ON THE THEORY OF HOMOGENEOUS LIPSCHITZ SPACES AND CAMPANATO SPACES

HARVEY GREENWALD

In this paper the equivalence between the Campanato spaces and homogeneous Lipschitz spaces is shown through the use of elementary and constructive means. These Lipschitz spaces can be defined in terms of derivatives as well as differences.

Introduction. The Campanato spaces have previously been stated by Taibleson and Weiss [13] to be duals of certain Hardy spaces. Further results will be forthcoming in a paper by Janson, Taibleson, and Weiss.

For $k > a$ we define,

$$\Lambda_{a,k} = \left\{ [f] \in S'/P_{k-1} : \sum_{|\alpha| = k} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} t^{(k-a)/2} |D^\alpha f(x,t)| < \infty \right\}$$

where $f(x,t)$ is the Gauss-Weierstrass integral of $f$ and $D^\alpha f(x,t)$ involves the derivatives with respect to the $x_i$.

Consider the collection of locally integrable functions $f$ for which

$$\|f\|_{L(a,p,k-1)} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} |Q|^{-a/n} \left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q f(x)|^p \, dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty$$

where $Q$ is a ball, $k > a$, and $P_Q f$ is the minimizing polynomial of degree $\leq (k - 1)$. The space $L(a, p, k - 1)$ is defined as a space of equivalence classes modulo the polynomials of degree $\leq (k - 1)$.

The principal result is the following.

PROPOSITION. For $k > a$, the following are equivalent spaces:

(i) $L(a, p, k - 1)$ and

(ii) $\Lambda_{a,k}$.

In addition it is shown that the distributions in $\Lambda_{a,k}$ are in fact slowly increasing functions.

Homogeneous Lipschitz spaces have been studied extensively by a number of people. The reader is referred, in particular, to Herz [5], Johnson [7], and Janson [6]. Grevholm [4] has proved that $L(a, p, k - 1)$...
is equivalent to a space whose elements are in an interpolation space and
whose norm is defined in terms of differences. Grevholm's proof is limited
to $p < \infty$ and is done through the use of interpolation theory. The results
in this paper are proved by elementary methods and are valid for
$1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the standard properties of
the Weierstrass kernel. A discussion of these properties can be found in
Taibleson [12] and in Flett [3].

All immaterial constants shall be denoted by the same letter $C$.

Before beginning I would like to thank Mitchell Taibleson for his
many suggestions concerning the material in this paper.

**Principal Results.**

**DEFINITION.** Let $k > a > 0$ and let $P_{k-1}$ be the set of polynomials of
degree $\leq (k - 1)$. Define

$$\Lambda_{a,k} = \left\{ [f] \in S'/P_{k-1} : \right\}$$

$$\| f \|_{a,k} = \sum_{|\nu| = k} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} t^{(k-a)/2} |D^\nu f(x, t)| < \infty$$

where $f \in [f], S'$ is the set of tempered distributions, and

$$D^\nu f(x, t) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\nu_n}} f(x, t)$$

for $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ and $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_n = k$. Here $f(x, t) = f^* W(x, t)$ is the Weierstrass integral of $f$ where $W(x, t) = ct^{-n/2}e^{-|x|^2/4t}$ is the
Weierstrass kernel. See Taibleson [12] and Flett [3] for a discussion of
Weierstrass integrals of distributions.

Lipschitz conditions on $S'/P_{k-1}$ have been considered by Janson [6]
and Johnson [7]. It is easy to check that $\| f \|_{a,k}$ is independent of the
coset representative and does indeed define a norm.

**DEFINITION.** Consider the collection of locally integrable functions $f$
for which

$$\| f \|_{L(a,p,k-1)} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} |Q|^{-a/n} \left[ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(x) - P_Q f(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty$$
where $Q$ is a ball, $k > \alpha$, and where $P_Q f$ is the unique polynomial of degree $\leq (k - 1)$ such that

$$\int_Q \left[ f(x) - P_Q f(x) \right] x^\nu \, dx = 0$$

for $0 \leq |\nu| \leq k - 1$. The space $L(\alpha, p, k - 1)$ is defined as a space of equivalence classes of these functions modulo $P_{k-1}$. See Taibleson and Weiss [13] for a complete discussion of these notions.

**Proposition 1.** Let $[f] \in L(\alpha, p, k - 1)$. Then $[f] \in \Lambda_{\alpha,k}$ and $\|f\|_{\alpha,k} \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha,p,k-1)}$.

