Brown and Younger: The last days

BY JAN BINDER

The issues in this year's gubernatorial campaign have taken a hurcuse to personal attacks. The campaign is both major candidates, Governor Brown, and Eville Younger, have spent more time criticizing each other than speaking on the issues. After hurling personal insults in the first two televised
debates, Brown and Younger discussed the merits of several issues in their third encounter.

They are in close competition after the aftermath of Proposition 13. Brown recently reversed his pre-election stand on the issue. According to Younger, the tax should be an $2 billion surplus from reduced taxes. Brown and next year will be "a very lean period" and we are in the aftermath of a tax revolution.

Both candidates have stated the limitations of government spending and taxes will be a high priority.

Both candidates also appear on more power. Younger says the state should move ahead of 30 more nuclear

planes will be needed before the year 2000. Younger also plans to abolish the State Energy Commission. Brown feels that the nuclear problems have not been resolved, particularly the waste disposal. He does support the importation of liquefied natural gas at Point Conception.

The two candidates support offshore oil development.

Both Brown and Younger are opposed to Proposition 6. Younger says he feels pre-existing laws are adequate and by maintaining the passing of the proposition would create a "witch hunts.

Although Brown has signed legislation exempting farmers from having to file environmental impact reports before such application of pesticides, it is highly criticized by farmers for his actions concerning farm labor.

Farmers say Brown's office board appointment board applications were against them and in favor of the united Farm Workers. The Board is responsible for enforcing the sentences of the farm labor act which opened California to federal laws.

Younger, though unpopular with the farmers when he issued an opinion that required farmers to file environmental impact reports before applying pesticides, is supported by agricultural interest. This is mainly because of their sympathy to Brown.

Younger has frequently commented on the "over-regulation and over-taxation" of agriculture by the Brown administration.

Brown says he has opened the bureaucratic to those that have not had access to the system previously by the appointments of blacks, Hispanics, and women to various positions.

Younger has criticized many of Brown's appointments stating they are unqualified for the job.

An issue that concerns the taxpayers more than a smart tax, says Younger.

Concerning tobacco, Brown opposes it at least for the upcoming year. Information on Younger's stand was not available.

Reilly up for re-election 19th time

BY JOE STEIN

Sitting on the State Board of Equalization is not particularly thrilling job, at least if you enjoy the voting masses watching your every move.

Nevertheless, all four seats are up for re-election this spring. The representative of San Luis Obispo county, the rest of the First District has run for re-election 19 times since his first election in 1938. 72-year old Democrat George B. Reilly.

Trying to unseat Reilly is Republican challenger Henry A. Allen, a former state board member from Mission Hills.

The winner will sit on a panel created in 1870 to correct property tax abuses among California's counties. In 1870, the board assumed its present role and now regulates county assessment practices, maintains county assessment records and assures railroad property.

A fifth member of the board is the state controller, who does not vote. The board does not collect most property taxes, the county does. But the board has become involved in a post-Proposition 13 furor over its interpretation of the new law's implementation.

The board states that property should be assessed as one percent of the 1975 market value as it appears on 1975 tax bills. The state legislature, however, says county assessors have to calculate 1975 values for homes and property not assessed in that year.

The controversy, however, may not defeat Reilly, who is California's senior elected official. Reilly says he might push for an inquiry, if re-elected. Reilly's term has been extended by one year under Proposition 13 furor over its interpretation of the new law's implementation.

Reilly recently responded to the charge, saying "I'm in good health, I'm not planning to retire."

The congressman and challenger

BY PAMELA RABSTUM

Lanie Bismans spent five and a half hours last Saturday walking through precincts distributing campaign literature for the congressman and challenger for Leon Panetta's 16th congressional district seat.

Bismans, a 46-year old Salinas resident, has lived in the area for 10 years. She decided to support Panetta after she met him and his campaign chairman, Tom Foy. Bismans said she enjoyed meeting people and introducing them to the congressman.

