CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO

ACADEMIC SENATE
AGENDA - February 8, 1977
UU 220  3:10 PM

Tom Hale, Chair
Bob Sennett, Vice Chair
Luther Hughes, Secretary


II. Announcements

III. Reports
   A. Administrative Council (Hughes)
   B. Academic Council (Sennett)
   C. Foundation Board (Hale)
   D. President's Council (Hale)
   E. CSUC Academic Senate (Olsen, Wenzl, Labhard)
   F. Ad Hoc Committee on Academic Structure and Organization (Jones)
   G. Department Head's Council (McDonnell)

IV. Committee Reports
   A. Budget (Conway)
   B. Constitution and Bylaws (McCormac)
   C. Curriculum (Cirovic)
   D. Election (McKim)
   E. Instruction (Greffenius)
   F. Personnel Policies (Bermann)
   G. Student Affairs (Culver)
   H. Gen. Ed. and Breadth Requirements (Christenson)
   I. Personnel Review (Eastham)
   J. Research (Duarte)
   K. Fairness Board (Gowgani)
   L. Faculty Library (Lutrin)
   M. Distinguished Teaching (Hensel)
   N. Long Range Planning (Dundon)
   O. Information Awareness (Kranzdorf)
   P. Faculty Sponsorship of Events (Cruikshanks)

V. Business Items
   A. Representative for Personnel Review Committee (Duarte)
   B. University Library Building Resolution (DeKleine)(Attachment V-B)
   C. Resolution Regarding Appointment of Department Chairs (Bermann)(Att. V-C)
   D. Resolution on Enrollment Growth (Kersten)(Attachment V-D)
   E. Faculty Review of Enrollment Quotas (Olsen)(Attachment V-E)

VI. Discussion Items
FACULTY LIBRARY COMMITTEE
UNIVERSITY LIBRARY BUILDING RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the Governor has not included funding for the Cal Poly University Library in his 1977-78 Capital Outlay Program Budget, and

WHEREAS, continued efforts since 1965 have been unsuccessful in securing adequate library space even though architectural drawings were completed in 1970-71, and

WHEREAS, the present University Library was built to accommodate 6,600 FTE students and is now unable to adequately serve the 14,200 FTE students and 835 FTE faculty, and the many research needs of the Central Coast region, and

WHEREAS, the seating capacity of the present facility was intended to accommodate 1,650 reading stations, and only 1,051 (7.4% of FTE) substandard stations are now available, and accepted national standards justify 3,550 reader stations (25% of FTE), and the California State University and Colleges standards justify 2,840 stations (20% of FTE), and

WHEREAS, the planned volume capacity of the library building was set at 150,000 volumes, which currently house 1,441,361 items, including 486,332 volumes, and the addition of materials received at the current rate of 135,462 items, including 35,132 volumes per year, will cause the deletion of 60 to 80 seats per year, and

WHEREAS, 70,000 volumes have been placed in closed access storage due to the extreme congestion of the bookstacks, thus limiting accessibility to part of the collection. Further delay will necessitate a reduction in the number of subscriptions, elimination of some current holdings, and/or curtailment of services, and

WHEREAS, the University could jeopardize its accreditation in various programs because of its lack of minimal library facilities, and

WHEREAS, the present overcrowded and counter-productive conditions within the Library discourage effective and maximum use of the library's excellent resources by both students and faculty, and

WHEREAS, even if the building were presently funded, a two-year construction period will now make these conditions even more intolerable and unmanageable, and

WHEREAS, the original building as planned in 1970-71 has more than doubled in cost because of inflationary construction costs which will continue to spiral, and

Attachment V-B
WHEREAS, the Faculty Library Committee and the Academic Senate have previously urged that the library receive the highest construction priority, and vigorous support by the President in securing funding for a new library building, and

WHEREAS, the Faculty Library Committee believes there can no longer be a delay in construction, even one year, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the Academic Senate be urged to solicit the assistance of appropriate administrators, all faculty, all staff, all students, the faculty and staff organizations, the student affairs council, and local state legislators in pursuing immediate funding for the building, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Academic Senate request President Kennedy to inform the Senate of his specific program of action for securing funding for a new University Library building this fiscal year, and to report on the progress.
RESOLUTION REGARDING APPOINTMENT OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEPARTMENT CHAIRS