**Proof.** Let $[f] \in L(\alpha, p, k - 1)$ and $f \in [f]$. Then

$$f(x) \left[ 1 + |x| \right]^{-n/p - \varepsilon} \in L^p \text{ for } \varepsilon > \max(k, \alpha).$$

See Ricci and Taibleson [10]. Hence $f \in S'$. Now let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $Q = B_{x_0}(t^{1/2})$ be the ball about $x_0$ of radius $t^{1/2}$, and $P = P_Q$. Then $P(x, t)$ is also a polynomial of degree $\leq (k - 1)$. If $|\nu| = k$,

$$D^\nu f(x_0, t) = D^\nu f(x_0, t) - D^\nu P(x_0, t)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ f(y) - P(y) \right] D^\nu W(x_0 - y, t) \, dy$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ f(y) - P(y) \right] t^{\varepsilon/2} \left[ t^{1/2} + |y - x_0| \right]^{n/p + \varepsilon} D^\nu W(x_0 - y, t) \, dy.$$

Apply Hölder’s inequality.

(a) $$\left\| \left[ f(y) - P(y) \right] t^{\varepsilon/2} \left[ t^{1/2} + |y - x_0| \right]^{n/p + \varepsilon} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L(\alpha,p,k-1)} t^{\alpha/2}.$$

See Ricci and Taibleson.

(b) $$\left\| \frac{t^{1/2} + |y - x_0|^{n/p + \varepsilon}}{t^{\varepsilon/2}} D^\nu W(x_0 - y, y) \right\|_{L^p} \leq I_1 + I_2$$

where the first integration is over $|y - x_0| \leq t^{1/2}$ and the second is over $|y - x_0| > t^{1/2}$. 

\[ I \leq C \left( \int_{|y| \leq t^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{t^{n/2p+\epsilon/2}t^{-\epsilon/2}}{t^{n/2}t^{-n/2}} \frac{|D^pW(y, t)|}{dy} \right]^{p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \leq Ct^{n/2}t^{-k/2}t^{-n/2} = Ct^{-k/2} \]

by changing variables and using the usual estimates for \( W(y, t) \).

(ii)

\[ I_2 \leq C \left( \int_{|y| > t^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{\frac{t^{n/2p+\epsilon/2}}{t^{n/2}}}{t^{n/2}t^{-n/2}} \frac{|D^pW(y, t)|}{dy} \right]^{p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \leq C \left( \int_{|y| \geq 1} \left[ t^{n/2p+\epsilon/2} |\mu|^{n/p+\epsilon/2}t^{-\epsilon/2}t^{-k/2} |\mu|^{k/n+\epsilon/2}e^{-|\mu|^2/4} t^{-n/2} d\mu \right]^{p'} \right)^{1/p'} \]

where \( \mu = yr^{-1/2} \). The above is bounded by

\[ Ct^{n/2p-k/2-n/2+n/2p'} = Ct^{-k/2}. \]

(c) Hence

\[ \sup_{x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D^p f(x_0, t)| \leq C \| f \|_{L(\alpha,p,\beta-1)} t^{\alpha/2} t^{-k/2} \]

and the result follows.

**Definition.** Let \( \Delta(h)f(x) = f(x - h) - f(x) \). For \( k > \alpha \) we define

\[ A_{\alpha,k} \left\{ [f] \right\} \in S'/P_{k-1}: \]

\[ \| f \|_{A_{\alpha,k}} = \sup_{h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left[ |h_1| + \cdots + |h_k| \right]^{\alpha} \cdot |\Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x)| < \infty \]

where \( \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x) \) is defined in the distribution sense and is assumed to be an \( L^\infty \) function. Spaces similar to this have been studied by Janson [6].

**Lemma 1.** For \( k > \alpha \), the following are equivalent spaces:

(i) \( \Lambda_{\alpha,k} \) and

(ii) \( A_{\alpha,k} \).

