CALIFORNIA POLYTECHNIC STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN LUIS OBISPO
ACADEMIC SENATE - AGENDA
SPECIAL MEETING
October 24, 1978
UU 220 3:00 PM

Chair, Max Riedisperger
Vice Chair, Linda Atwood
Secretary, Alan Foutz

I. Report from the Chair on the Meeting with Vice Chancellor Wagner

II. TIME CERTAIN: 3:20 PM - 3:50 PM

Discussion of the "Procedures for the Selection of Presidents" approved by the Board of Trustees, September 27, 1978.

III. Business Items

A. Selection of procedures for election of the faculty members to serve on the PSAC.
ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURES FOR SELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE PSAC

1. Nomination by School—Election University-wide

A nominee for the Presidential Selection Advisory Committee (PSAC) will be elected by each school/division/FCS according to the procedures specified in the Senate Bylaws, Article VII, paragraph 3b(3), (5), but by mail as permitted by paragraph 3, (6), exclusive of the dates in all cases. Voter eligibility is determined by I B 6. Eligibility for membership on the PSAC will be the same as for voter eligibility.

A university-wide election will select three members for the PSAC from the list of nine nominees according to the procedures for the selection of CSUC Statewide Senators, I B 6 d.

Prior to the election, each nominee may submit a written statement not to exceed 25 lines, which will be distributed to all eligible voters by the Academic Senate Office.

2. Nomination by School—Election by the Academic Senate

A nominee for the Presidential Selection Advisory Committee (PSAC) will be elected according to the procedures specified in the Senate Bylaws, Article VII, paragraph 3b(3), (5), but by mail as permitted by paragraph 3, (6), exclusive of the dates in all cases. Voter eligibility is determined by I B 6. Eligibility for membership on the PSAC will be the same as for voter eligibility.

An election will be held in the Academic Senate to select three members for the PSAC from the list of nine nominees, according to the usual Academic Senate secret ballot voting procedures.

Prior to the election, each nominee may submit a written statement not to exceed 25 lines. A three-minute period for the questioning of each nominee will be allowed.

3. University-wide Election

Nomination and election will occur according to the procedures for the selection of CSUC Statewide Senators, as specified in the Academic Senate Bylaws, Article VII, paragraph 3 d, exclusive of the dates in all cases. No school may have more than one representative.

Prior to the election, each nominee may submit a written statement not to exceed 25 lines, which will be distributed to all eligible voters by the Academic Senate Office.
Memorandum

To: Members of the Academic Senate

Date: October 18, 1973

From: Max E. Riedisperger, Chair
Academic Senate

Subject: Process used to develop procedures for the selection of the three faculty members to serve on the Presidential Selection Advisory Committee

On October 11, I sent a memorandum to all faculty members, deans and administrators soliciting suggestions for the procedure to be used in selecting the three faculty members for the PSAC. A total of twenty-six written responses were submitted from individuals, committees, and departments. In reviewing the suggestions, the Executive Committee isolated several areas of concern:

1. Method of Selection:
   a. A number of people suggested that the selection should be governed by functions performed by faculty members, i.e., officers of the Senate, or the CSU Senators, Distinguished Teachers, etc.
   b. By election.

2. Nomination:
   a. Some suggested that candidacy for election to the PSAC should be accomplished by nomination petition.
   b. Others supported nomination by elections in the schools/division/PCS.

3. Voter Eligibility:
   a. Some specified that all full-time faculty should have the right to vote.
   b. Others favored a restriction of the vote to tenured faculty.
   c. Some suggested that department heads, associate deans, and deans be excluded from the vote, while others favored their inclusion.

4. Candidate Eligibility:
   a. Some favored restriction to full-time tenured faculty.
   b. Some favored inclusion of department heads in eligibility for PSAC.

5. Number of Votes: All suggestions touching on this issue specified that each faculty member would have three votes in case of a university-wide election.
6. **Election:**

a. All people commenting on the mechanics of the election suggested that Academic Senate election procedures be used.

b. Some suggested the election of representatives from specific schools or groups of schools.

c. A large number favored nomination by elections in the schools/division/PCS with election of the three PSAC either in the Academic Senate or campus-wide.

d. Others favored an at-large election without regard to the disciplines of the nominees.

7. **Alternates:** All suggestions touching on this issue specified that the persons receiving the fourth and fifth highest number of votes should serve as alternates to the PSAC.