Her goal is to include the women

"I'm going to suggest that their backgrounds are different," said Starr. "There is a huge socialisation process that teaches women not to be involved in, but all wars."

Dr. Rachel Starr, who will lecture on socialisation and the Viet Nam War, cites 1967 as the year of "my political awakening. I will say to those who don't like it, if they don't like it they can do what they want to do about it."

Gas-saving law saves lives

When the speed limit was lowered to 60 m.p.h. over a year ago, the major reason was to save precious gas. Yet, a not too surprising effect has also been noted—the lower speed limit also saves lives.

The court also will take up the issue of whether the 2nd U.S. Circuit Court of appeals acted properly in issuing Its ruling at 4:30 p.m. Friday. The court ruled the use of "red light devices" was illegal.

Despite the postponement, said Hill, "the city isn't about to drop this and they want us behind them. If it comes to the point of..."

Spy charges called 'joke' by Poly prof

City Council candidate Neil Wehr's term charge that his wife hired Richard Blacksten to spy on the Citizens for Environmental Balance (CEEB), "is a joke."

Wehr was responding to charges by Blacksten, also a candidate for a council seat, and Strong, that Lisa Wehr had paid Blacksten's CEEB membership dues so he would spy on the organisation.

The charges were made in a recorded statement that Blacksten released Saturday. In...
**Editor:**

Anne Zerrien's "staff comment," which was concerned with the morality of the United States government was an unfortunate journalistic endeavor.

A reading audience naturally expects an editorial to express a personal opinion, but additionally, the reading public also demands that the opinion being expressed be tempered with objective facts and valid reasoning.

Anne Zerrien unquestionably failed to be objective in her approach to the issue concerned with morality of the United States government. Her essay was illustrative of the technique of conveniently ignoring facts that could have otherwise shed doubt upon the premise being exposed.

The excerpts taken from the text of the editorial will illustrate my contention. One statement made by the author to insinuate the immorality of the government was, "I have never dropped an atomic bomb on any large metropolitan area." With this statement, the author displayed a jumble of highly charged facts and figures to make his argument. Specifically, I am making reference to the writer's jumble of highly charged generalizations used to substantiate her basic premise that the Federal government is immoral. Normally, a writer would use the Garvey-Starr report to illustrate the fact that the United States government was, "I have never dropped an atomic bomb on any large metropolitan area." This comment infers that the United States government is immoral. Her essay was illustrative of the technique of conveniently ignoring facts that could have otherwise shed doubt upon the premise being exposed.

The second statement made by the author to insinuate the immorality of the government was, "The new Depression: heralded by an end to grain shipments to India..." This comment infers that the United States government has generously supplied economic aid to India for decades. India has maintained a nationalistic policy directed toward developing a nuclear weapons capability that could be used "on any large metropolitan area." Although I may be branded a "flag waver" because of the comments I have made, I feel that they were necessary in order to provide a balanced perspective. I know that it would be equally wrong for a person to declare the United States government characterized in character as it would be for the same person to declare the country to be entirely immoral in character.
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Men were invited to Cal Poly, Ms. Green said. "Before the grievance board always came out — the government and subvert the system."

"If it is our responsibility in a democracy to participate," Starr said, women can enter the decision making process, if they decide to get involved in politics, legitimately, by running for office or legislation, they'll have a voice and a vote.

The Women's Collective is preparing to take a realistic look at discrimination at Cal Poly, Ms. Green describes the group as a "supportive group that is interested in promoting women in their life choices."

Admission to Starr's lecture is free.

Landlord

(continued from page 1)

Committee spy

(continued from page 1)

ormore about it, but couldn't afford the $85 fee required. She then told Blackston she would give him the $85 to take him, a student, to join.

According to Blackston, he was given an environmentalist an inside role in the development oriented organisation. Webs said it appeared Blackston might actually be working with CEES, since he was elected to the board of directors at the very first meeting he attended.