"I've always liked him as a get along well with you kind of guy and I think he's a great, caring person," Bismans said.

Panetta has been leading the congressman in recent polls. Bismans said she hopes to help Panetta win the seat.

Panetta's opponent is Rep. Leon Panetta, a Democrat who has served in Congress since 1976.

Both women are vigorously fighting for the seat. Bismans said she was elected as representative of a district that historically voted for Republicans but in recent years has moved to the left.

The congressional campaign season is in full swing, and both candidates are working hard to win over voters. Bismans said she hopes to help Panetta win the seat.

"I've always liked him as a get along well with you kind of guy and I think he's a great, caring person," Bismans said.

Panetta's opponent is Rep. Leon Panetta, a Democrat who has served in Congress since 1976.

Both women are vigorously fighting for the seat. Bismans said she was elected as representative of a district that historically voted for Republicans but in recent years has moved to the left.

The congressional campaign season is in full swing, and both candidates are working hard to win over voters. Bismans said she hopes to help Panetta win the seat.

"I've always liked him as a get along well with you kind of guy and I think he's a great, caring person," Bismans said.
A different election

Most newspapers at this time would begin listing their tidal arguments about how all of us should get off our duffs and get out to vote in the election Tuesday.

We are happy to announce that we will not publish those arguments this time.

But what we will say should appeal to everyone - those who plan to register to vote and perhaps even those who did not vote last time.

Some serious issues were presented to the public these past four years and several of those were directed at college students - the learning opportunity of tuition in Cal Poly and other CSUC campuses and the possible re-election of summer quarter.

Unfortunately, decisions made by the folks in Sacramento are stuffed down our throats for four years at a time. Other than writing a letter to a congressman, voters have little choices to affect those decisions - except now.

This is the safeguard written into the constitutions of both the state and the nation.

We can vote and send our leaders to the capital and Que sera sera. But every four years they have to come back and face us.

The educated voter

Thanks to the efforts of a lot of people years ago, we have the right to vote. It is great whenever citizens get out and show support for their candidates. It is even better when they are properly exercising their rights.

It doesn't take much time to become an educated voter. A few minutes reading the various campaign literature distributed by all the candidates. Those who spend the time they are properly exercising their rights.

Several of the arguments either for or against the propositions beg the voter to read the actual proposal. They say we may completely change our minds when we do.

We believe that by now the majority of the voters planning to vote may be having second thoughts. But those who haven't may find some light in reading election literature and making up their minds before we head to the polls.

We remember back to when Nixon was experiencing his turmoil at the heat of the Watergate affair. Nixon later then boasted that, "It was those who voted for him who were screwing the jobs.

In any election, there may be two ideas, but if by all the candidates. Those who spend the time they are properly exercising their rights.

The result of Tuesday's election will be for the better.

We will watch with interest the tabulations Tuesday night and then listen to the final predictions first thing Wednesday morning. We are especially interested in the two controversial ballot propositions. A and B. Fills vote back and forth and often any nothing because of the large number of undecided voters. After these two nights will be no undecided voters.

The most important thing is simply to understand the issue, decide how they would vote, and then exercise their right to vote after reading the available information. It may take one hour of your time to settle the problem. But it may save from one to two big solutions dream up by our politicians.

Computer age

Machine is moving into the era of the computer. The mechanical brain can do everything from cooking to launching a probe to Mars. But can and voting? Not yet.

Unfortunately, there are many advantages to having a computer count ballots. It counts votes faster with more accuracy than the human hand.

Since the mid-1960's, most counties have switched to some type of mechanical or electronic ballot counting machine. But many who haven't may find some light in reading election literature and making up their minds before we head to the polls.

In a 1975 mayoral runoff election in East Francisco, computer voting equipment could not be used because there was such a short interval between primary and runoff elections. The voting machines could not be cleared.

In other instances, computers have broken down, many times causing considerable delays. One wrong button punched and the vote will not be counted for two days.

In some instances, computers have broken down, many times causing considerable delays. One wrong button punched and the vote will not be counted for two days.

