Chair, Max Riedlsperger
Vice Chair, Linda Atwood
Secretary, Alan Foutz

The meeting was called to order at 3:08 P.M. by Chair Max Riedlsperger.

I. Announcements
   A. Report on Dr. Marjorie Wagner's meeting on campus.
      Vice Chancellor Wagner explained thoroughly the procedure that
      would be used during the selection process. The Executive
      Committee left this meeting with a more comfortable feeling
      of the procedures than when they went.

II. Discussion Items
   A. Time certain Discussion of the "Procedures for the Selection of
      Presidents.
      The discussion began at 3:30 P.M. Pros and cons of the procedures
      were discussed. A consensus poll was taken to find out how many
      in the Senate were in favor of the present procedures in view of
      the success of the last five Presidential selections.
      26 in favor
      21 against
      12 abstention
      The discussion was ended at 4:02 P.M.

      M/S (Conway/Buffa) that the Executive Committee draft instructive,
      reflective letter to be sent to the Chancellor and Trustees
      expressing the Senate's opposition to the current procedures,
      particularly related to

      1) the lack of consultation in developing the procedures
      2) the lack of representation in the PSAC from the campus
      3) the Board of Trustees representation is over dominant
      4) the time frame involved
      5) presidential power
      6) the lack of clarity of procedures, i.e., consensus, confidentiality,
         etc.

      A friendly amendment was made to include consultation with ASI, and
      the Staff Senate, and to bring the letter to the Senate as a whole
      for approval or disapproval.

      Question was called and debate was closed.

      A roll call was made.
      35 yea
      15 nay
      9 abstentions

III. Business Items
   A. Selection of procedures for the election of faculty members to
      serve on the PSAC.
The Chair indicated that all their proposals would be discussed individually and each voted on for acceptability. The Senate would then vote on which procedure it favored.

Procedure #1: M/S to accept procedure number one. M/S/P (Dundon/Stine) to amend the last statement and delete the "not to exceed 25 lines" and add "each nominee may submit a written statement." The Senate office will bear the cost of duplicating and distributing 25 lines/nominee. Additional materials will be distributed by the Senate office at the nominees' cost.

M/S/P (Shutt/Dundon) that the nine nominees be available for a forum before the election.

M/S/F (Stine/?) to limit each person's vote to 2. Debate followed. Question was called and debate was closed.

M/S/P to include both for votes eligibility and candidacy, academic and academically related department heads

Main motion passed.

Procedure #2: M/S to accept procedure #2. M/S/P (Shutt/Cirovic) to add the approved amendments from procedure number one to number two.

Several questions were asked as to the validity of procedure #2. M/S (Olsen/Troy) to amend #2 by deletion. Chair ruled that the amendment was out of order. Question was called for. Debate closed.

The main motion failed.

18 yea
31 nay
2 abstention

Procedure #3: M/S (Golden/Troy) to accept procedure #3. M/S/P (Troy/Farrell) to amend #3 as #1 where appropriate.

M/S (Epstein) to amend to change the number of signatures required to 50 and remove the restriction that no school may have more than one representative on the committee. The motion died because of a lack of a second.

M/S/P (Cirovic/Dondon) to amend #3 to require 30 signatures rather than 10. 24 yea, 21 nay.

The main motion failed and #3 was discarded.

The accepted procedure was #1.
The Chair indicates that there was a matter of business generated by a
request from the Personnel Policies Committee that the Senate take a
position on the Briggs Initiative. The Chair indicates that we were
almost out of time. We could either entertain debate for five minutes
if that is the will of the Senate, we could have no debate; that is, vote
either up or down, or refuse to consider it. We need to determine now
whether the Senate wishes to consider the proposed resolution by the
Personnel Policies Committee.

M/S/F (by roll call) to adjourn. 21 yea, 33 nay.

M/S/ to approve resolution on Briggs Initiative.

M/S/P to close debate.

Motion to approve resolution on Briggs passed 36 yea; 13 nay; 4 abstention.

M/S/P adjourn at 4:52 P.M.

(Roll call vote tallies are available in the Senate Office.)