Legislature ponders bills affecting Poly

Landlord litigation, though, the school will back down." However, Hill said that she has been considerably weakened since he has partially paid back some of the disputed deposits. "But I want him to know we're not going to drop this matter," said Hill. "Being that Karold is a practicing attorney, he added, "there is a strong probability we may request the Board to investigate his practices."

Hill believes establishing a Housing Coordinator on campus will alleviate a lot of landlord-tenant problems such as the alleged Karold incidents. "Most people stumble into problems of this kind without any forewarning — I'd like to see that changed."

A student-run grievance board will be part of the program, Ms. Green said, "Women in politics will revolutionize the system."

An active member of the Women's Collective, sponsor of Starr's lecture, Ms. Green describes the group as a "supportive group that is interested in promoting women in their life choices."

The Women's Collective is preparing to take a realistic look at discrimination at Cal Poly, Ms. Green said. "We are putting our support behind the Human Relations Commission on campus."

A student-run grievance board will be part of the program, Ms. Green said. "Before the grievance board always came out of the administration, which always had a certain libidinous aspect about it."

Starr said women can enter the decision making process, if they decide to get involved in politics, legitimately, by running for of office or legislation, by trying to overthrow the government and subvert the system.

"If it is our responsibility in a democracy to participate," Starr said, "then, perhaps, the subversive act is not participating."

Admission to Starr's lecture is free. Men women are invited to attend.
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Cagers win on last second shot

The Cal Poly women's basketball team improved with practice, winning two of three games in their four-team invitational tournament last weekend.

The Mustangs, entering the tournament with a 1-4 record, managed to balance their ledger and pulled out a 45-41 win over the Roadrunners on their homecourt, Friday night.

Head coach Emile Wheeler praised the play of his team, they had tremendous poise especially in last three or four minutes when we went into our delay game.

With the score tied at 41, the Mustangs ran the clock down, working for the last shot, from 1:12 to two seconds when Dave Erickson narrowly missed a 16-footer, as time ran out on the clock.

But after! Bill Vinnick shot, there were two seconds left on the clock because the ball had been kicked out of bounds by a Mustang player before time had expired.

The Roadrunners were awarded the possession of the ball and immediately called time out to map a strategy. Coach Jim Larson's play called for a length of the court pass and lay-in attempt, but Jeff Garnett's long pass never got near the Roadrunner hoop. The inbounds pass hit a stage light which was suspended from a girder above the playing surface.

The Mustangs regained possession of the ball with two seconds on the clock but no time out left. The Mustangs ran an inbounds play on the side with Dave Bush inbounding the ball to Jonna Jones who dribbled once to his left behind a screen and fired the game winning shot.

Jones, a 5-11 sophomore and former Oakland high school player, of the year, was the leading scorer in the game with 14 points.

The importance of the game was reflected in "warballs" by both squads throughout the contest, according to Wheeler. Wheeler said "the game was so very critical for us to win to remain in the league running, and I was very pleased with the play of the entire team. We worked very hard all week in practice and the result was our best game of the season."

Wheeler, in an attempt to remedy his team's flat play in the first half, was forced to insert Mark Dekker, Paul Mills and freshman Brian O'Flaherty in the starting five.

Wheeler said he had his team in double figures. Kathy Scott was high for the game with 94 points. Kerris Shereve added 14.

The Mustangs held the league's leading scorer Gary Orgill for most of the second half after spraining his ankle. Orgill played only 14 minutes in the entire game, scoring just four points.

The injury doesn't appear to be serious and Wheeler is optimistic Orgill will be ready for the next conference game against Northridge Feb. 1.

The leading scorer for the Mustangs, Millicent Kinns, scored 13 points followed by Mike Hopper, 10.

The Mustangs are now tied with the Roadrunners in the conference standings for third place at 7-7. Cal Poly Pomona and UC Riverside are still in the first place with a 13-1 record.

The Mustangs play Cal State Hayward in a non-conference game Thursday evening.