It is important that everyone should know the computer is in good working order, no one will be cheated on checking and double-checking this may take. Vital democracy depends on it.

NOT HAPPY WITH YOUR PRESENT LIVING CONDITIONS? OR READY FOR A CHANGE?
COME TALK TO US!

Barry Blair
Manager
1 Mustang Drive
San Luis Obispo
(805) 544-1950

The Doctor In Spite of Himself

Friday, November 3, 1978

MUSTANG VILLAGE
Short walk from Shopping areas Close to Cal Poly campus

Editorials:

Invasion of Proposition 9 (Clean Indoor Aire Act) are going too far. They talk about the "regulations" and say, "What will they regulate next? What do we say? What can we say?"

Invasion of Proposition 9 (Clean Indoor Aire Act) are going too far. They talk about the "regulations" and say, "What will they regulate next? What do we say? What can we say?"

We feel relatively certain the first will not.

We should look at this as a golden opportunity. We can give our approval to the people in Sacramento and send them back for four more years, or we can show our disagreement and ship new laws to the Capitol and Quarter. But every four years they have to come back and face us.

We believe that by now the majority of the voters planning to vote may be having second thoughts. But those who haven't may find some light in reading election literature and making up their minds before we head to the polls.

We will watch with interest the tabulations Tuesday night and then listen to the final predictions first thing Wednesday morning. We are especially interested in the two controversial ballot propositions. A and B. Fills vote back and forth and often any nothing because of the large number of undecided voters. After these two nights will be no undecided voters.

The most important thing is simply to understand the issue, decide how they would vote, and then exercise their right to vote after reading the available information. It may take one hour of your time to settle the problem. But it may save from one to two big solutions dream up by our politicians.

The second Question may be a tough one for four years at a time. Other than what we will say should appeal to everyone - those who plan to register to vote and perhaps even those who did not vote last time.

Unfortunately, decisions made by the folks in Sacramento are stuffed down our throats for four years at a time. Other than writing a letter to a congressman, voters have little choices to affect those decisions - except now.

These are the safeguards written into the constitutions of both the state and the nation. We can vote and send our leaders to the capital and Que sera sera. But every four years they have to come back and face us.

The educated voter

Thanks to the efforts of a lot of people years ago, we have the right to vote. It is great whenever citizens get out and show support for their candidates. It is even better when they are properly exercising their rights.

It doesn't take much time to become an educated voter. A few minutes reading the various campaign literature distributed by all the candidates. Those who spend the time they are properly exercising their rights.

Several of the arguments either for or against the propositions beg the voter to read the actual proposal. They say we may completely change our minds when we do.

We believe that by now the majority of the voters planning to vote may be having second thoughts. But those who haven't may find some light in reading election literature and making up their minds before we head to the polls.

In a 1975 mayoral runoff election in East Francisco, computer voting equipment could not be used because there was such a short interval between primary and runoff elections. The voting machines could not be cleared.

In other instances, computers have broken down, many times causing considerable delays. One wrong button punched and the vote will not be counted for two days.

It is important that everyone should know the computer is in good working order, no one will be cheated on checking and double-checking this may take. Vital democracy depends on it.

ANNOUNCING HIS CANDIDACY FOR CONGRESS, A FACT HE ACCORDINGLY AVOIDS TO MENTION. IN 1976, HIS OWN PARTY DIDN'T EVEN ENDORSE HIM.

Dave Laver
Korea Admiral
Bruce Pet

Letters

Editorial:

I can't take it any longer! The opponents of Proposition 9 (Clean Indoor Air Act) are going too far. They talk about the "regulations" and say, "What will they regulate next? What do we say? What can we say?"

Invasion of Proposition 9 (Clean Indoor Air Act) are going too far. They talk about the "regulations" and say, "What will they regulate next? What do we say? What can we say?"

We feel relatively certain the first will not.

