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**Students seek council to start gas moratorium**

Keith Gurnee, a 5th year Architecture student running for city council has called for a moratorium on the further construction of gas stations in San Luis Obispo.

Gurnee is requesting that the city council modifies its present policy to stop service station construction in San Luis Obispo until the time that ordinances governing can be amended. He feels that the city should study the problem of limiting the number of stations and their planning before construction is allowed to begin.

Gurnee and fellow students Clyde Hostetter and Arthur Wirthung are presently in the progress of appeals of a city planning commission permit construction of a Shell Oil station on Madonna Road near the Madonna Inn.

A use permit for the station would have been granted in five days after the council decision under normal procedures, but the appeal will hold up granting of the permit until the council takes action. That will probably be in about a month since the appeal hearing must be advertised for several weeks before it is held.

Gurnee says if the council fails to act favorably on the appeal he plans to start a citizens petition against the station. Running on an environmentally-oriented campaign platform, Gurnee argues that the city should look into ways to amortize service stations that can’t survive economically. He has figures showing that nearly 20 percent of the city’s stations change leases during each year, and indication, he says that there are too many for all to make a profit.

In the April election Gurnee hopes that the students will exercise power as one-third of the registered voting force. He added that he has found that the public is overwhelmingly opposed to any more stations and emphasized that “The sooner we get ordinances written the sooner the moratorium could be ended.”

---

**Ban Lule Obispo, California**

**gospel to soul**

**Brothers to rock**

The Chambers Brothers, will perform in concert on Wednesday, April 21, in the Men’s Gym.

The Chambers Brothers, who have been performing together for six years, vary their musical selections from the rock scene, the blues scene, the rhythm and blues scene, the country and western scene, the psychedelic scene, and the gospel scene, to place their musical expertise high in practically all musical categories.

Comprised of four brothers, George, Willie, Lester, and Joseph Chambers, plus Brian Keenan, a drummer from Yorkshire, England, The Chambers Brothers quintet started out in the entertainment world by bringing gospel music to night clubs and coffee houses in Mississippi.

The Chambers Brothers have recorded three albums to date, the most highly acclaimed being *The Time Has Come*, whose title song brought the brothers their first break in the contemporary music world.

Tickets will be available soon at the Julian A. McPhee College Union and at selected ticket outlets in downtown San Luis Obispo. Ticket information may be acquired by telephoning the Associated Students, Inc. Office at 546-3678.

**Arson sought**

UPI—Fire marshals started a “hands and knees” investigation today to determine if arsonists started a fire in the chancellor’s office of the University of California at Santa Cruz.

The blaze gutted Chancellor Dean McHenry’s office early Thursday and destroyed irreplaceable student and faculty records in the campus’ second floor “nerve center.” Damage was estimated at $30,000 to $60,000.

Santa Cruz firemen, who spent two hours bringing the predawn fire under control, have not yet been able to say conclusively whether the fire was started by fire marshals or by arsonists.

Arson is suspected as cause for fire that ripped through the chancellor’s office early Thursday and destroyed irreplaceable student and faculty records in the campus’ second floor “nerve center.” Damage was estimated at $125,000 to $150,000.

Campus arsonist sought

This morning fire marshals started “hands and knees” investigation today to determine if arsonists started a fire in the chancellor’s office of the University of California at Santa Cruz.

The blaze gutted Chancellor Dean McHenry’s office early Thursday and destroyed irreplaceable student and faculty records in the campus’ second floor “nerve center.” Damage was estimated at $30,000 to $60,000.
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**Student vote**

What can SAC do? According to ASI Pres. Paul Banka, there are three steps the student governing body can take which should fulfill the wishes of students who voted yes on the measure.

1. **The establishment of a uniform system of student evaluation of all instructors**. The ballot called for the Student Affairs Council “to affect these policies: 1.) The establishment of a uniform system of student evaluation of all instructors; 2.) The publication of such evaluations and presentation of the results for departmental evaluations on rehiring; 3.) The announcement and opening of all evaluation and grievance meetings to all members of the academic community; and The acknowledgement of students right to present petitions and written (or verbal) testimony at instructor’s evaluation meetings.”

