by MICHAEL RUSKOVICH

Sunday is Mother’s Day, and it is a day of joy: a card, and send it to her.

But there is more to Mother’s Day than that. Or at least there should be. A mother, aside from being one jump ahead of apple pie and baseball as a symbol of patriotism, is a very special being. Even for non-patriots a mother is something special.

What other living thing carried us around for the first nine months of our lives and for a considerable time after that. Chances are many of us are still being carried about, in one way or another, by our mothers.

Mother’s Day is missed for, by the most part, in the United States alone. Few countries have a holiday set aside as a day to honor the mother, although some have an equivalent day at another time of year.

It all started back in 1909 with Anna M. Jarvis, née Reeves (1864-1948), a native of Grafton, W. Va., who went to Philadelphia in 1904, where her mother died on May 10, 1900.

Each year after that, on the anniversary of her mother’s death, she held an informal gathering of friends to honor the memory of her mother. Finally, in 1917, a church service was held in her honor. After that, Miss Jarvis began to push the idea. She wrote thousands of letters to politicians and influential men, until, in 1924, President Calvin Coolidge proclaimed Mother’s Day a national holiday. The idea caught on and by May 9, 1926, the first national Mother’s Day was proclaimed by President Woodrow Wilson.

Fortunately Miss Jarvis knew to deal with live mothers as well as dead ones. It became customary to wear a pink carnation if your mother was alive, and a white one if she wasn’t.

In Christian religions, May is the month of Mary, mother of Jesus. This played an important role in the celebration of the day. In the United States, on the second Sunday of May, a mission church service was held.

The day is observed by sending cards and flowers and a box of chocolates. Many people wear a pink carnation in honor of their mother.

In the United States, Mother’s Day is celebrated on the second Sunday of May. It is a day to remember the love and sacrifices of mothers.

John Holley, Ronca elected

John Holley has been elected All-President and John Ronca to Vice President. The results officially tally up as follows: John Holley, 1,754 votes; John Ronca, 1,186 votes; Scott Pickford, 540 votes.

President-elect Holley has served as Business and Social Science Council and the Community Advisory Board. Ronca has served as a member of the Business and Social Science Council and the Community Advisory Board as well as an active participant in the anti-tuition campaign.

A new election will be held on May 18 and 19, and the ballot for each race will remain unchanged, according to Rockey Camp, Election Committee chairman. The secretarial run-off was precipitated by some unspecified circumstances.

A card is nice to let mom know you remember her. A kiss too far from campus is a little on Sunday. That’s what Mother’s Day is all about.
Editor: Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election of BAC candidates from Human Development and Education, I have the following question to ask: Will someone please show me a "contract" under which Mustang Daily is obligated to give "equal" representation in order to provide this university with a "legal" election?

Yes, it is unfortunate that Sandra Oten's picture did not appear in the edition. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced. Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?

Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election?

Yea, it is unfortunate that she was a hit in the Student Assembly election. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced.

Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?

Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election?

Yea, it is unfortunate that she was a hit in the Student Assembly election. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced.

Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?

Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election?

Yea, it is unfortunate that she was a hit in the Student Assembly election. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced.

Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?

Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election?

Yea, it is unfortunate that she was a hit in the Student Assembly election. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced.

Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?

Regarding SAC's foolish decision to "rerun" the election?

Yea, it is unfortunate that she was a hit in the Student Assembly election. But that is not and should not be grounds for another election. Had Mustang Daily purposely desired to represent only a portion of the candidates, excluding all the rest, this still would not warrant a new election. Why? Because Mustang Daily is not a "house organ" for the ASI and is therefore under no obligation whatsoever to represent the candidates in any particular manner, "equally" or otherwise.

As it was, Editor Kathleen Beasley chose to lay down prescribed guidelines for the candidates to follow and for the majority who complied, the promised coverage was delivered. For those who did not comply, only their names appeared in the edition. This all had been explained to the candidates (the overwhelming majority) who attended a special meeting before the edition had been produced.