**Proof.** This can be proved by standard arguments. See Johnson [7] or Janson [6] for similar results.
LEMMA 2. Let \([f] \in \Lambda_{\alpha,k}\) and let \(f\) be a slowly increasing function. Then \([f] \in L(\alpha, p, k - 1)\) and
\[
\|f\|_{L(\alpha,p,k-1)} \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k}.
\]

Proof. Since \([f] \in \Lambda_{\alpha,k},\)
\[
|\Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k} \left[\|h_1\| + \cdots + \|h_k\|\right]^\alpha
\]
for every \(h_1, \ldots, h_k \in \mathbb{R}^n\) by Lemma 1. We shall show that \(f \in L(\alpha, \infty, k - 1)\). Let \(x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+, \) and \(Q = B_{x_0}(\delta)\). We shall find a polynomial \(P\) of degree \(\leq (k - 1)\) such that
\[
\sup_{x \in Q} |f(x) - P(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k}\delta^\alpha.
\]
The result will then easily follow.

(a) Using an argument of Janson [6] (Theorem 6), we see that
\[
(-1)^{k-1} \int \cdots \int \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x)W(h_1, t) \cdots W(h_k, t) \, dh_1 \cdots dh_k = G(x, t) - f(x)
\]
where
\[
G(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_if(x, it) \quad \text{and} \quad C_i = (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i}.
\]
It easily follows from the above that
\[
|G(x, t) - f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k} t^{\alpha/2}.
\]

(b) Let \(t = \delta^2\). Then
\[
|G(x, \delta^2) - f(x)| \leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k}\delta^2
\]
and
\[
G(x, \delta^2) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_if(x, i\delta^2).
\]

(c) Let \(P(x, i\delta^2)\) be the Taylor polynomial about \((x - x_0)\) of degree \((k - 1)\) for \(f(x, i\delta^2)\). Then
\[
|f(x, i\delta^2) - P(x, i\delta^2)| \leq C \sum_{|\nu| = k} |D^\nu f(y, i\delta^2)| \|x - x_0\|^k
\]
\[
\leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k}(i\delta^2)^{\alpha/2-k/2} \delta^k
\]
\[
\leq C \|f\|_{\alpha,k}\delta^\alpha \quad \text{if} \quad x \in B_{x_0}(\delta).
\]
(d) Let \( P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i P(x, i\delta^2) \). Then
\[
|f(x) - P(x)| \leq |f(x) - G(x, \delta^2)| + |G(x, \delta^2) - P(x)| \\
\leq C\|f\|_{\alpha, k}\delta^\alpha.
\]

**Proposition 2.** Let \([f] \in \Lambda_{\alpha, k}, k > \alpha, \) and \( f \in [f] \). Then
(a) \( f \) is a slowly increasing function,
(b) \([f] \in L(\alpha, p, k - 1), \) and
(c) \( \|f\|_{L(\alpha, p, k - 1)} \leq C\|f\|_{\alpha, k}. \)

**Proof.** Parts (b) and (c) follow immediately from part (a) and Lemma 2. Hence it suffices to prove (a). Let \( f(x, s) \) be the Gauss-Weierstrass integral of \( f \). Since \( f(x, s) \) is slowly increasing,
\[
(-1)^k \int \cdots \int \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x, s)W(h_1, t) \cdots W(h_k, t) \, dh_1 \cdots dh_k \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i f(x, it + s) = f(x, s)
\]
as in Lemma 2. We claim that the limit as \( s \to 0 \) exists. This is immediate for the term involving \( f(x, it + s) \) since \( f(x, it + s) \) is continuous. For the first term, note that \( \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x, s) \) is the Gauss-Weierstrass integral of the \( L^\infty \) function \( \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x) \) and hence converges for a.e. \( x \). An easy application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem finishes the proof of the claim.

Let \( g(x) = \lim_{s \to 0} f(x, s) \). Then
\[
g(x) = (-1)^k \int \cdots \int \Delta(h_1) \cdots \Delta(h_k)f(x)W(h_1, t) \\
\cdots W(h_k, t) \, dh_1 \cdots dh_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} C_i f(x, it).
\]

The first term is a bounded function which is bounded by \( C\|f\|_{\alpha, k} t^{\alpha/2} \). The second term is slowly increasing since \( f \in S' \). Hence \( g(x) \) is slowly increasing. Finally, we claim that \( f = g \) as a distribution. A direct computation yields that \( g(x, s) = f(x, s) \). Let \( \phi \in S. \) Then
\[
f(\phi) = \lim_{s \to 0} f(x, s)(\phi) = \lim_{s \to 0} g(x, s)(\phi) = g(\phi).
\]

Note that for \( f \in S' \), the semi-group property of \( f(x, t) \) and the fact that \( f \) is slowly increasing as a function of \( x \) follow from Flett [3].
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