Of 1,474 votes cast, 1,394 indicated support for the proposal and 80 were against it. Whether SAC will be able to accomplish all of the items called for remains to be seen.

According to Larry Voss, director of personnel relations, the third point which allows for faculty evaluation and grievance meetings to be open to all members of the academic community, could not be instituted at this time because of rulings which have been made by the Chancellor’s office. Voss said there is a system-wide policy statement which states that meetings of this nature are to be closed to anyone but the individual involved and those making the evaluation or hearing the grievance.

Petition gets student vote

**Vote turnout was low, but an overwhelmingly large percentage of students voted in favor of the establishment of a system of student evaluation of faculty in a special election held last Thursday. The action was initiated by Students for New Action Politics (SNAP)**.
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Apathy elects council

Editor:

This letter is directed at the students of Cal Poly. How many of you know that a fellow student, Keith Ourneo, is running for city councilman? A man who had the courage to step out of the crowd because he felt the majority of citizens were not being represented. All of you live here a large part of the year, don't you think you deserve some representation in the community? After all you spend $20 million dollars here every year, build parks, conduct numerous volunteer drives, and conduct free studies for the city. With all this we have no representation.

One third of the registered voters in this town are students, yet we have the poorest voting record. . . .I have one other candidate laugh in my face and tell me "You couldn't organize the students to put any one on the council." What do you say? The election is April 20. There is much to be done and do we need the help. If you can help call 544-8859 or come to a campaign meeting Monday, 8 p.m., corner of Islay and Carmel. Be there if you care.

Warren Chabot

Mission Stationery
Xerox
Copy Service
770 Higuera, 544-9395

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Apathy elects council

Once upon a time, in the late great state of California, there was a series of great and noble institutions called "State Colleges." In order that the "State Colleges" were channeled in the right direction, a system of objectives and goals was created by a governing unit called the "Board of Trustees."

Ideally, the "Board of Trustees" were not to be bogged in politics, for that would effect their decisions. Fear of political reprisal might be a factor that could cause an unfortunate decision.

Gov. Ronald Reagan has recently made three new appointments to the "Board of Trustees": two 60-year old Republicans and an avowed conservative football hero. The conservative, Dr. John McColl, tried for a Congressional seat last year but lost out to a John Birch Society Member.

There are now ten members on the board that are there because of appointment by Reagan. Most of the members have backgrounds steeped in business and law. Not too many have a feel for the workings of a system of education.

They passed a budget for the state colleges. Gov. Reagan saw fit to hack away at this budget until all that was left was a remnant. Programs were dropped. Teachers will be leaving if the budget is not met, class loads will become even worse. Equipment will be naught. There will be no faculty raises.

Now, when it is important for the trustees to actively oppose the cuts, there is merely a token whisper. The present Board of Trustees is not what we need. We need a board that will work in the interest of education—not politics.

How the state college can bear up to a bare bones budget as Reagan proposes can only be hypothesized at this point. But one thing is for sure—the education of the state college student will suffer and that is no fable.
THE WORLD IS FULL OF BEAUTIFUL PEOPLE AND PLACES.
To help you get there, TWA introduces the Getaway* Program.

If you could dig hitch-hiking across Spain or camping along a road in England. Staying at a chateau in France or living near a beach in California. TWA brings you Getaway.

First there’s the Getaway* Card. With it, you can charge airfare, hotels, meals, cars, just about anything just about anywhere. And then take up to two years to pay.

There’s also the Youth Passport* Card. It lets you fly at 33 1/3% off on all domestic flights on a standby basis.

It also gives you reduced rates at many places you’ll be staying.

Youth Passport is good on 20 other airlines. It costs $3 and it’s available to anyone between 12 and 21. And if you send in the coupon, we’ll send you the Getaway Vacation Kit.