We should look at this as a golden opportunity. We can give our approval to the people in Sacramento and send them back for four more years, or we can show our disagreement and ship new laws to the Capitol and Quarter. But every four years they have to come back and face us.

We believe that by now the majority of the voters planning to vote may be having second thoughts. But those who haven't may find some light in reading election literature and making up their minds before we head to the polls.

In a 1975 mayoral runoff election in East Francisco, computer voting equipment could not be used because there was such a short interval between primary and runoff elections. The voting machines could not be cleared.

In other instances, computers have broken down, many times causing considerable delays. One wrong button punched and the vote will not be counted for two days.

It is important that everyone should know the computer is in good working order, no one will be cheated on checking and double-checking this may take. Vital democracy depends on it.

The second Question may be a tough one.
Propositions generate pro and con arguments

Proposition 5 (continued from pg. 1)

— Proposition 5 would save us dollars and reduce crime costs. It would not only lower Medi-Cal and other health programs, increase fines, and raise tickets for those left out and disability payments, but it would also reduce the huge number of smoking-related fires, burns, and costs.

— Proposition 5 would lower the legislature to amend this initiative with a majority vote. If technology improves of conditions change, it could be easily amended.

— The claim that enforcing non-smoking laws would divert police from serious crimes is exaggerated. Most people are law-abiding citizens and they should be treated as such. The issue has been improperly posed.

— The claim that violating Proposition 5 would lead to arrest and possibly jail is exaggerated to deceive the public. Violating would be immediately fined by the law and violators would get citations and not a permanent stain, if not merely warning.

Arguments Against

— Proposition 5 would increase California's poor payers burden by $63 million the first year with new local and state spending for installation of signs as well as every employee to every government facility and for increased law enforcement and court costs.

— Proposition 5 would put discrimination into California law. It would allow smoking when a building was used for one kind of criminal contending when used for another kind. This claim that non-smokers' health is endangered by other people's smoke is open to question. Even physicians who work for the smoking organizations say that there is no hard evidence that there is a harmful effect from smoke on the non-smokers.

— Proposition 5 would be too severe in penalties —a $30 fine for every violation and for each year of violation. The measure is discriminatory, oppressive and excessive.

— Proposition 5 would impose a big financial burden on the state government which would make decisions and control private property. The government would substitute its laws for our freedom in our own decisions.

— Proposition 5 would divert our law enforcement efforts from serious crimes and public protection by adding the burden of a "nuisance law."

Proposition 6 (continued from pg. 1)

— The initiative must not be passed because our health laws need to be enforced and we need to protect the health and safety of all citizens.

FARMER'S MARKET

Fresh Local Produce

Food Stamps Welcome

YOUNG'S GIANT FOOD

Broad St. (Hy 227 to Airport)

Every SATURDAY 10AM TO NOON

Steve MacElvaine

CANDIDATE SUPERVISOR DISTRICT 3

PAGE 3

Are You Looking For A Group To Lead?

Try Out For PEP SQUAD

SUNDAY

NOV. 19th

Workshop begins Nov. 6 at Stadium

Applications available until Nov. 17 in Activities Planning Center

I promise to provide the same dedication in my service to the residents of District 2 that I have given my own local businesses over the last 13 years.
Proposition 1

Should the state issue $800 million in general obligation bonds to continue the California Veterans Farm and Home Purchase Program?

Funds for this program are raised through the sale of general obligation bonds. The bond funds are used by the state to acquire property which the veterans wish to buy or improve.

Arguments For:

- We believe that veterans who served our country deserve a home of their own.
- This program helps veterans who may be struggling financially.

Arguments Against:

- The state should not be in the business of farming.
- Veterans can afford homes on their own.

Proposition 2

Should the California Constitution be amended to delete the provision authorizing the Public Utilities Commission (PUC), established under the constitution, to dispose of State of California lands?

Arguments For:

- The PUC has never done this before.
- It would be best for a special commission to make these decisions.

Arguments Against:

- The PUC should have this responsibility.
- The commission would be subject to political pressures.

