I. Minutes  The minutes were approved as distributed.

II. Announcements

A. Election of Senate officers will take place later in the meeting.

III. Reports

A. Academic Council (Keif)

Business Items: (a) Senate proposal concerning the definition of grades positively reacted to with small changes to definitions of credit/no credit; (b) Degree review made of programs.

Discussion Items: (a) Future of Academic Council discussed with a probable indication of change evident by tone of discussion; (b) Consideration of changing from four quarter system to a trimester system.

B. Administrative Council (Harris)

Discussion Items: (a) Poly Royal assessment with coordination and a few safety items the most significant items; (b) Discussion of conversion of library and the fiscal difficulties of adding back into the budget with delay of construction tied to budgetary delays; (c) Computer changeover will proceed on schedule with dual systems running for nine months to minimize problems.

C. CSUC Academic Senate (Hale, Riedlsperger, Weatherby)

Proposed items of interest at next meeting: (a) Teaching and professional activity; (b) Collective bargaining; (c) Overload compensation; (d) Raising admissions requirements for both English and Mathematics.

D. President's Council (Kersten)

No meeting since the last Senate meeting.

IV. Committee Reports

A. Long Range Planning (Simmons)

Suggestions for input to possible change consideration for quarters versus semesters desired; please send to Jim Simmons, English.

All other reports based on written information submitted to Senate Office.
V. Business Items

A. Resolution Regarding the Role of Research at Cal Poly (Dingus)

Comments against the resolution: Doesn't deal comprehensively with professional development and is thereby shortsighted. Concern was expressed that the report was really a pro report, rather than an objective reporting of all opinions concerning research. The report does not deal with personnel decisions which might be implied if research was emphasized.

Comments for the resolution: Personnel issues should be separated from the philosophy, although they should be addressed later.

Information item: Chuck Slem has completed an initial bibliographical search which indicates that there is a very weak relationship between good research and good teaching.

M/S/P (Dingus, Sharp) to accept the resolution. Vote was 44 yes, 10 no, and 2 abstentions.

B. Resolution Regarding Consultation on Catalog (Harris)

Following are changes to Resolved clause: Add underlined words: "proposals which it believes to be . . . or which it believes have no defendable . . ."

M/S/P (Harris, Kranzdorf) to accept the resolution.

C. Resolution Regarding Student Withdrawal from Class After the Census Date (Stowe)

Changes: Deletion of second Resolved clause. Amendment: To insert the number "5" in place of the following words in the Resolved clause, "a strictly limited number of . . ." Question of the distribution of how the five withdrawals would be distributed. M/S/F (Dingus, Mosher) to approve the amendment.

On the main motion: Those in favor stated that it should truly be the student's decision as to what is in his best interest. Those against the motion said it leaves too much latitude in the hands of the Trustees as to what would be implemented. M/S/F (Stowe, Rockman) to approve the main motion. The vote was 25 yes, 26 no, and 1 abstention.

D. Resolution Regarding Procedures to Develop the General Education and Breadth Requirements (Wenzl)

Background: The entire process is mandated by the Trustees. The process would involve four specific phases: outcomes, knowledge and skills, identification of courses and course sequences, and a plan to administrate GE & B on the campus.
Questions/Comments: A concern over ascertaining that a large amount of time at the end exists so that Phase III has an adequate amount of time. Placing time certain deadlines for each phase was suggested as a way of minimizing this problem. In Phase II, no mention of attitudes is made in the title of the phase. The specific ways in which faculty input may be made is not clear in Phase I, nor is the specific ways in which changes are to be suggested. Concern if proposal might be sequenced in such a manner that certain phases might be approved. As it now stands, the proposal must be accepted or rejected as a whole. Are the time frames listed realistic when applied to catalog printing schedules?

Senate Officer Elections

M/S/P (Riedlsperger, Keif) by a vote of acclamation for Tim Kersten to be elected as Chair.

M/S/P (Hill, Al-Hadad) by a vote of acclamation for Ron Brown to be elected as Vice Chair.

M/S/P (Keif, Stowe) by a vote of acclamation for Harry Sharp to be elected as Secretary.