the campus coordinator’s responsibility to collect system project reports. It is the Dean’s responsibility, after consultation with the campus coordinator, to certify that project reports are timely and that they meet all appropriate requirements identified in the call for proposal.

### 10. Seed Funding Proposals

This limited funding is available to a maximum of 4 new faculty members of the College of Agriculture and is intended to help with whatever costs may be associated with each individual’s plan for incorporating research, and preferably future ARI projects, into their professional growth plan here at Cal Poly.

There is a 3-page limit for the narrative section of these proposals and should include a budget justification section (see previous 8F for details). The narrative section does NOT include the timeline, budget page, CV, PGP or other references and attachments.

Please see the sample Rating Sheet (Attachment 5B) on page 29 to see how these proposals are evaluated as compared to the other two types of Campus proposals. The point values may help focus your writing efforts.

To the extent possible, describe your intended 5-year research goals and your recent research experience. Explain how these goals fit the scope of the ARI priority areas described at [http://ari.calpoly.edu/classification.htm](http://ari.calpoly.edu/classification.htm)
Describe any thoughts you have regarding merging your teaching activities, students (both undergraduate and graduate) and your research interests. List any professional societies to which you currently belong as well as any to which you think you ought to join.

As an alternative to a Statement of Methodology, please outline your plan of work and timeline for the activities you would like to accomplish using ARI Seed Funding. Explain how these activities and expenses are critical to the current phase of your professional growth and development here at Cal Poly. Please provide your Professional Growth Plan as an attachment.

One of the concepts of seed funding is that it will provide the beginning to a successful research career. If this work lead to future external funding opportunities and collaborations, please explain them in as much detail as you can anticipate at this point.

Please use the same budget form as the other proposals. The same type of budget justification is also necessary. Most types of expenses can be justified, even added compensation, if it is for research or even grant-writing.

Are there professional meetings to which it would be in your best interest to go and make or reinforce connections with colleagues or industry that cannot be funded from other sources? Travel is another common budget category for these funds. Supplies and student assistants round out the top categories.

Proposals will be evaluated by the same Technical Review Committee as the other types of funding, but will be judged on their alignment with the ARI priority areas, clarity of vision for professional growth and development, applicability of proposal to that long term plan, and budget appropriateness (that the budget matches what is trying to be accomplished). (see Attachment 5B)

11. No-Cost Extension

The Campus Coordinator and/or designee or other authorized designees(s) may approve requests for no-cost extensions of ARI projects when requested via email by the Project Director and accompanied by an appropriate technical justification. (Late start is NOT a technical justification.) The total length of all such extension for one project may not exceed 9 months. The request must be received at least 30 days prior to the current project expiration date.

12. Full Proposal Review and Evaluation Criteria
   Please see Attachment 4.

13. Report Submission and Format
A. General Information
ARI Reports must be completed in the following formats available on the Campus ARI website at: http://ari.calpoly.edu/report.htm. The due date for these reports can be found either in Section 9 of this Call for Proposals or in the Sponsored Project Detail report available once a project has been awarded and established. Project Directors should submit all reports electronically to the CAFES Grants Analyst who is responsible for the collection, review, and submission of all campus reports to the executive director’s office. Reports are due at the executive director’s office within ten working days after the date due to at the campus level.

Failure to turn in ARI reports WILL result in the suspension of any further funding to the project in question.

In addition, serious delays or reporting failures may prohibit a faculty member from receiving future ARI funding, even as a co-PD or collaborator. (See the SLO Campus ARI Priority Criteria in Attachment 5A.)

B. Interim Report
Each awarded project requires one Interim Report. This report is due in November of the award year, regardless of the status of the project. If only preliminary work has been done, please indicate that. If match funding is still under negotiation, that also should be indicated.

C. Annual Report
Annual Reports are due for all projects that extend beyond one year. An Attachment A is also required documenting student involvement. These reports are due in April every year except the final year of the project. The period covered is always from the previous report to the current report.

D. Final Report
A Final Report is due for all projects. In addition, Attachments A(student involvement) and B(dissemination) are also required. These reports are due within 60 days of the project’s end date.