Proposition 3

Should the Legislature be authorized to approve sale of state-owned surplus land purchased for transportation purposes in other state agencies for park, recreation, scenic, and wildlife conservation purposes at a price below market value?

Arguments For:

- It would be a wise use of land.
- It would benefit the state.

Arguments Against:

- It could be a waste of money.
- It would deform the market value of land.

**Louisa's Place**

**Home Made Specialties**

**Friday, November 3, 1978**

**California propositions voters to**
take a stand on various issues

Proposition 4

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to set accreditation deadlines? Change the Board's authority to disciplinary actions? Permit the Board to employ examiners? The "Chiropractic Initiative Act" established the State Board of Chiropractic Examiners, giving it authority to set up and administer rules and regulations governing the practice of chiropractic in California. Proposition 4 would make the following changes in the "Chiropractic Initiative Act":

- It would set deadlines for chiropractic colleges to achieve accreditation status.
- It would delete the reference to crimes involving moral turpitude and allow the Board to deny, suspend, or revoke a chiropractor's license for conviction of a felony or an offense related to the practice of chiropractic.
- It would specifically authorize the Board to hire qualified people to help administer the licensing examinations.

Arguments For:

- Setting accreditation deadlines would help clarify the conditions under which chiropractic colleges would be eligible for the licensing exams.
- Modifying the Board's disciplinary authority would give more flexibility and should be an added protection for consumers.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 5

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 5 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 6

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 7

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 7 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 8

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 9

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 9 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 10

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 10 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 11

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 12

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 12 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 13

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 14

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 14 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 15

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 15 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 16

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 17

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 17 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 18

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 18 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 19

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 20

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 20 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 21

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 21 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 22

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 23

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 23 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 24

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 24 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 25

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 26

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 26 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 27

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 27 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 28

Should county assessors be allowed to adjust assessments when property declines in value and allow them to provide more equal treatment for taxpayers?

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 29

Should the "Chiropractic Initiative Act" be amended to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 29 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Proposition 30

Should property assessments be changed to allow adjustments for property lost or damaged, and for property revalorized after a disaster? Proposition 30 amended the constitution to allow the Board of Equalization to authorize the Board to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments For:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.

Arguments Against:

- The Board should be able to hire qualified examiners when necessary.
Assembly

Carel Hallatt, the 41-year-old incumbent running for re-election to the state assembly seat representing San Luis Obispo and Monterey counties, does not even mention his opponent, Ranee Kramer, in his campaign advertisements. The tactic implies an easy victory for four-term incumbent Kramer, the 41-year-old Cal Poly student and self-assessed major and city bus driver, differs with Hallatt strongly on the Spanish ballot.

Other than that, each candidate is campaigning on the something-for-everyone ticket.

Kramer says Hallatt is opposing the Spanish ballot, wants to disenfranchise Hispanic in the 29th district, which includes large populations in Salinas, Gonzales and Soledad. Kramer opposes the Spanish ballot "thirstily from a sociologist," said administrative assistant Dave Gal, and merely wants to give Spanish-speaking citizens more incentive to learn the American language. Gal termed Kramer's charges "indiscreet."

Hallatt was in San Diego yesterday to talk on past contracts, he told said. Gal, who has worked for Hallatt seven months, expressed Hallatt's views on the three major ballot measures.

Analysis by

Joe Stein

Hallatt initiated his campaign up for voters on Tuesday. Hallatt will vote for Proposition 3, the measure which would ban smoking in most enclosed public places, out of sentiment for the tobacco industry and "personal preference," Gal said. Hallatt will vote against Proposition 4, said Gal, because "taxing laws are enough." The measure would allow local school boards to fire or refuse to hire gay or those who "advocate" homosexuality, "it puts all teachers (not just gays) in jeopardy," said Gal. Hallatt will vote yes on Proposition 1, said Gal, because he wants a stronger death penalty.

