ACADEMIC SENATE
AGENDA - January 11, 1977
UU 220 3:10 PM

Tom Hale, Chair
Bob Sennett, Vice Chair
Luther Hughes, Secretary

I. Minutes - Academic Senate - November 9, 1976.

II. Announcements

III. Reports
   A. Administrative Council (Hughes)
   B. Academic Council (Sennett)
   C. Foundation Board (Labhard)
   D. President's Council (Hale)
   E. Ad Hoc Committee on Academic Structure and Organization (Jones)
   F. Department Head's Council (McDonnell)

IV. Committee Reports
   A. Budget (Conway)                     I. Personnel Review (Eastham)
   B. Constitution and Bylaws (McCormac)  J. Research (Duarte)
   C. Curriculum (Cirovic)                K. Fairness Board (Gowgani)
   D. Election (McKim)                    L. Faculty Library (Lutrin)
   E. Instruction (Greffenius)            M. Distinguished Teaching (Hensel)
   F. Personnel Policies (Bermann)        N. Long Range Planning (Dundon)
   G. Student Affairs (Culver)            O. Information Awareness (Kranzdorf)
   H. Gen. Ed. and Breadth Requirements   P. Faculty Sponsorship of Events (Gichowski)
                        (Christenson)                     Q. Implementation of ACR-70 (Riedlsperger)

V. Business Items
   A. Representatives for Personnel Review Committee (Dundon/Duarte)
   B. Resolution Regarding the Implementation of ACR-70 (Riedlsperger) (Att. V-B)
   C. Parking Resolution (Cirovic) (Attachment V-C)
   D. Resolution Regarding Definition of Grades (Greffenius) (Attachment V-D)

VI. Discussion Items
RESOLVED: That the following proposed CAM 342.2 changes be made:

j. Notices to faculty of approval of promotion, pending availability of funds, or nonpromotion are sent by the University President by May 1.

(Insert between 342.2 B 2 and 342.2 C.)

3. Procedure for Establishing a Priority List of Those Approved for Promotion by the University President

a. Because external fiscal constraints may impose limitations of funds for promotions, funds will be divided among the departments/programs by applying the wage-base formula used by the State to distribute funds among the campuses.

b. The appropriate group within a department/program will establish, in consultation with the department head, a priority list of its candidates for promotion based upon an evaluation of their relative merit.

c. If funds allocated to the department/programs are insufficient to support all promotions approved by the President, then those denied promotion due to lack of funds will be considered as approved for promotion the following year. Unless the appropriate group within the department/program the following year wishes to recognize another individual who is exceptionally meritorious by placing him/her at the top of the priority list, then those approved for promotion the previous year, but denied due to insufficiency of funds, will be placed at the top of the priority list, while retaining their priority order.

d. Unused funds within departments/programs will be pooled within the school and allocated sequentially to support the least cost promotions until funds are expended, except in the event that a department has insufficient funds to support even one promotion. In this case the unused funds will be pooled to support one promotion in that department.