Now if SAC feels Mustang Daily handled the election coverage contrary to the manner in which BAC wanted it handled, then it is surely the council's option to reprimand the publication. But to call for another whole election over a single complaint—you've got to be kidding.

By the way, many of the photos in the election edition were barely recognizable anyway due to poor tone reproduction. Should the candidates whose pictures were poor be given another election? And what if Mustang Daily decides to run the exact same manner of coverage again just before the new elections?
SAC hears EOP...

(The article continues from the previous page.)

The other race will be rerun because SAC felt that unclear procedures for filling candidate platforms and pictures with the Mustang Daily gave some candidates an unfair advantage over others.

SAC voted to pay for the pictures and platforms for all candidates for SAC rep from the School of Human Development and Education to be placed in the paper.

This decision set a precedent, and SAC may have to pay for candidate advertising for other ASI elections in which a justified complaint is filed, according to Finance Committee Chairman Mike Metring.

Representatives from Student Housing Services, Model United Nations, Rally Committee, and Ecology Action Committee also appeared to defend their budgets.

Student Housing Services needs money to help students with their housing problems. The problems in this area are controversial, and the school administration will not take a stand for the students, according to Paul Faulk.

The money for the Model U.N. program is well used, as it provides the students involved excellent experience for understanding international relations, and the results of the national meeting are studied by the U.N., according to Jim Campbell.

The Rally Committee uses its budgeted money to help raise school spirit and project a good picture of the school. People on the committee give a lot of themselves and some of their pocketbook for the school, according to J. T. Adair.

And put very simply, the Ecology Action Committee cannot have films and speakers here for the students without money, according to Bill Glaedtke.

‘Save Ag Ed’ meeting will discuss actions

Save the old Ag. Ed. building committee will meet in Chumash Auditorium Monday at 8 p.m. to discuss the action taken so far to save the historical landmark.

The discussion, which has been called a multi-media question and answer presentation, will consist of slide presentations, lectures and the movie “Future Shock.”

BUY MUSTANG CLASSIFIEDS
540-4883

San Luis Travel
437 Marsh
543-4967
Mustang sports summary

by KEITH ELDREDGE

Due to the brevity of room for news on this page, the following is a daring attempt to summarize one of the busiest sports weekends in the school's history.

Baseball is ending the league season today (3:30 p.m.) and Saturday (noon doubleheader) at CBU. Northridge. Should the Mustangs win two games, the CCAA championship is theirs. Should they fail in that respect, both Cal Poly Pomona and Northridge will have a chance at the crown. If you cannot make it to the0 southland, KVEC (880 AM) will air the Friday game at 3:16 p.m.

Football ends the spring practices season doing battle with the alumni Saturday at 3 p.m. in Mustang Stadium.

Tennis bids the trail to the West Coast Relays in Fresno today and Saturday.

Golf is hosting the CCAA championships at BLO Country Club today and Saturday.

Baseball is ending the West Coast Illuminations Saturday and Sunday at Lopes Lake.

Judo is hosting the Cal Poly Invitational Sunday in the Men's Gym at 1 p.m.

Sailing is boating the Westwind Saturday.

The sky's no limit!

If you are a senior or have a college degree you should look into a career as a pilot or navigator with the aerospace team. You'll earn while you learn and Air Force skills will keep working for you, in or out of the service. The Air Force offers you a future where the sky's no limit.

Women's track team members are competing in the collegiate nationals in Hayward through Saturday.

Volleyball is entered in the regional championships Saturday at El Camino College.
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PACIFIC MOTOR IMPORTS

PHONE (909) 544-3310 - SAN LUIS OBISPO, CALIF. - 93401

NEW LOCATION
NEW OWNER
NEW ATTITUDE
NEW BUILDING

Now at 2436 Broad
Mike Boyack welcomes you
New friendly attitude
Expanded service facility for all foreign cars
Large selection new FIATS, SAABS

PACIFIC MOTOR IMPORTS
2436 Broad  San Luis Obispo, Calif.