Lt. Governor

The idea of a 52-year-old millionaire defeating a 72-year-old incumbent involved in state politics for 16 years seems unlikely.

The prospect, however, is deadly serious to Harvey S. Dymally, California's lieutenant governor. According to a recent KNX-TV poll, the Trindad-born Democrat has slipped behind Republicans recording executive Mike Curb after spending months repelling, slams of Curb's racism and innuendoes. Critics from both left and right use the lieutenant governor's past as a weapon with allegations on character and stances, with Curb refusing to debate as "ethically wrong," and Curb branding Dynasty's do-nothing state legislature.

Meanwhile, Curb has been pondering the possibility of a split ticket in the event Republican gubernatorial contender Reubin Youngblood, now-Democratic Jerry Brown. Each ticket would be an anomaly, since Curb would be to Brown what Independent Walter Mondale is to Jimmy Carter.

Brown's best reaction to a possible Curb victory has been to pump $100,000 of his own campaign funds into Dynasty's campaign. Dynasty has relied almost exclusively on spot radio advertising, partly because of philosophical reasons, and can double the intensity of that advertising with Brown's contribution.

Curb, on the other hand, has been throwing a number of polished television commercials to put him in the driver's seat.

The lieutenant governor presides over the Senate, whose influence on several state boards and commissions, including the CRUC Board of Trustees was taken away when the Legislature was a one-legged or a one-capacitated controller.

Running for state controller against Republican business executive James Ware and Democratic incumbents Kenneth Cory — considered (continued on pg. 7)
Panetta takes on challenger Seastrand

(continued from pg. 1)

Panetta has returned to the U.S. Treasury $100,000 in an unjustified surplus and office expenses. Questions are often raised about Seastrand's membership in the John Birch Society. But, according to the candidate, he and his wife have no children.

The conservative's support among the ultra-right is not great. This is because, according to Seastrand, his opposition to President Nixon's 1% tax increase will cost him votes. On the other hand, Seastrand says, Nixon will not lose the election because of this move.

Another point of contention is the president's plan to build a new nuclear reactor at Diablo Canyon. Seastrand has opposed this plan in the past, but now he says that he will support it if the president asks him to do so. This is a position that many conservatives find difficult to accept.

Seastrand's support appears to be strongest among the ultra-right wing of the Republican Party. In his campaign, he has emphasized his opposition to liberal policies and his support for conservative values.

The campaign has been somewhat negative, with both candidates attacking each other's positions. Panetta has accused Seastrand of being too conservative, while Seastrand has accused Panetta of being too liberal.

Both candidates have been active in raising funds for their campaigns. Seastrand has relied heavily on contributions from wealthy donors, while Panetta has sought support from a broader base of contributors.

The election is expected to be close, with both candidates predicting a narrow victory. The outcome will likely depend on the ability of each candidate to mobilize their respective bases and to appeal to independent voters.

Seastrand's support appears to be strongest among the ultra-right wing of the Republican Party. In his campaign, he has emphasized his opposition to liberal policies and his support for conservative values.

The campaign has been somewhat negative, with both candidates attacking each other's positions. Panetta has accused Seastrand of being too conservative, while Seastrand has accused Panetta of being too liberal.

Both candidates have been active in raising funds for their campaigns. Seastrand has relied heavily on contributions from wealthy donors, while Panetta has sought support from a broader base of contributors.

The election is expected to be close, with both candidates predicting a narrow victory. The outcome will likely depend on the ability of each candidate to mobilize their respective bases and to appeal to independent voters.

Seastrand's support appears to be strongest among the ultra-right wing of the Republican Party. In his campaign, he has emphasized his opposition to liberal policies and his support for conservative values.

The campaign has been somewhat negative, with both candidates attacking each other's positions. Panetta has accused Seastrand of being too conservative, while Seastrand has accused Panetta of being too liberal.

Both candidates have been active in raising funds for their campaigns. Seastrand has relied heavily on contributions from wealthy donors, while Panetta has sought support from a broader base of contributors.

