Three One-Act Plays Will Be Produced Next Week

The Poly Summer Stock announces the presentation of three one-act plays, Friday and Saturday nights at 8 p.m. and Sunday at 2:30 p.m. in the Little Theater on the Cal Poly campus.

The cast includes members of the newly organized, English Department. Student participation will be encouraged. The plays are "Hello Out There," by William Saroyan, "Fusilom" by Noel Coward, and "The Cross Is Made." by: Paul Golding.

Cal Poly Cowboys Defend National Championship

Cal Poly's national rodeo champions have won the annual All-American meet in St. Louis. The team is in the top four of the nation's great rodeo organizations.

John Nelson, Business Manager, the Rodeo Team's sponsor, stated that the team has been well-prepared with a full catering crew, and that the entire team is ready to face the competition.

The team plans to compete in the upcoming State Bronc Riding meet, which is expected to attract top riders from across the country. The meet will be held at the local rodeo arena on Saturday, August 22nd.

Workshop Presents Bill Pope Lague

Cal Poly's new gymnasium will be the site of a workshop presented by Bill Pope Lague, first director of the physical education department at the Los Angeles Athletic Club.

The workshop will focus on the integration of physical education into the regular school curriculum, and will address the needs of both students and teachers.

The workshop will be held at the Cal Poly gymnasium on Saturday, August 22nd, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. Attendance is free, and registration is required. Contact the Activities Office for more information.
Smokers Find Floors Fine But Dilemma For Poor Custodian

"The Cupidolical Department is in charge of keeping 850,000 square feet of floor to be maintained clean by the custodians. The 850,000 square feet is on 144 acres of 10,000 square feet each floor..."

On "Winter" is a rich story. The Christ-Judas conflict..."...But he does it very well indeed..."

The biggest problem the department has is with people lighting..."...On the other hand, there are foreign students who are real hard workers,..."

With this, John Steinbeck begins..."...With the end justifying the means..."...But it is the message. Steinbeck acts as..."

"The Winter of Our Discontent" is a rich story. The Christ-Judas conflict..."...But he does it very well indeed..."

The biggest problem the department has is with people lighting..."...On the other hand, there are foreign students who are real hard workers,..."

With this, John Steinbeck begins..."...With the end justifying the means..."...But it is the message. Steinbeck acts as..."

The biggest problem the department has is with people lighting..."...On the other hand, there are foreign students who are real hard workers,..."

With this, John Steinbeck begins..."...With the end justifying the means..."...But it is the message. Steinbeck acts as..."
New Order For The World

In judging the reactions to the editorials that have been written in the past two weeks, I have discovered, among those with whom I have disagreed with them, the following citations: (a) that I am a communist; (b) that I am an appeaser and a coward who would surrender anything for life. Typical of these citations are the letters to the editor which, which are logical and reasoned statements of the general prevailing temperament in regard to military preparedness. In this final article, I would like to refute these criticisms and summarize this editorial series.

First, the Berlin situation is irrelevant. Regardless of how it is solved, its counterpart will arise in Laos, in Siam, in Vietnam, in the Congo, in Angola, and in other areas where the principle of self-determination is being defended. The principle of self-determination is an integral part of the modern world, and it is not going to be set aside by any power that has political influence in the world.

Second, the struggle between the U.S. and the U.S.S.R. is irrelevant. In 1941, it was the U.S. and Germany; in 1941, the Triple Alliance and the Trieste; in 1806, Napoleon and the Holy Roman Empire; it is not difficult to find an analogy in history. After communism and capitalism are anachronistic as feudalism and mercantilism, one can assume that, if disaster has not struck, systems will oppose each other.

This difference—this competition—is really a desirable one, for from it has come progress, as it has been sustained as a civilised thing, it contributes, or even causes, the advancement of man. However, on an international scale, it is barbarism—disputing, wasteful, thoroughly unnecessary and it never has been civilised, and it is not going to change form. Therefore, when an end to word disputes is totally unthinkable, for no longer will war be restricted to Europe or to any other area. War is an evil thing, it is an evil that has been committed in a series of internecine holocausts, consuming, destroying the human race. If the U.S. and U.S.S.R. come to an understanding, it is imminent, mankind will end.

Now, perhaps freedom is worth this. However, as individuals, we are able to live in freedom without resorting to the need for a power to protect us. We need not adapt ourselves to any other solution to our difficulties. Why is it necessary for us to be so afraid of the other side? Why cannot we adapt ourselves to any other solution to our difficulties? Why is it necessary for us to be so afraid of the other side? Why cannot we adapt ourselves to any other solution to our difficulties?

As a nation, we run from trouble to trouble to trouble spot, stamping fearfully whenever Khrushchev cracks the whip. But can we not take the offense? Can we not propose something so new, so that the Russians, the Chinese, the neutrals, would be thrown into a dilemma much more pressing than that of Berlin? If we were to commit our troops to a logical and consistent end, and prove our willingness to say that we were done with war as a means of international adjudication and were to henceforth rely upon law, we would not confuse beyond belief our enemies, and bind to us the majority of the world's peoples, which have enough troubles waking and sleeping to worry about nuclear disaster as well. Of course we would. Indeed, the finest minds of our government.

If we were, as a major world power, denote the cancer of war history would remember us as the finest people. We who have recognized the dignity of the individual, we who have established a government based upon freedom, we who materially improving the standards of living would not be permitted to perpetrate what is inhumane freedom under the law as a truly practical concept, can now perform a task; to make mankind, we are too good to engage in war any longer. The time is now, America—God and man are watching. Our lives and the lives of men are being lived in the balance. We can, if we so choose, industriously and destroy mankind with us, or we can march, proud and strong, and free, with the rest of humanity following.

D.M.
Dear Mr. D.M.,

Your editorial of August 11th has prompted me to write this open letter to you. The question posed was one that our leaders have been asking for many years. Why should we stand in Berlin? I ask you a question, Mr. D.M., do we make a stand against world domination? Should we stand in the English Channel, the Atlantic Ocean, or should we stand at the several shores of America? What do you propose we do, Mr. D.M., shall the Khrushchev be to a good little tyrant and allow the world to be his? You are one of those who has the freedom, paid in full. I, for one, will never sell my beliefs in Americanism for anything less. In their name I say to you, and people like you and me, no matter the cost of liberty, it is now we should stand. I, Mr. D.M., would rather die an American than live a communist slave.

We can no longer run, we can no longer look away, you and I, Mr. D.M., we will no longer allow the enemy to threaten our country with their missiles and their word.

May I conclude with this advice to you? The next time you have a chance, I want you to pull yourself away from your typewriter and walk out in front of the administration building. There you will find a flagpole. Again that flag pole flies not only a flag, but a symbol of our country, symbol of the world, symbol of the free world, symbol of the children and youth of the world.

ej

Mr. D.M., I assume that you are an American, I assume you believe in the principles that Americans throughout the years have given their lives for. If you do, then on what grounds do you stand? I ask you to question our right to enjoy what we have been battling for from the 4th of July of 1776 until the day of June 26, 1964. American and combined allied forces pushed British at Normandy, France. This was the liberation of Europe from another man who thought he could scare the world. Mr. D.M., I ask you once again to stand.

We have the freedom, and regardless of who is in power, we must never lose it.

Robert H. Rale

P.S. I say to you, and people like you and me, no matter the cost of liberty, it is now we should stand.
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