Chair, Max Riedlsperger
Vice Chair, Linda Atwood
Secretary, Alan Foutz

The meeting was called to order at 3:13 PM by Chair, Max Riedlsperger.

I. Minutes - There were no minutes.

II. Announcements
A. Hank Apfelberg has had heart by-pass surgery. He is now at home making good recovery. Phone calls and cards would be appropriate.

III. Reports
A. Academic Council - Atwood

1) Junior Level Writing Proficiency Test: Mandated by the Board of Trustees to certify Junior Level Writing Proficiency. The issue is whether to allow a student to take a 300-level English Course in lieu of a test. Argument in favor of many majors now require their students to take a 300-level English course, and is it reasonable to ask these students to take the test and course also. The argument against allowing the course to substitute for the exam is that it may turn the 300-level English Composition courses into remedial courses.

2) Criteria and Procedures for discontinuing academic programs. Quality should appear in the criteria.

3) Credit-No Credit Grades: Proposal to limit further the number of units of CR-NC courses a student can take. Proposal further suggests that students would not be able to take a support course as credit-no credit.

B. CSUC Academic Senate

Olsen: 1) Project teams from the Chancellor's Office are developing into "hit list" teams. This could be a problem in the future. 2) There has been some talk of revision, the Ritchie Affair which eliminates tenure. 3) Issue of associating more closely with the Community College in areas of degree credit. Should we formalize a liaison with the Community Colleges. Faculty should determine transfer credits, etc. 4) SB-252: Proposes to eliminate the Board of Trustees for the Community Colleges and the California State University and College System and have one Board of California Regents to govern all Universities and Colleges. All subject to the Legislature. Implications?? 5) The question of temporary faculty has come up in terms of grievance and rights, etc. 6) General Education and Breadth draft report will be done this month and probably will be sent to the faculty on all campuses. There will become significant changes. 7) Budget problems: we will have to lose 12-18 positions on this campus.
Weatherby: The report from the Statewide Senators is in the Senate Office.

C. President's Council

1) Impact of Budget Cuts: There may be a total of 18 faculty positions cut from Cal Poly's budget.

2) Presidential Selection Advisory Committee: Progress is being made. The list of candidates has been narrowed to approximately 50. The three faculty feel optimistic about the candidates. The finalists should be on campus around May 14. The announcement of the selection is to be made on May 23.

3) Review of Programs Project Team: The team has identified 12 programs to be reviewed with regard either to elimination or consolidation. These are computer generated lists based on number of graduates from these programs. Malcolm Wilson feels that we can justify all 12 and should not worry about losing any programs on campus.

IV. Committee Reports

A. Budget Committee - Cooper

Several recommendations made by the Governor:

1) Salary savings requirement be amended up from 1% - 2% to 1.1% to 3.1%. Legislative analyst recommended even higher.

2) Make student services self-supporting.

3) Reduce utilities cost.

4) Eliminate $13 million dollars in Library Book Acquisition.

5) Reduce collective bargaining positions down to 14, etc., etc.

The committee will be sending out a questionnaire which will be distributed to faculty, staff and students.

B. Election Committee - Foutz

Following is a list of the number of Senators per school along with the number of Senate positions to be filled during the spring election cycle. (3 per school + 1 per faculty or major fraction)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Senators</th>
<th>No. to be filled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ag/NRM</td>
<td>3 + 4 = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arch</td>
<td>3 + 3 = 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus</td>
<td>3 + 2 = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA &amp; H</td>
<td>3 + 4 = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engr/Tech</td>
<td>3 + 4 = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD &amp; E</td>
<td>3 + 3 = 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sci/Math</td>
<td>3 + 6 = 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc Sci</td>
<td>1 + 1 = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library/AV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is one Statewide Senator position to be filled.

C. Research Committee - Dingus

Care Grant budget totalled approximately $8,000. Eight proposals were submitted totalling $6,000. Should have them awarded by 3-9-79.

D. Personnel Review Committee - Jorgensen

Promotion announcements will be made by May 1.

V. Business Items

A. General Education and Breadth Resolution - Lewis

M/S/P (Lewis, Conway) to bring the item from the table. M/S/F (Shutt, Paul) to retable the motion until time certain (May 8 Academic Senate meeting). 15 yea, 33 nay.

M/S (Hill, Cooper) to amend the motion to include Arch 201, 317, 318 and 319.

"Friendly amendment" to change amendment to Arch 201 and Edes 221 and eliminate Arch 317, 318, and 319. M/S the previous question (Buffa, Dundon). Passed 40 yea, 6 nay, debate closed. The amendment failed, 11 yea, 36 nay.

Discussion resumed on the main motion. M/S (Conway, Lewis) to delete Arch and Env. Design from the first paragraph of the resolved clause.

M/S (Weatherby, Conway) to call for votes on all questions before the house. 39 yea, 1 nay.

Vote on the amendment: 19 yea, 29 nay, 1 abstention.

Vote on the main motion: 32 yea, 12 nay, 3 abstentions. Main motion passed.

M/S/P to adjourn at 4:45 PM.
Memorandum

To: Max E. Riedlsperger, Chair
   Academic Senate

From: H. Arthur DeKleine, Chair
   Faculty Senate Library Committee

Subject: Committee Report

The University Library is currently attempting to update and improve its collection development policies and procedures. Bob Blesse has been appointed collection development coordinator. Bob, along with Lynn Gamble, head of Acquisitions and Collection Development, and Sharon Arnold will oversee the implementation of the collection policies.

During the past couple of months, the Faculty Senate Library Committee has been reviewing the initial part of this new Collection Development Policy. When completed, the Collection Development Policy will provide guidelines for the development of the library collection. The first part of the document outlines (1) the clientele, (2) subject boundaries, (3) collection agreements, (4) general priorities and limitations, and (5) policies governing specific library departments.

At our last meeting the committee approved, with some modifications, the first part of the document.

The second part of the document calls for collection development profiles from each department. The librarians will work with faculty and academic department library representatives in the development of these department profiles.

Review and systematic development of our library collection will, in the years to come, make the collection more useable for everyone.

Faculty, and department library representatives in particular, are encouraged to actively participate in the development of the profiles in this policy.