The election is expected to be close, with both candidates predicting a narrow victory. The outcome will likely depend on the ability of each candidate to mobilize their respective bases and to appeal to independent voters.

Seastrand's support appears to be strongest among the ultra-right wing of the Republican Party. In his campaign, he has emphasized his opposition to liberal policies and his support for conservative values.

The campaign has been somewhat negative, with both candidates attacking each other's positions. Panetta has accused Seastrand of being too conservative, while Seastrand has accused Panetta of being too liberal.

Both candidates have been active in raising funds for their campaigns. Seastrand has relied heavily on contributions from wealthy donors, while Panetta has sought support from a broader base of contributors.

The election is expected to be close, with both candidates predicting a narrow victory. The outcome will likely depend on the ability of each candidate to mobilize their respective bases and to appeal to independent voters.

Seastrand's support appears to be strongest among the ultra-right wing of the Republican Party. In his campaign, he has emphasized his opposition to liberal policies and his support for conservative values.

The campaign has been somewhat negative, with both candidates attacking each other's positions. Panetta has accused Seastrand of being too conservative, while Seastrand has accused Panetta of being too liberal.

Both candidates have been active in raising funds for their campaigns. Seastrand has relied heavily on contributions from wealthy donors, while Panetta has sought support from a broader base of contributors.

The election is expected to be close, with both candidates predicting a narrow victory. The outcome will likely depend on the ability of each candidate to mobilize their respective bases and to appeal to independent voters.
Finding your way out

Mood sours from last of sleep and lost mission orientation.

Well take heart over-worked students. you can always find your way out on the weekend.

This Saturday afternoon, the Art Recreation and Tournaments Committee will sponsor Earth Games II in Buster Park. The Live Band and DJ, the venue will perform.

For those of you who enjoy your music live rather than on the radio, Playing at the 1988, 1989 Monterey R. Friday and Saturday night will be Easy and Williams.

Out on the pier in Arlo beach Olde Port Inn will be rockin' with Wild Blue Yonder.

The Dark Room has The Live Band (and up) for Friday night and Brad Gooding for Saturday night.

Friso Yesh's will be featuring the play "The Burglar" and "What's th' Fun of the Sea" Friday and Saturday night.

At McAllister's Saloon, Wild-eyed Never will be rockin' all weekend long.

And Pasa Saloon, 19 miles east of Santa Margarita on Old Pismo Rd. will be serving their hee-cold pitches of infamous beer all during the weekend; all ways.

This week's film in Chumash is "Looking For Mr. Goodbar" starring Diane Keaton. The show starts at 7:00 and 10:00 p.m.

Thursday

Friday

Saturday

Sunday

Celebrate the Networks

7th Anniversary

with discounts in all stores

Sat. Nov. 4th

Dylan returns home

ST PAUL, Minn. (AP) Folk singer Bob Dylan got a rousing welcome home in his first concert in more than a decade in Minnesota, where he launched his music career.

"It's great to be back here. I should have come back more often," said Dylan, who was accompanied by a large backup band and a three-woman gospel chorus for the concert Tuesday night.

Dylan was born in Hibbing, Minn., and began his career in the coffeehouses of Minneapolis and St. Paul in the late 1950s and early 1960s.

Wednesday, November 8, at the CAL POLY-SLO Placement Office.

If you are thinking about a career in engineering, sales/marketing, or computer science, IBM is certainly one company you should consider.

IBM provides a uniquely creative environment in which talented people are encouraged to accept the challenge and responsibility offered by one of the prime growth industries: information technology.

We can offer you a remarkable variety of career opportunities in many areas. Come and talk with us. We'll be at CAL POLY-SLO all day, November 8. The Placement Office will be happy to set up your appointment.

In the meantime, if you would like to know more about us and the many opportunities we offer, our career brochures are available at the Placement Office.

Harley T. T. Hawthorn
Corporate College Relations Manager
IBM Corporation
3424 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90010

An Equal Opportunity Employer