It has the independent Getaway Brochure. For those who would rather travel by themselves than with a group.

And it has applications for both the Youth Passport and Getaway Card.

Mail in the coupon for TWA’s free Getaway Vacation Kit.

And find out how easy getting away really is.

The Getaway Program

U.S.A./EUROPE/ASIA/PACIFIC/AFRICA

TWA, P.O. Box 465, Farmingdale, N.Y. 11735

Please send me TWA’s free Getaway Vacation Kit.

Name ____________________________

Address ___________________________

City State Zip

If you send in the coupon, we’ll send you the Getaway Book, a 224 page look at 19 of the great cities of the world.

Three brochures, one on America, one on Europe, and a third on Africa, Asia, the Orient, and the Pacific.

It has the independent Getaway Brochure. For those who would rather travel by themselves than with a group.

And it has applications for both the Youth Passport and Getaway Card.

Mail in the coupon for TWA’s free Getaway Vacation Kit.

And find out how easy getting away really is.
Architect defends Union

by Evan Davis

The design and concept of the College Union was discussed with a critical and receptive audience by architect Joseph Esherick because of the unique design of the building. Esherick believes that the traditional idea of a "student" union, instead of fulfilling a "college community" role, is also open to interested students.

Esherick explained that the student to the building should be a dynamic relationship of the student to the building should be a dynamic one. This is not your building, he said; it's ours, and we want you to use it.

The speaker constantly returned to the Bible and its teachings for Christ or you are against Him. Later that evening, winding up a series of conversations in the auditorium, Hanna referred to comments about the "total" acceptance of Christianity. "I am responsible for myself only before God," Hanna said. "It is not possible to help people who will not use it in the future. This is not my office, but a medium through which it passed," in becoming a reality.

Hanna explained to the crowded SAC positions

Nominations are now being taken by the School of Science and Mathematics (SSM) council for the positions of Student Affairs (SAC) representatives. The applicants must be in a major of the SSM and must also plan to attend Cal Poly for the next entire school year. The SAC representatives will be voting members of both SAC and the SSM council. They will represent the needs of the students of both the SSM and the SAC council in all matters dealing with SAC. Applications for this position will be available in any department office within SAC. All application forms must be turned in by 4 p.m. April 19 to the department desks. All nominations will then be reviewed by the SAC council. Interviews will be held by the SAC council on April 20 for all applicants to meet at 8 p.m. The election for the two SAC positions will be held on May 12 and 13 by the campus community.

The meeting will be open to students who would like to attend and discuss the design of the building. This is our building, he said, and we want you to use it.

Bulldogs host Netters in duel

A busy week awaits Mustang netters this week as they travel to Fresno to compete in the second CCAA round robin tournament for the Mustangs this year.

The Mustangs will be out for revenge against the Bulldogs, but the match will be more than just a match on the court. On the Mustangs' home court the Fresno team beat the locals 7-2. But the Mustangs will be out for revenge because of last week's tournament in which they finished second to Valley State, after winning three matches in the tournament.

For Sale

For Sale

WANTED: Brown Rabbit, chinchilla, ferret, baby chick, lady bug, or a pet. Last time I asked for help we were successful. If anyone is interested, it is a brown rabbit. 608-0360.

Bello's Sporting Goods

886 Monterey St. B.L.O. 643-2197

For Sale

For Sale

WANTED: White Rabbit, chinchilla, ferret, baby chick, lady bug, or a pet. Last time I asked for help we were successful. If anyone is interested, it is a white rabbit. 608-0360.

Bello's Sporting Goods

886 Monterey St. B.L.O. 643-2197

Intramurals

Competition for league bowling and basketball begins tonight and will continue throughout the quarter. According to intramural director Dick Haaston.

Also on the agenda is a meeting for softball at 4:30 p.m. in the Men's Gym. Haaston also added. An added attraction may be added to this week's schedule. Haaston said that requests for softball have been voiced. If anyone is interested in this activity, he should contact Haaston in the Men's Gym 104.