Chair, Tim Kersten  
Vice Chair, Rod Keif  
Secretary, John Harris  

I. Minutes  
The minutes were approved as distributed.  

II. Announcements  
The next Senate meeting will be on February 3 instead of February 10 in UU 220 at 3:00 PM. Please mark your calendars.  

III. Reports  
A. Academic Council (Keif)  
1. Approved a procedure for the dropping of an academic program.  
2. Specific type of courses approved for credit/no credit designation.  
3. Discussed the Senate's and Howard West's proposals concerning the Athletic Advisory Commission. No decision was made.  
4. Curriculum process, as submitted by the Senate's resolution of 1980, was discussed.  
5. Continued discussion of the placement of the terminology "With Distinction" on the transcripts of those graduating with the appropriate grade point average.  

B. Administrative Council (Harris)  
There has not been a meeting since the last Senate meeting.  

C. CSUC Academic Senate (Hale, Riedlsperger, Weatherby)  
1. Salary schedule situation continues with two proposals being passed by the CSUC Academic Senate. The entire issue of the propriety of salary changes while the collective bargaining situation is taking place clouds the issue. Attempts were made to separate the merit step increase from the marketplace problem. Several possible solutions to salary are being proposed by the Trustees: merit only with steps added; marketplace only; and a composite of the two.  

D. President's Council (Kersten)  
1. The Governor's budget definitely represents a cut ($34,000,000) below the Trustees' budget for capital expenditures. It is apparent that the Governor has not allocated all possible monies available for capital expenditures to higher education.  
2. The loss of faculty on this campus is felt to be principally based upon change in mode levels as FTE and FTEF have remained very close to previous years.  
3. Other criteria than solely CSUC system criteria will be utilized on this campus for admission.  
4. Development Director for the University has been hired with a beginning date of February 2, 1981. The function of the individual and his office is to raise money for the university.
Executive Committee Meeting with Chancellor Dumke

General topics discussed were: technical support budget, professional development within the CSUC system, the salary proposal, space limitation problems on campus, the capital budget as proposed by the Governor and the specific impact on this campus. Overall impression of the meeting was that it was worthwhile to make the Chancellor aware of specific issues affecting this campus.

IV. Committee Reports

A. Budget (Conway)

Governor’s budget: losing 57 positions statewide, a minus eight percent increase in capital expenditures when inflation is calculated, no statement concerning the salary proposal. Topics the committee will address: modal level determination of faculty funding, Chancellor’s salary proposal, allocation for student/technical clerical levels, tuition and the cost for students.

B. Curriculum (Harris)

The committee is considering a proposal dealing with changes to curriculum after catalog deadlines.

C. Election (Al-Hadad)

Election of those members to serve on the School of Agriculture Dean Selection Committee was conducted during the week of January 12-16. Percentages of voting were: Ag-NRM, 89%; Business, 74%; CA&H, 71%; HD&E, 54%; Sci-Math, 64%. The Schools of Engr/Tech and Architecture held their own elections.

D. General Education and Breadth (Wenzl)

A draft from the subcommittee of the GE&B is being discussed for final submission to the Senate concerning recommendations for the Interim Period.

E. Personnel Policies (Goldenberg)

Peer review policies for post-tenure review should be developed by each department utilizing Dr. Jones’ letter as a starting point.

F. Personnel Review (Stallard)

Sabbatical leave packages evaluated by the committee and sent forth for administrative decisions.

G. Research (Dingus)

Still working on document "Role of Research at Cal Poly"; evaluation of CARE grants taking place; encouraged all Senate members to attend the Soil Conservation Service’s Conference on Energy.
V. Business Items

M/S/P (Wenzl, Fitts) to reorder the agenda by moving the existing Item F in front of the existing Item D.

A. Resolution Regarding Survey of Graduates (Simmons)

**Background:** No systematic university-wide retrieval system of graduates and their placement exists. Many departments collect information but no central clearinghouse exists.

**Amendment:** M/S/P (Andrews, Glassco) to add after the last RESOLVED clause: "be available to members of the University community." Remove the words "those responsible for long-range planning."

Specific concerns expressed during discussion: total cost, source of money for funding, how information to be used, the rigidity of sampling procedure, time certain of the entire process undergoing an evaluation, sampling procedure and the problem of nonresponse.

M/S/P (LaSalle, Bermann) to refer the resolution back to committee because of both cost and evaluation of the entire process concerns. 26 yes, 24 no.

B. Guidelines for Withdrawal from Classes After the Census Date (Brown)

M/S/P (Brown, Stowe) to accept the resolution. History: Passed by the Academic Council several years ago. An attempt to reinsert advisor in sequence; make advisor and instructor play only an advisory role; settle decisions with department head; giving specific guideline of what are serious and compelling reasons; and to publish in both CAM and the catalog for widest university awareness.

**Amendment:** M/S/F (Goldenberg, Stowe) to completely change #4 under Guidelines for Serious and Compelling Reasons, Other to read: "Correcting of faculty or administrative error as verified by the appropriate department head or by the Registrar shall be accomplished by the use of a change of grade card to "no grade."" Concern was expressed that we may be rewarding those students who are dishonest otherwise. M/S/P (Garner, Stowe) to remove item #4 from the motion. M/S/P (Sharp, Goldenberg) to close debate. The motion passed as amended.

C. Resolution to Modify Dates for Personnel Actions (Goldenberg)

Proposal reviewed by the committee and the dates are felt to be mutually acceptable. M/S/P (Goldenberg, Brown) to accept the resolution.

D. Resolution Regarding University Resources and Controversial Information (Beecher)

**Background:** New thrust being made by President Baker to stimulate discussion concerning controversial subjects through the use of his office. Because of this new thrust, the Senate should desire to share in the authorization of controversial information.

Specific concerns: May crush spontaneity by procedures; what level might such scrutiny go to: schools, departments, etc; what do the following terms mean, controversial, pertinent, review, material (extent of); seem to be making a law about what can be said and having even more people mandating the law rather than nobody at all; maybe just leaving the issue alone altogether.