Chair, Max Riedlsperger  
Vice Chair, Stu Goldenberg  
Secretary, Allan Cooper  

The meeting was called to order at 3:10 PM by Chair, Max Riedlsperger.

I. Minutes - The minutes were approved as distributed.

II. Announcements

A. The E.O.A.C. is canvassing the Schools for nominations. These nominations are due Friday December 7, 1980.

B. Sherry will be on medical leave for the month of December. There will be someone working in the Academic Senate office during the morning hours.

C. The Senate may want to establish an Ad Hoc Task Force to study the relationship between administrative and residual computing needs. Questions on this issue should be directed to Jens Pohl or any member of the Computer Advisory Committee.

III. Reports

A. CSUC Academic Senate - Wenzl

   a) The Statewide Senate has expressed concern that so few Trustees voted on the question of Student Representation on Faculty RPT. Of 24 Trustees, only 14 voted and two of those voting abstained.

IV. Committee Reports

A. General Education and Breadth - Stine

   The committee submitted for publication in the Mustang Daily a list of General Education and Breadth courses. Should anyone wish to inspect the letter on the General Education and Breadth proposal which President Baker submitted to Dumke, contact Bill Stine.

B. Research - Dingus

   The application deadline for CARE Grants is the end of January. Funding limit is $2,000.00. The Chancellor's Office has terminated the mini-Grant Program. Weatherby said that the Statewide Senate has proposed reinstatement. If anyone is interested in this issue contact Linda Jones, head of the Mini-Grant Program, in the Senate office this coming Monday between 11 & 12 AM.
V. Business Items

The Chair explained that he would like to introduce an emergency item onto the floor regarding the treatment of Iranian Students at Cal Poly after discussion of Item A. The Chair would then like to proceed to the Resolution on the Add/Drop Period as the 3rd Business Item and follow with the remaining items in the order listed. The Chair asked if there was any objection to this. There being none, the Chair proceeded with the Resolution on Academic Minors.

A. Resolution on Academic Minors

M/S/P (Conway/Gaskin) that the Academic Senate of Cal Poly State University, San Luis Obispo, endorses the concept of optional minors at Cal Poly State University.

1) Arguments in favor:
   a) The student with a minor becomes more employable.
   b) A minor will enhance the students' general education and breadth.
   c) A minor will increase the students' options.
   d) Definition of curriculum packages for minors will assist in projecting enrollment and course loads.

2) Arguments opposed:
   a) A minor suggests competency where competency cannot exist.
   b) The minor programs will further aggravate the current overload on staffing and facilities. Difficulties in scheduling and unequal demand for courses will ensue.
   c) The minors option will provoke further interdepartmental competition for student credit units.
   d) We should not initiate a program without measuring the demand for such a program.
   e) The library collections will be impacted and will require additional funding to meet this demand.

3) Clarification
   a) Seven proposals for Academic minors came in last year. Wilson expects that at least 15 proposals will come in this year.
   b) The difference between a "minor" and a "concentration" is that one may "concentrate" but not "minor" within the major field.
   c) Some clarification will be needed to determine who will coordinate the decisions regarding minimum course requirements for the completion of a minor.

The Resolution passed:
In Favor 29
Opposed 7
Abstentions 4

M/S/P (Gaskin/Slem) that the Academic Senate of California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, endorse the recommended "Revision of CAM 411 to Provide for Minor."
M/S/P (Ellerbrook/Keif) that the revision be amended to include the following after the first sentence of the third paragraph: "The minor should originate in and be coordinated by the area of specialization."

1) Arguments opposed:
   a) Some departments are not "specialized", but rather "inter-departmental" in nature.
   b) The last paragraph of the revision should cover Ellerbrook's concerns.

The motion to amend fails:
In favor 2
Opposed 38

M/S/P (Gordon/Rodger) that the revision be amended to include the following clause after the first sentence of the second paragraph: "... outside courses required by the students' courses required by the students' degree major."

1) Argument in favor: This will eliminate the option for double-counting.

The motion failed and the main motion passed.

M/S/P (Conway/Gaskin) that the Academic Senate of California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo endorses the Criteria for Evaluation Proposed Minors.

Friendly Amendments:

M/F (Hariri) that the word "quality" be inserted in place of the word "nature" in criteria #6.

M/P (Hariri) that the word "extent" be inserted in place of the word "nature" in criteria #6.

M/S/F (Keif/Gordon) To table the motion.
Ruled out of order by Chair.
Parliamentarian deems it in order.
Motion to table fails.

1) Argument in favor: Every department should have access to approval criteria for minors.

2) Arguments opposed: a) Some concern expressed about the amount of paperwork that criteria #6 will generate. b) Some felt more time was needed to develop criteria that would address themselves to reducing interdepartmental rivalries and possible increase in overall enrollments.

B. Resolution Regarding Treatment of Iranian Students at Cal Poly - Greenwald

M/S/P (Greenwald/Kranzdorf) that the Academic Senate at Cal Poly San Luis Obispo, endorses the resolution regarding the Treatment of Iranian Students at Cal Poly.
M/S/F (Begg/ ) to amend the resolution so that it reads "who behave themselves" after "Iranian students . . . " The amended motion failed.

M/S (Glassco/Stine) that the Academic Senate adopt the wording of the Statewide Resolution pertaining to this matter. The Parliamentarian rules this out of order as the original motion must first be defeated.

M/S/F (Hariri/Bermann) to amend the resolution so that it reads after the word "faculty," " . . . and all the members of Cal Poly to treat all students from other lands with traditional American hospitality." The motion failed.

Argument in favor of the main motion: The resolution is warranted as there is evidence that offensive behavior has occurred on campus.

Arguments opposed to the main motion: a) Would like to eliminate the word "foreign" from the resolution. (This was not accepted as a friendly amendment). b) The resolution suggests that Cal Poly faculty are likely to behave unprofessionally.

The main motion passed: 19 in favor, 14 opposed, 7 abstentions.

M/S/P (Kranzdorf/Greenwald) that the Chair forward this resolution to the President, the Telegram-Tribune, the Mustang Daily, and the Iranian Student Association. The motion passed.

M/S/P (Stine/Glassco) that the Academic Senate at Cal Poly, San Luis Obispo endorses the language of the Statewide Senate Resolution regarding the treatment of Iranian students. The motion passed.