E. Additional Annual Report as a result of a no-cost extension
In the case of a project receiving a no-cost extension, an additional Annual Report and Attachment A will be due within 30 days.
Attachment 1

ARI Contact List

CSU ARI Executive Director
Joe A. Bezerra
(559) 278-2361 (559) 278-4849 Fax
joe_bezerra@csufresno.edu
California Agricultural Technology Institute
California State University, Fresno
2910 E. Barstow Avenue M/S OF115
Fresno, CA 93740-8009

Cal Poly, SLO, Associate Dean of Research, Campus Coordinator
Mark D. Shelton
(805) 756-2161 (805) 756-6577 Fax
mshelton@calpoly.edu
College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences
California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407

Cal Poly, SLO Grants Analyst
Sue Tonik
(805) 756-7241 (805) 756-6577 Fax
stonik@calpoly.edu
College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences
California Polytechnic State University
San Luis Obispo, CA 93407
1. **Project Title:**

2. **Submission Date:**

3. **Project Director:** Use this section to identify the project director. The project director is ultimately responsible for all project outcomes. Please provide complete information.

   A. Name
   B. Title
   C. Affiliation
   D. Mailing Address
   E. Phone Number(s)
   F. Fax Number
   G. E-mail
   H. Specific Expertise

4. **Co-PI/Collaborator(s)** Please provide complete information for all co-PI’s and collaborators. List in order of responsibility to the project. Duplicate these sections if necessary.

   A. Name
   B. Title
   C. Affiliation
   D. Mailing Address
   E. Phone Number(s)
   F. Fax Number
   G. E-mail
   H. Specific Expertise

5. **Cooperator(s):**

   A. Name
   B. Title
   C. Affiliation
6. **Release/Added Compensation:** Please list the above personnel with an estimation of release time and added compensation per year. (Insert more lines as needed.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Person</th>
<th>Release Time</th>
<th>Added Compensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

7. **Proposal Type:** Select Type of Proposal and identify the duration of this project in years.

- **A. System**
  - Year(s) ________ (maximum of 3)
- **B. Campus**
  1) **Seed Funding**
    - Year(s) ________ (only 1 year is allowed)
  2) **New Investigator**
    - Year(s) ________ (maximum of 2)
  3) **Campus Competitive**
    - Year(s) ________ (maximum of 3)

8. **Research Focus Area:** Identify the 2 best research categories (in ranked order) that best describe this proposal’s subject matter for scientific review. Please see the web site for additional descriptions: [http://ari.calpoly.edu/classification.htm](http://ari.calpoly.edu/classification.htm)

- **A. Agricultural business**
- **B. Biodiversity**
- **C. Biotechnology**
- **D. Food processing, safety, nutrition, and product development**
- **E. Natural resources**
- **F. Production management and cultural practices**
- **G. Public policy**
- **H. Water and irrigation technology**
9. **ARI Funding Request:** Estimate the total ARI funding requested. If the proposal is for fewer than three years place, “NA” in the appropriate spaces.

   A. FY 2011/12 Funding Request $ ______________
   
   B. FY 2012/13 Funding Request $ ______________
   
   C. FY 2013/14 Funding Request $ ______________
   
   **Total Funding Request** $ ______________

10. **Partial Funding Option:** Indicate in a short statement if your project must be completed as presented in this proposal, or if the research activities could be segmented and partially funded. Identify what impact partial funding would have on the project.

11. **External Match:** Identify all external matches, including pending match, by funding entity name, category and amount, value or request. *Cash, in-kind and pending matches must be documented by letter or memorandum at the time of proposal submission.*

   SYSTEMWIDE: All match must be verified on appropriate ARI match verification forms no later than 10 working days after the Governor signs the State Budget (including any applicable State budget trailer bills) in each respective fiscal year (FYs 2011-12, 2012-13, and 2013-14). ARI funding will be cancelled if appropriate match verification is not provided accordingly. CAMPUS: All match must be received before winter break each fiscal year to receive ARI funding. See Attachment A of the Campus RFP for a definition of “received”. In-kind match evaluations must be for “real” fair market value. List the match from each category separately. If match is secured from more than one entity in any category, list each entity separately. Duplicate A and B and use additional pages if necessary. Pending match must have been submitted to an external funding entity prior to submission of the ARI proposal.