RESOLVED: That the following proposed CAM 315.5 changes be made:

315.5 Appointment of Instructional Department Chairs

A. Instructional department chairs are members of the academic departments who are directly responsible to the appropriate school dean for administration of their respective departments. They are appointed by the University President for four year terms renewable after departmental consultation. The President will consult with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and the dean of the school to which the department is assigned, the departmental faculty, and any other individual or group as considered necessary in reaching a decision on instructional department chair appointments. The dean will inform the faculty of all pertinent personnel information governing the appointment of the department chair.

B. Variations in department sizes suggest flexible guidelines governing faculty participation in the consultative process. Consequently, the department, by majority vote of the full-time faculty (tenured and probationary), will recommend for approval by the school dean, the Vice President for Academic Affairs, and the President, procedures for the selection of nominees for the department chair position. At least three nominees acceptable to the department are to be selected and presented to the President through the school dean and the Vice President for Academic Affairs. In the event that these procedures require the creation of ad hoc committees, their duties and membership shall be determined by a majority vote of the full-time faculty.

C. The department's full-time faculty may decide, by two-thirds majority vote, not to follow the guidelines specified in "B" above. In that case, the school dean will confer with the President or a designee in order to determine the nature and extent of the consultative procedures to be followed. In this case....

D. The appointment of the acting, interim or temporary department chairs will also be made by the University President following consultation with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and the appropriate school dean and the department faculty. Consultation with the department faculty in selecting an acting, interim or temporary department chair will not follow the extensive procedure outlined for regular, instructional department chair appointments.
Resolution on Enrollment Growth

Whereas, a great deal of campus-wide discussion and concern was developed in 1975 when the three-year enrollment targets were adopted, and;

Whereas, the enrollment target of 13,800 FTE has been raised to 14,200 FTE without prior discussion with Academic Senate representatives, and;

Whereas, there is insufficient classroom and associated faculty office space to adequately teach this number of students, and;

Whereas, the size of the total university and its composition by major is of vital concern to all faculty, therefore be it

Resolved: that the Academic Senate requests that its Executive Committee be consulted on future changes in the total university enrollment targets and their breakdown by school/division prior to a final decision on such changes.

Attachment V-D
WHEREAS, Section 22606 of the Education Code reads that "The primary function of the state university and colleges is the provision of instruction for undergraduate students and graduate students, through the master's degree, in the liberal arts and sciences, in applied fields and in professions, including the teaching profession. ...;" and

WHEREAS, Section 24751 of the Education Code reads that "In addition to the functions provided by Section 22606 the California Polytechnic State University shall be authorized to emphasize the applied fields of agriculture, engineering, business, home economics and other occupational and professional fields. ...;" and

WHEREAS, Administrative Bulletin 71-1 ENROLLMENT QUOTAS: POLICY AND PROCEDURES (March 15, 1971) stipulated that the Academic Senate be included in the review process of determining basic guidelines for the university annual growth rate and distribution of enrollment by school ...; and

WHEREAS, Administrative Bulletin 73-1 (Superseded AB 71-1) POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR ENROLLMENT QUOTAS (February 13, 1973) deleted the reference to the role of the Academic Senate in the review process relative to school quotas; and

WHEREAS, The current POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR ENROLLMENT QUOTAS (AB 74-3 dates April 15, 1974) does not identify the Academic Senate as an integral part of the review process; and

WHEREAS, Both the Bylaws and the Constitution of the Academic Senate stipulate that the Academic Senate must be involved in the consultative process regarding the formulation of policies and procedures involving academic government; therefore be it now

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate of the California Polytechnic State University be included in the review process of campus policy regarding school enrollment quotas; and be it further

RESOLVED: That the Academic Senate encourage all members of the faculty and members of the administration to take note again of the challenge and opportunity inherent in Education Code Section 24751 wherein it states that the emphasized areas are IN ADDITION TO the primary function of the state universities as outlined in section 22606.