Academic Senate
California State Polytechnic College
San Luis Obispo

MINUTES
8 April 1969

A. Meeting called to order by Rod Keif at 3:15 p.m.

B. ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W. Alexander</th>
<th>H. Finch</th>
<th>A. Higdon</th>
<th>D. Nickell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W. Anderson</td>
<td>C. Fisher</td>
<td>H. Honegger</td>
<td>R. Pautz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Andreini</td>
<td>R. Frost</td>
<td>C. Johnson</td>
<td>C. Piper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Andreoli</td>
<td>G. Furimsky</td>
<td>R. Keif</td>
<td>D. Price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Andrews</td>
<td>V. Gates</td>
<td>D. Koberg</td>
<td>G. Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Asbury</td>
<td>C. Gibson</td>
<td>L. Lewellyn</td>
<td>A. Rosen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Beymer</td>
<td>M. Gold</td>
<td>B. Loughran</td>
<td>E. Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Chizek</td>
<td>D. Grant</td>
<td>J. Lowry</td>
<td>J. Stuart</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Clogston</td>
<td>S. Harden</td>
<td>T. Meyers</td>
<td>H. Walker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Federer</td>
<td>R. Harris</td>
<td>H. Miles</td>
<td>A. Wirshup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B. Mounts</td>
<td>V. Wolcott</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guests: Jim Simmons Warren Smith

C. M/S/U - A. Higdon / M. Gold
To accept Minutes as distributed with following corrections:

1. p. 11, #6 - "rejected 11/19" in error and should be deleted.
2. p. 13 mid page change "respect" to "reflect".
3. p. 3, (B. Loughran) change "objectives" to "objective".

D. REPORTS

1. Cal Poly's Educational Project, Thailand (Warren Smith).

2. ASCSC (W. Anderson) - Report from March Meeting is being distributed. Two items of particular interest:
   a. The motion to withhold academic service was tabled.
   b. The possibility of a bicameral CSC Legislative System comprised of faculty and students is being considered.

E. ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Legislative analyst has hinted of a new formula for determination of class room/student ratio. This item has been assigned to the Budget Committee for study and comment.
Minutes - Academic Senate - 8 April 1969

2. Personnel Policy Committee (R. Wheeler, S. Hardeman, A. Rosen, J. Edmisten, E. Stoffel and two ASI Representatives) is commissioned to make recommendation re policy of faculty disciplinary procedure according to guidelines from Chancellor's Office.

3. (R. Keif) - The Academic Senate's reaction in updating the Academic Master Plan reflected college-wide consideration rather than that of vested interest. A letter from the President expressed appreciation to the Senate for maintaining as its goal a united college rather than to fragment it into component parts.

4. Joint Assembly (Academic and Staff Senates) to convene 3:15 p.m. 6 May in Staff Dining Hall.

F. BUSINESS ITEMS

1. Election Committee (C. Johnson) (This report is also a business item in order to receive nominations from floor as deemed necessary by the Senate.)

   a. Grievance Committee men elected on 7 March 1969 will commence tour of duty September 1969:

      L. Sankoff W. Ward
      A. Butzbach J. Richardson
      A. Rosen

   b. 15 April 1969 is Election Day for:

      1. Academic Senators
      2. Alternates and Members to Personnel Review Committee
      3. One Senator ASCSC

   c. Officer candidates for 69-70 Academic Senate (Chairman, V. Chairman, Secretary) are by constitution presented at this April Meeting; the election to be held in May. Nomination from the floor are acceptable at either meeting. Candidates:

      Chairman - D. Grant
      Vice-Chairman - M. Gold
      (No nominations from floor)

(R. Keif) - the Election Committee will accept 1 May as cut-off date for nominations in order to have adequate time for circulation of slate prior to the May Meeting.
2. Revision of By-laws. p.9, 4-B, Fairness Board (second reading) —
"The procedure to be followed and the problems to be considered
shall be as approved by the Academic Senate and published as a
document entitled "Fairness Board". Changes in the document
shall be made by the Senate upon recommendation of the Student
Affairs Committee. Cases involving discrimination shall be
referred to the Discrimination Study Committee. The Chairman of
the Fairness Board shall report, in writing, a summary of its
actions to the Academic Senate annually."

Motion was accepted unanimously.

3. M/S/U D. Grant / W. Anderson

"To move to a business item the establishment of an ad hoc
committee on academic structure and organization."

(Accepted unanimously)

4. M/S/P (scattered noes and abstentions) D. Grant / C. Johnson

"That the Chairman appoint an ad hoc committee on academic structure
and organization, such committee to consist of seven members —
one representative from each of the academic schools, one
representative from the administrative personnel as defined in the
By-laws, Art. I, Sec. B and one member nominated by the ASI
President — to review the structure and alignment of present
departments and schools, to review future needs of the College, and
to make a preliminary report at the June Meeting of appropriate
recommendations."

M/S/P (passed with scattered noes and abstentions) C. Johnson / W. Anderson

"To amend the motion to 8 members in order to include a member
from the professional consultative area."

(Accepted unanimously)

Following discussion is non verbatim:

(A. Rosen) - What is the meaning of future needs of College?
What is the difference in function between this proposed group
and the curriculum committee?

(D. Grant) - The existing curriculum committee is more concerned
with curriculum trends and catalogue copy.

(R. Keif) - The By-laws specifically delineates the Curriculum
Committee responsibility.
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(W. Anderson) - Administrative structure of the college is limited by Trustees to six schools; however, no bounds exist as to number of divisions.

(V. Gates) - How does one "review" future needs? Would "predict" have a more precise connotation?

(A. Higdon) - Even though the constitution grants us (the Senate) the right to make recommendations to the President, is it a prerogative of this group to be so liberal in advice as to the basics of structure and organization? Confronting such fundamentals may be an encroachment on executive domain.

The original motion (#4 above) passed with only a scattering of noes and abstentions.

M/S/U W. Anderson / T. Meyer

To adjourn.