   **A. Cash match:**

   **Funding entity:**

   Pending or in hand: ______________  Amount FY 11-12: ______________
   
   ______________  Amount FY 12-13  ______________
   
   ______________  Amount FY 13-14  ______________
   
   ______________  Total  ______________

   **Category:**

   Federal  ______________  State  ______________
   
   Local/Regional  ______________  Industry  ______________
   
   Non-Profit  ______________
B. In-kind match:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding entity:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pending or in hand:</th>
<th>Amount FY 11-12:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local/Regional</td>
<td>Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Profit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Abstract:

13. Brief Description of the Project
# ARI Project Timeline

**Project Year 1 - FY 2011-12**

Use this project timeline to list the various project objectives as well as the activities associated with them. The timeline should also identify an appropriate start and end date (month, day, and year) for each activity listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective/Activity Description</th>
<th>Person(s)</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>End</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Instructions for Review Committee Proposal Evaluation**

**Instructions:** Using the criteria listed below, please evaluate the attached proposal for ARI funding and record the scores on the attached Proposal Rating Sheet (PRS). Each set of criteria requires a separate numerical rating. Reviewer comments are highly encouraged. Please provide any additional comments and/or suggestions that you believe may enhance the proposal goals and/or outcomes. This is for Campus Competitive and New Investigator category proposals. Seed Funding proposals are evaluated on a similar but different set of criteria listed in the rating sheet in Attachment 5B.

A. **Approach to the Problem/Issue (20 points):**
   Determine whether the problem is addressed clearly and presented convincingly. The project director should demonstrate a clear understanding of the significance of the problem, which should be solvable. Determine whether other researchers are addressing this problem, and whether the project director possesses a thorough understanding of related work that has been reported by other researchers.

B. **Statement of Methodology (20 points):**
   Determine whether the proposed methodology is sound and whether there are any significant limitations associated with the design of the proposal. Determine whether the proposal indicates data will be collected and analyzed, whether the major objectives and milestones of the proposal have been identified, and whether they are appropriate. Evaluate whether the timeline of proposed activities is realistic and appropriate to the work proposed, and whether the objectives can be achieved using the approach identified.
   If matching funds were required, has the relevance of those funds been addressed and the non-overlap of objectives except in the case of direct cross-share been assured.

C. **Dissemination Plan (10 points):**
   Determine whether the information dissemination activities proposed are adequate, that they primarily address California farmers’, ranchers’, and/or agribusiness concerns (a requirement for all ARI funded proposals), and that they are well thought out.

D. **Evidence of Economic Impact to the California Industry and Consumer (20 points):**
   Evaluate the value of the work proposed relative to California agriculture, agribusiness, food and natural resources; and whether the agricultural industry recognizes this problem and assigns it a high priority. The economic analysis should include financial information on the industry sector under investigation as well as an estimate of costs and/or benefits to the proposed research.
E. **Staff Needs/Researcher Qualifications (15 points):**
   Determine whether the proposal clearly describes the qualifications of the project director and other key personnel to solve the identified proposal problem (training, education, demonstrated awareness of the issue) and whether the level of staffing is appropriate.

F. **Budget Appropriateness (15 points):**
   Evaluate whether the resources requested are appropriate to the work proposed and whether there are more efficient ways to conduct the project to reduce the resources required. Determine whether there is a clear relationship between the resources requested and the work proposed. (Please refer to the beginning pages to determine split of faculty salary between added compensation and release time.) Determine whether the proposal indicates evidence of financial support for the project from sources other than ARI.
**FY 2011-2012 ARI Proposal Evaluation Rating Sheet**

Proposal Number:  
Principal Investigator:  
Proposal Title:  
Project Duration:  
Total ARI Request:  
System/Campus Proposal: **campus**  
Research Focus Area:  
Campus: **Cal Poly, SLO**  
Reviewer:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statement of the Problem</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Methodology</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination Plan</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence of Economic Value</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher Qualifications</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Appropriateness</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLO Campus ARI Priority Criteria</th>
<th>+/- Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student Involvement (plus 0 – 2 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Investigator (plus 0 – 2 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of Release Time (plus 0 – 2 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of 10-11 priority research area (plus 0 – 5 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary matching funds from commodity group or private industry (plus 0 – 5 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional publication of previous ARI work (plus 0 – 10 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 ARI projects in progress for the next year (minus 0 – 2 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor Compliance for Past or Existing ARI Projects (minus 0 – 20 points)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reviewer’s Comments**

Other documents available as needed:
FY 2011-2012 ARI Proposal Evaluation Rating Sheet – Seed Funding Proposals Only

Proposal Number:  
Principal Investigator:  
Proposal Title:  
Project Duration:  
Total ARI Request:  
System/Campus Proposal:  campus  
Research Focus Area:  
Campus:  Cal Poly, SLO  
Reviewer:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Evaluation Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
<th>Points Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professional Growth Plan (PGP) &amp; its Research Component</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment with ARI Priority Area(s)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability of this work to lead to future external funding</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability of proposal to PGP</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher Qualifications</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Appropriateness</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F. TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reviewer’s Comments

Other documents available as needed:
The following policies and guidelines represent the attempt of the College of Agriculture, Food and Environmental Sciences, California Polytechnic State University in San Luis Obispo, to implement a system which would both comply with ARI regulations regarding matching funds and support the spirit and intent of the ARI to stimulate the influx of funding from outside sources for research and education.

For proposals receiving awards, projects will be set up for the first year’s award amount up to the level of received matching funds. Augmentations will be made up to the full first year award as additional match arrives through December 15 of the award year. Full first year matching funds must arrive by that time. Matching funds for subsequent years must be received before that portion of the ARI award can be made available and no later than December 15 of the fiscal year to which they will be applied.

Expenditures for ARI projects prior to receipt of matching funds can be made against any Cal Poly Corporation account with permission of the account owner. These charges, if allowable, can be transferred to the appropriate ARI project after it is set up. As with all expenditures, these transfers must be approved by the grants analyst in charge of the ARI project.

Funding for subsequent years of multi-year proposals is subject to:
1. ARI funding by the State of California
2. Adequate progress documented in the Annual Report (due in the spring of each year)
3. Demonstrated availability of matching funds.

Glossary

Received – Matching funds are considered received if:
A. It is a sponsored project and the account has already been set up OR an award letter has been received from the sponsor and the account is in progress.
B. It is a cash gift received and deposited into the Project Director’s ARI matching account (set up by the CAFES Grants Analyst).
   the form that gets filled out is located at: http://advancement.calpoly.edu/forms/ua_cash_form.doc
C. It is a gift in-kind that is already in the possession of the Project Director. Examples are donated equipment or supplies. Documentation from the sponsor’s...
accounting organization must be provided to the CAFES Grants Analyst and the donation must be reflected in the Project Director’s ARI matching account.

The form that gets filled out is located at: http://advancement.calpoly.edu/forms/ua_gik_form.doc

D. It is a gift in-kind for sponsor’s expenses, not cash coming to Cal Poly, to be incurred during the next year of a project and a letter of intent has been received from the sponsor to cover those charges. Complete documentation of the coverage of these expenses is required from the sponsor’s accounting organization at the end of each year.

Matching Funds

The ARI requirement for matching funds (with an emphasis on outside industry), has created an accounting challenge. We must be able to document every dollar of matching funds. Therefore, we have established the following guidelines.

1. **Sponsored Project Funds**
   An award is generally a sponsored project if there are any documented terms or conditions associated with the money such as requirements for reports or return of unused funds. (Additional information on this topic is available in my office or in Sponsored Programs.) All Sponsored Projects must be routed through the Grants Development and Sponsored Programs Offices. These offices draw up the legal contracts; they are the only ones who may obligate the University or the Corporation. Sponsored Programs also is responsible for the financial reporting required by the sponsors.

   Any documentation for projects which are ARI matches should also be copied to me.

2. **Cash Gifts**
   If at all possible, letters should accompany gifts from sponsors indicating gift status. An example would be: “Company A is donating $X for Dr. Q’s research on Generic Project Name.” There are no further terms, obligations, or deliverables that can be associated with a gift. This type of documentation is essential for the donor to be able to receive a tax deduction.

   Checks should be made payable to Cal Poly Corporation.

   When the checks and letters come in, please get them to me so I can make the funds accessible to you. This will translate as setting up a gift account for your project and getting the funds deposited correctly. If you happen to already have other gift funds that you won’t be using as ARI match, it will be necessary to set up a separate fund in order not to commingle money and provide a clean reporting mechanism for ARI.
I will have access to the Corporation accounting system for all ARI-related accounts and can provide information to you on the status of any of your expenditures or account balances.

3. **In-Kind Contributions**

   These matches are the most difficult to document. We will need some form of written documentation from the sponsor as to the exact items they provided and their bookkeeping value. This applies to equipment donations, personnel time, and any other expenses which had been proposed as ARI in-kind match. Documentation of actual receipt of these matching funds will be tied to release of ARI funding. Sponsor expenses for anything other than goods coming to Cal Poly, require both a before part (“I promise to provide $X in goods and services in support of . . .”) **AND** an after part (“I provided (something) worth $X in support of . . . during (valid time frame)”).

If you have any questions about categorizing your matching funds or about the logistics of any of these processes, please contact me.

Sue Tonik, CAFES Grants Analyst